

Bill Rowe: My Testimony

Editors note:

I first met Bill Rowe in early 2005 when I volunteered to help with the *Unleavened Bread Ministry*. Bob Accardi (*deceased*) and Bill Rowe interviewed me regarding my beliefs and level of maturity in the faith.

Bill asked about my home, church experience, writings, and other matters as a diligent servant of the Lord and the Unleavened Bread ministry he served.

Over the years, I found him to be highly dedicated, very compassionate, humble, and he counseled with Godly wisdom, always pointing people to Jesus and His Word.

We were together on many radio programs with David Eells, co-hosted fellowship conference calls together; and we helped along with other elders, on several serious business matters. We counseled together, and there were times I would seek his view or input regarding something I needed help with. In short, Bill had my full respect as a mature Christian elder.

His departure from UBM came ahead of my own, and was a shock to those of us that knew him. Because I had not spoken to him directly, I thought there was a small issue or disagreement that time would heal and he would return to the team of UBM elders.

We other elders had no clue what the true reasons for Bill's resignation were.

Out of respect for Bill, I did not call or make contact with him so not to involve myself into his personal matters. About 6 weeks passed before the Lord led me to hear him directly regarding his departure from UBM. The Lord had given me Deut. 18: 18-19 ***and the judges shall make diligent inquiry: and, behold, if the witness be a false witness, and have testified falsely against his brother;*** then shall ye do unto him, as he had thought to do unto his brother: so shall thou put away the evil from the midst of thee.

I realized that I had not made ***“diligent inquiry”*** and was convicted to correct my own oversight. Till then, I only believed what David Eells had said about Bill.

Because of my own idolatry with David, I accepted what he said as fact about Bill, but in July 2011, I asked the Lord ***“David is saying these charges and the dreams agree, but when I hear and read what the accused say, it does not agree. Lord, what do you say?”***

So the Lord led me to call Bill in early July. With some nervousness, not knowing what to expect, I called him to ask him ***why he left UBM.***

The day Bill told me himself his reasons for departing UBM I realized I had not heard the truth from David Eells. -Not about Bill, or the Stewarts, and not the relentless accusations from David against Rob and Debra Stanley, which also proved false.

Because I knew Bill to be a man of impeccable integrity, sincerity, and free of malice, his own words regarding his departure from UBM outweighed the false report given to us By David Eells. David's own words and actions bore witness that Bill spoke the truth about not only his departure from UBM, but also in his testimony which follows.

-rory moore

Note: emails and web pages cited or quoted are exactly as original.
 Where yellow highlight **emphasis or underlining** is made, it is by the author or editor.
Nothing is added, altered, or edited out from the original source.

- Chapter 1 *Leaving* page 3
- Chapter 2 *“If there arise among you a prophet, or a dreamer of dreams...”* page 6
- Chapter 3 *“OBEY Amy’s DREAM”!* page 7
- Chapter 4 *A New Doctrine* page 11
- Chapter 5 *The Illusion of the UBM Five Fold Ministry* page 15
- Chapter 6 *More Un-tempered Mortar* page 20
- Chapter 7 *“The Faction Invasion”* page 23
- Chapter 8 *David Builds His Case Against the Elders* page 28
- Chapter 9 *Is David Eells Accurate in His Interpretations?* Page 29
- Chapter 10 *Grace Is Not Among the Factious* page 37
- Chapter 11 *A Twist to the Story as the Plot Thickens* page 43
- Chapter 11 *When David Turned Against Me* page 45
- Chapter 12 *It Was All about Self Preservation* page 50
- Chapter 13 *Reality Checks* page 55
- Chapter 14 *Resignation and Freedom* page 59
- Chapter 15 *UBM is the Cult of David Eells* page 67
- Chapter 16 *David Fuels The Conflict* page 70
- Chapter 17 *Mind Control: Programming Others* page 73
- Chapter 18 *Delivered from Idolatry* page 77
- Chapter 19 *Birthing a Nicolaitane Assembly in Tennessee* page 82
- Chapter 20 *An Ill Wind of Change* page 84
- Chapter 21 *“The DREAMS Said...”* page 86
- Chapter 22 *Coercion, Manipulation, then Attack* page 93
- Chapter 23 *Rob Stanley Comes Under Fire* page 101
- Chapter 24 *Is David Eells Spiritually Superior* Page 104

- Chapter 25 *"I Withdraw My Repentance"* page 107
- Chapter 26 David Admits *"I suffer from the spirit of rejection"* page 111
- Chapter 27 *David's Anger Against Rob* page 115
- Chapter 28 *The Massacre in Madisonville* page 120
- Chapter 29 *Aftermath* page 125
- Chapter 30 *The Unheard Elders Are Accused* page 128
- Chapter 31 *God's Tongue, Failed Dreams and "two lying witnesses"* page 130
- Chapter 32 *Peace?* Page 138
- Chapter 33 *"No Agreement With Idols"* page 142
- Chapter 34 *There Is No UBM To Return To* page 145

Addendums

Addendum 1 the elders resignation statement

Addendum 2 elder Rory Moore's resignation letter

Addendum 3 word-for-word excerpts from previous teachings given by David Eells.

Chapter 1 "Leaving"

My purpose in this testimony is to inform, not to offend.

Having come out of **Unleavened Bread Ministries (UBM)**, after many years, I understand why those who also come out of a cult type of situation would want to *"throw the baby out with the bath water,"* or jump on a new band wagon.

I was swept up in UBM teachings because I was searching for a connection to God and did not have the faith or understanding to seek Him on my own.

When I received revelation I needed to look past man and to God, I was able to break away. With revelation came this word: ***"do not read what man says about God, read what God has to say about God."***

Truth is found by revelation of the Holy Spirit and not man.

In May 2011, as I ceased listening to David Eells, I truly began hearing from the Holy Spirit. The Holy Spirit was always there but I never learned how to tune out

man and tune in God.

The Holy Spirit had to bring me to my senses first, and as Rex Veron so eloquently states, "*Be pulled through the knothole backwards.*" Since I am probably more hard headed than Rex, I was pulled backward, forward and sideways.

I was deceived.

Deception occurs surreptitiously and one does not realize they are being deceived. After the trauma of leaving UBM, I now believe we can only be delivered from deception by grace, and not works of our own.

When talking to someone who is sincerely deceived as I was, we must ensure that our argument is based in scripture and scripture alone. In order to protect the flock from false doctrine and deception, only the facts spoken by our Father will stand. Prove what is said has been said, not by man, but by our Father.

We have heard of the term, "*on the rebound*" in recovering from a romantic relationship." Being associated with UBM was a relationship.

Many who left UBM feel liberated, some feel a loss. Some may search for a replacement or take up another questionable doctrine, preacher, teacher, evangelist, prophet or pastor to fill that void.

Guard your Spirit and soul against all enemies, foreign and domestic. Let go of anything you are not 100 percent sure is the word of God. *Take heed* Jesus said.

My Father was merciful in granting grace for me to leave UBM.

-There are no guarantees it cannot happen again.

There are consequences of preaching a false doctrine so don't usurp a position that you have not been appointed to fill. The word says, James 3:1 ***be not many of you teachers, my brethren, knowing that we shall receive heavier judgment.***

I write as a witness to others who have come out of UBM or similar organization where leadership controls or manipulates using identifiable cult techniques.

Here is a list of warning signs:

<http://unleavenedbreadministriesfreedom.blogspot.com/p/20-warning-signs.html>

In identifying why I left UBM, I hope to help others understand what a cult is, how one is overcome by the cult mentality, and the process of leaving a cult.

Please, if you might be offended by an objective critical analysis of David Eells

and UBM, do not read this testimony.

When I use the term "*critical analysis*", it is defined: *involving skillful judgment as to truth, merit.*

When David Eells uses the term "*critical*," he uses the context of the following definition: *inclined to find fault or to judge with severity, often too readily.*

My testimony is not slander, libel, or be factious, by definition.

Slander - *defamation by oral utterance rather than by writing*

Defamation - *false or unjustified injury of the good reputation of another, as by slander or libel*

Libel - *defamation by written or printed words, pictures, or in any form other than by spoken words or gestures.*

Factious - *given to faction - addicted to forming parties and raise dissensions in opposition to the common good.*

The following is not libel; *it is truth as substantiated by real witnesses*, not dreams.

The following is not factious and not meant for those choosing to follow David Eells.

I have waited to make record of my trial by the leading of the Holy Spirit. A trial results in a testimony. A testimony can only benefit another if that testimony is told. **A testimony can only benefit another if it is objective and truthful.**

I would not risk judgment by Father should this testimony prove to be untruthful and verified by witnesses. I am ready for the onslaught of false accusations from those who read this and find it offensive.

Those who may attack me, I forgive you and hold no ill will towards you.

Why.

I hope to convey the serious nature *why I resigned* from UBM.

Unless you have been personally attacked by David Eells, you cannot appreciate the masterful way he mounts and prepares his attack with cunning precision.

He keeps files on *everyone* to his advantage as evidenced by the dream David used against Rob and Debra Stanley.

I witnessed David attack others in the past, but never been privy to the vile relentless nature of those attacks.

And I never imagined David would place higher value on *dreams* than our friendship dating back to 1998. -*Dreams* he used to accuse me of dereliction of my duty as an elder.

Chapter 2 “If there arise among you a prophet, or a dreamer of dreams, and giveth thee a sign or a wonder...”

In our observation, as dreams were equated to the written Word of God, and used as a witness, ***who was the sole controlling authority of their use or abuse?***
According to David Eells, God is the controlling authority.

David Eells wrote in an e-mail to Rory:

"You spoke saying dreams were used by me to accuse Rob (Stanely) of witchcraft..... That is a lie. The Lord gave the dreams, visions, revelations and they agreed with one another and they came to pass. So it was God. "

In truth, David is the controlling authority because he decides which dreams to use, when and how to use them, in accordance to how he interprets them.

If dreams were to witness against an elder or *anyone*, then like any witness with an accusation, the dream should be subject to cross examination to establish credibility. Of course that is impossible.

The other option would be to question the Dreamer of the dream to establish the credibility of the Dreamer.

But David, in a one-sided prosecution, does not allow cross examination to establish the credibility of the Dreamer. Could we ask the individual with the dreams used to accuse Rob and Debra Stanley of witchcraft, some questions?
Dreamer, tell me the dream.

Dreamer, a third time, in your own words, please tell it dream again.

Dreamer, the dream has changed some from the first time you told me the dream.

From the time you dreamed the dream and David interpreted, could there have been changes that putting Rob and Debra in a different light?

David, *other than you*, did any other elders review this dream to ensure there was no other possibility that Rob and Debra were practicing witchcraft?

If not, why not?

David, you alone are interpreter of accusatory dreams?

David, you are willing to destroy Rob and Debra's character and integrity based on a dream?

Have there been any words added, deleted, or changed from the time the Dreamer gave you the dream and the time you interpreted it?

Is it possible the Dreamer has been conditioned or influenced into describing his dream to please you in anyway?

Dreamer, how do you define witchcraft?

Does your definition of witchcraft define what Rob and Debra were doing in your dream?

Dreamer, what exactly were Rob and Debra doing in your dream?

Were they standing around a cauldron wearing witches' hats?

Dreamer, what were you doing before you had the dream? Were you by chance watching the "Wizard of Oz" before you had the dream?

Dreamer, what is your relationship with Rob and Debra?

Would you consider yourself on good terms or bad terms with Rob and Debra?

Dreamer, had you even met Rob and Debra before you had the dream?

Dreamer, what is your relationship with David?

Do you have *any* desire to please him or seek his favor?

Dreamer, how do you feel seeing David use your dream to destroy the character and integrity of Rob and Debra Stanley?

Chapter 3 ***“OBEY Amy’s DREAM”!***

David Eells wrote in an e-mail via UBM Admin Robin Schenck, dated August 3rd, 2011, titled **“UBM FACTION with updates”**:

“Then the middle of the night of the June 25th the Lord began to speak to me that UBM was not paying attention to the dreams He had sent and that they were His opinion of what is going on here in this faction and our opinions didn’t count. As I thought on the dreams and what we were shown to do in them I realized we have not obeyed them or the scriptures given to us and that now we would go through something far worse and lose people until we learned to obey and deal with what has already happened with Reuel, Christina and Bill His way...”

By June 25th, Reuel and Christina Stewart and I had separated from UBM. No, it was not because of “*minor doctrinal issues*”, **but David’s insistence of using dreams to accuse the brethren of sin.**

The use of dreams was *the* Issue. David was well aware of this when he wrote the above. David claims that he had not obeyed the dreams.

Note the gravity of this: “*As I thought **on the dreams** and what we were shown to do in them I realized **we have not obeyed them or the scriptures.***”

The word “**or**” is used to connect alternative terms for the same thing.

He was giving equal authority to dreams as the scripture.

I want to make this very clear. When David said, “**obeyed them “or” the scriptures,**” dreams are inferred equality with scripture.

This is a very dangerous doctrine David Eells has instituted.

Rather than admit wrong, he elevated dreams to a level of scripture.

Thus David *created a doctrine* which made it legal for him to use dreams as a witness against an elder (or anyone)!

When he did that, *he* became a heretic.

David believes that we are to obey dreams as though they are scripture.

In that same 9-3-11 email:

*“Amy’s dream of reconciliation was clear direction from God and scriptures but it has not been obeyed. **This was their instructions from the Lord but they never obeyed them.**”*

David reveals much in these two sentences as he elevated Amy’s dream to a

command from God.

I wonder how Amy is affected, seeing her dream declared as a word from God and must be obeyed.

Is it forgiveness or a cover-up?

In the context, David wanted all concerned to forgive each other in accordance with Amy's dream as he wrote:

“Please read the revelation below and consider ceasing the war and totally forgiving everyone. I want to see you all blessed and safe.

Several times the elders have sent out pleas for the brethren to forgive and forget and the last time all have agreed except Rob who wanted to pray about it. I felt of the Lord to humble myself before the congregation repeatedly asking for forgiveness and preaching Mat 18:34,35 which demands forgiveness or God will loose the tormentors to have rule over the unforgivers.

Faction and criticism are tormentors. Rob has continued to press his case against me which is clearly working to cause faction.

Rory sent out a command to cease and desist all actions that were not forgiveness. All elders replied with AMEN except Rob who had to pray about it. Amy's dream of reconciliation was clear direction from God and scriptures but it has not been obeyed. It is the best way to stop this but factious people continue.”

Forgiveness is a given. But David is using Amy's dream to emphasize forgiveness by elevating Amy's dream to a command from God. And the real “war” was over the elders attempting to hold David Eells accountable for his sin.

The focus was changed from David's error regarding dreams to those he now charged with refusing to forgive.

Those refusing to forgive have not only gone against scripture, but they have disregarded the most up-to-date word from God which is Amy's dream.

Forgiveness does not expunge the sin and negate the requisite for repentance and confession. By demanding *our* forgiveness and claiming this is a demand from God as evidenced by Amy's dream, Rob, Rory and I as well as all the other witnesses, are being denied the opportunity to fulfill our responsibility as elders in accordance with scripture.

We have our definition of forgiveness and David has his definition of forgiveness.

David may have changed his definition of forgiveness since I spoke with him July 9, 2011. Then, the only way I could demonstrate the fruit of my forgiveness was to come back to UBM and submit myself to David's authority as if nothing had ever happened.

David may have a newer definition of forgiving such as, *"Make peace. Keep your mouth shut about anything that ever happened and I will keep my mouth shut and we will go on our own separate ways."*

My translation, *"Make peace at the expense of exposing the truth."*

He states in that same 8-3-11 email,

"I felt of the Lord to humble myself before the congregation repeatedly asking for forgiveness and preaching Mat 18:34,35 which demands forgiveness or God will loose the tormentors to have rule over the unforgivers.

Faction and criticism are tormentors. Rob has continued to press his case against me which is clearly working to cause faction. "

What was Rob Stanley's "case against me"? It was that David used dreams to accuse Rob and Debra of witchcraft, slander, false accusation, as with the Stewarts.

David was humbled to forgive. But what did we do to need his forgiveness? What did we do to offend David? *What sin did we commit against David?*

Because David was not humbled to repentance and confessing his sin before the assembly, **our sin against David was that we held him accountable for his sin.**

Because Rob, Rory and I as elders held to our responsibility to protect the flock as ordained by God through scripture and not dreams, we mightily offended David by admonishing him before the assembly.

Doing your duty is not factious. The real faction was when David claimed Rob was factious for doing his utmost diligence as an elder which put him in opposition to the desire of David Eells to ostracize brethren who were innocent of David's charges against them.

He wrote, *"All elders replied with AMEN except Rob who had to pray about it."*

For the record, **I also received David's e-mail** requesting we enter into an agreement to hold our tongues and did not answer the e-mail. Consequently I could not have replied with "AMEN."

And Rob cannot be condemned for desiring to seek first God's kingdom for direction, especially when being prompted to enter into an agreement with an unrepentant sinner named David Eells.

From: David Eells

To: Rob & Debra !Stanley ; Rory & Linda !Moore

Cc: Kevin & Holly Rea ; Rex & Priscilla !Veron ; Frank & Dixie !Thornton ; Chad Rial ; Linda

Sent: Friday, July 08, 2011 2:18 PM

Subject: Re: Kevin Rea's Witness

Brethren

*No one is above God. **Anyone forbidding dreams** is adding to and taking from the word of God. God said in Acts and Job He sent dreams and men ignore them to their destruction. When people don't like **dreams they try to cut Gods tongue off.***

We can forbid to judge people as sinners over dreams but God warned all through the bible with dreams. Lets don't go back to apostasy.

Blessings, David

Editors note:

*David wrote that after falsely charging me (Rory), with "forbidding dreams". In an email I had pointed out scripture where dreams could be part of deception when there are idols in ones heart, and as David himself had written in Sovereign God. (pp. 105-106). **I never "forbid dreams"** but I had come to oppose the use of dreams to charge and malign brethren in our fellowship.*

The e-mail is an example of David's mastery of re-directing the argument against a dissenter. He distorts others words twisting them. "**Anyone forbidding dreams is adding to and taking from the word of God.**"

I never heard anyone use the term "**forbidding dreams.**"

This is prime example how David misrepresents and re-packages the controversy.

David then turns to accuse the dissenter in his attack.

Chapter 4 A New Doctrine

David added to God's word when he claims legality for him to use dreams as a witness against another, and even his own elders.
But for 37 years, David taught the opposite!

It was my observation he never used a dream as witness of sin but relied on dreams to confirm suspicions or to confirm a witness.

David wrote an e-mail in 2008 to a UBM fellowship in Texas. "Wally" was apparently usurping authority over the local fellowship, proclaiming himself as the elder in charge. After praying over some brethren, "transference" of demons took place.

After that, a sister who before had flattering dreams of David/ UBM, began having critical dreams about David and UBM.

From: David Eells **To:** --- **Sent:** Fri, August 15, 2008 8:27:23 PM
Subject: Fw: Division at D/FW

Dear D/FW Brethren,

Please don't think of this as condemnation but an honest search for God's solution and His best for all involved. Please receive this in the spirit in which it is given.

I have spoken to many of you and we have been praying for an answer concerning the feelings, words of knowledge, dreams, after effects from prayers, etc. that seem to identify problems. We needed to see if these complaints were well founded or just suspicions and if they constitute a reason for division or just some correction. We have been seeking to discover both sides of this without any partiality. One side is hardly ever completely right. Picking sides in a division like this is wrong. We must find God's side. Like Joshua basically said when unexpectedly faced with the angel of the Lord, Are you for us or against us? The angel basically said, neither, I came to represent the Lord. :o) Well, that's what I want to do.

*Lets speak first about the transference, which is the most harmful. I have heard of 4 incidents where after Wally and Pat prayed over some they had bad thoughts, sickness, or demons and **I know for a fact that dreams are affected in this manner too.** In many incidents the 'Toronto blessing' has done this to people I know of. **Their dreams turned against me when before they were all for me.** This kind of change in someone after prayer usually proves a demon transference. **Dreams after this kind of transference happens are not to be trusted** especially when they*

are critical of what may be the other side.

Most dreams are for the same purpose as prophecy; edification, exhortation, consolation, **and constructive help** of individuals.

No one in the New Testament was ever condemned for a dream but for witnesses to sin. Many of the earlier dreams seem to be correction and not condemnation for willful sin. **Everybody needs correction** but not necessarily condemnation. **Disagreement doesn't have to mean unforgiveness** if you are looking for each others good. I have seen that there is grief on both sides.

I asked the Father to give me a verse concerning where Wally and Pat were in this division and He gave me {1 Sam.8:11} And he said, This will be the manner of the king that shall reign over you: he will take your sons, and appoint them unto him, for his chariots, and to be his horsemen; and they shall run before his chariots.

This speaks of the Nicolaitane, one man type ministry, which is what I said you would end up with without a 5 fold ministry. From this I'd say God is not in favor of making a local assembly there instead of just fellowshiping. There is not yet there the elders to make a 5 fold ministry and if we did it would be all chiefs and no indians. **The idea of one man being used in all of the 5 fold offices is unbiblical too and a way to avoid responsibility to authority.**

Most of the false revival folks have that doctrine. Also avoid flattery, even in prophesying, which manipulates people as the Word says. Avoid separating into factions for you need each others gifts. Women with gifts are to be subject to their husbands leadership and no one else.

Wally and Pat have offered to come here at the end of the month and have us pray over them and we would be very happy to do that. Meanwhile there needs to be peace among the rest of you. Could you meet together in peace and forgiveness confessing your sins one to another? Maybe you could play some UBM teachings since teaching seems to be part of the problem? Could you pray over one another to cast out any transference that may very well be lingering and effecting your relationships or gifts? Some of you need deliverance from rejection and fear of rejection as I did, which causes people to be introverted and shy away from real fellowship, leadership, gifts, and confrontation. I suggest all pray for all for truth and blessings and deliverance.

I know this may not satisfy some of you but I feel to be careful. If you know of

something else that needs to be addresses pleas contact me or Rob Stanley. Thanks for your patience with me and please be blessed in Jesus.

Love from your servant in Christ,-David

my emphasis

Incredible.

Notice in his e-mail, ***“Their dreams turned against me when before they were all for me.*** This kind of change in someone after prayer usually proves a demon transference.

Dreams after this kind of transference happens are not to be trusted especially when they are critical of what may be the other side. Most dreams are for the same purpose as prophecy; edification, exhortation, consolation, and constructive help of individuals.”

David claims proof of demonic “transference” is when someone who once had flattering dreams about David now has critical dreams about David.

These dreams are not to be trusted.

He said dreams are ***for constructive help*** of individuals. To emphasize this point, David states, ***“No one in the New Testament was condemned for a dream but for witnesses to sin.”*** -The underline was in the original text.

What conclusion do we make concerning the counsel he gave Texas brethren in 2008 compared to what he proclaims today?

Is David Eells consistent?

Does David Eells practice what he preaches?

Does David Eells do unto others as he would have others do unto him?

He decries ***“one man” “is unbiblical” “a way to avoid responsibility to authority.”***

Is David Eells a hypocrite?

The following e-mail may answer some of those questions:

From: David Eells
To: Rex; Rory; Frank
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2011 6:33 AM
Subject: The whole story - Private

Brothers

This is private. Please call me tomorrow.

They believe they have me on a technicality and I am going to humble myself as an example to make peace.

But everything I was warned about in the top of this statement below is coming now as God told me. :o(It is the beginning of the ambush Philip saw below but I commit myself unto God and my cross. They are permitting factious criticism into the camp for a lesson from God. I told them not to go to Reuel or they would get it. They did.

*The Lord told me that a Korah rebellion was in progress. You are going to have to help me and pray for me. They will try to bring the factious back into the camp without repentance. They have shown me by their words they will try to go after the dreams to try to cut off God's tongue. They will try to take over the broadcast and change the site. I need you to be there for us and not permit this. ***Please get them to obey Amy's dream*** of reconciliation about 2/3 way down the page. *They are on a witch hunt like The Ambush of David says.**

Confirmations: *The Ambush of David and Philip's Dirty Kitchen Dream* are added below the half way mark.

Pray for us, David

David wrote: *"I told them not to go to Reuel or they would get it. They did."*

He claimed Reuel is possessed with a critical, factious spirit. This spirit is so powerful, so contagious, that anyone contacting with Reuel *"will get it."*

Those included in the *"they"* that got it were Rob, Debra, Ben, Chad and I. Supposedly I was infected, delivered, and re-infected. But the only evidence that David had for this ridiculous accusation was dreams, and ***none of them agreed with David that Reuel was being critically factious.***

Dreams critical of David were not to be trusted. But dreams confirming accusations must be trusted as ***"God's tongue"***. –Oh?

David stated, *"The Lord told me that a Korah rebellion was in progress."*

Well, just ***how*** did David learn of this *"Korah rebellion"*?

First the *Korah rebellion* was prophesied as *David prayed for the brethren to have dreams*. The dreams came and then the *"Korah rebellion"* emerged.

There is a term called *"self fulfilling prophecy."*

Chapter 5 *The Illusion of the UBM Five Fold Ministry*

David knew his façade of a “five-fold” ministry was now falling apart and he must find a way to blame others.

When I have a disagreement with someone, it is disagreement or difference of opinion. But when key personnel in UBM such as Reuel, Rob, Chad, Rory, or I had a difference of opinion, with David, it was repainted as a rebellion.

-Because it became resistance to, and defiance of his authority and control.

A difference of opinion over doctrine will escalate to David making false accusations as he perceives there is rebellion.

Thus on 7-8-11, David wrote in the e-mail, “*They have shown me by their words they will try to go after the dreams to try to **cut off God’s tongue.** **They will try to take over the broadcast and change the site**”.*

We were challenging David’s unilateral use of dreams and he responded with fear and desperation that included the outrageous charge “***they will try to take over the broadcast and change the site...***”.

David Eells has now become so dependent upon dreams for direction, it may have seemed to him we were attempting to cut off his source of hearing from God. David wrote, “*They will try to bring the factious back into the camp without repentance.*” The “*factious*” is referring are Reuel, Christina, Chad, Rob and I.

This e-mail is dated July 8th, one month after I resigned and Reuel and Christina departed UBM in peace. We had no intention of coming back to UBM, but we were and are still members of the body of Jesus.

David implied UBM is “*the camp*”.

The evidence used to substantiate his accusations of a factious chasm within UBM, was David as one witness and the dreams as two witnesses. But David’s proof of Reuel, Christina, Rob and I being factious was nothing more than false accusation, and misrepresenting our words to others to protect himself.

The focus is on David Eells and always has been on David Eells.

In order to maintain his “apostolic” reputation, it has been crucial to redirect any and all fault away from him and against the elders who seek to hold him accountable.

David has been relatively successful at placing blame on an invisible, extremely contagious factious spirit. I say relatively successful as four elders and a larger number of UBM brethren were not convinced of David’s false, hyper-critical, deceiving, pharisaical, pious, self righteous attack against the brethren using a straw man tactic.

David claims to remain sinless, *“Please repent Bill. It’s me, David. I am your friend too but I am not in sin.”* In an email to me on 6-2-11

It is plain silly paranoia to suggest that Rob, Rory and I ever had any intention of *“taking over the broadcast or the site.”*

Remember, he said to the Texas brethren, ***“This speaks of the Nicolaitane, one man type ministry, which is what I said you would end up with without a 5 fold ministry.”***

But David confessed to me July 9th, 2011, ***“UBM is not a “5 fold” ministry”!***

He proved this by his own actions when he not only refused the agreed correction of 4 elders, and proclaimed ***“it is now legal for me to use dreams as a witness against an elder”***

He went on to attack the elders who attempted to bring this matter before the Madisonville assembly as per Matthew 18:17.

Therefore we affirm by David’s own words that if UBM is not a *“five-fold”* ministry then it is Nicolaitan.

The “five-fold” ministry only existed in name as long as the elders were in total agreement with David and the direction David was taking the ministry.

That he was able to hold the facade of a “five-fold” ministry together for so long attests to David’s ability to control and manipulate rather than inspire and lead.

When David chose Rob, Rory, Rex and I as elders, he did with great care, ensuring they agreed with his doctrine and direction he was “leading” the ministry.

The façade of the *five-fold* ministry held together well as long as David held to the scripture. It was not always David’s teachings that impressed the elders but his

insistence of ensuring that what he did teach reconciled with the scripture.

UNTEMPERED MORTAR

As David drifted away from scripture and news reports, dreams, and questionable sources gained prominence, the elders grew uncomfortable. The rift was in the making as he placed more and more emphasis on dreams.

It was still tolerable when David used dreams to maneuver UBM both physically and spiritually by “prophesied” events. But the rift became an obstacle when David began using dreams to attack the elders he himself had chosen. The *façade* of a five-fold ministry was being exposed.

Rejection and Correction

David rejected *any* correction from the elders. I suspect, knowing there never was a real *fivefold* ministry, David looked upon the elders as subordinate to him.

David stated, “ *Not long after that the Lord appeared to me twice to ordain me to the highest office in the 5 fold ministry*”.

I presume David is of the mind the elders had neither the authority nor the qualifications to hold David accountable for his behavior.

The struggle that developed with the elders over his use of dreams was twofold: David could not claim UBM a fivefold ministry, while at the same time attacking the very elders attempting to substantiate the UBM ministry!

Proverbs 24:6 ***For by wise guidance thou shalt make thy war; And in the multitude of counselors there is safety.***

Therefore David made "war" through his own accusatory interpretations derived from dreams rather than accepting the prayerful agreed counsel of all the elders.

Judgment was then denied as David, a master of deceit, accused others of the sin of which he is himself guilty.

"Watch out for this critical factious spirit that has been attacking UBM and myself. Chad and Rob have been at the forefront of this. Whenever people listen to their faction and don't obey 1 Tim.5:19, Titus 3:10,11 or Rom 16:17,18 that spirit jumps on them and they want nothing more to do with us. It is the separation the Lord told us would come. Everyone doesn't belong here. Once they get this spirit

it doesn't permit them to even listen to the other side of the story. "Does our law condemn any man except it first hear from him?"

Rob stated we took David to task for his sin in accordance with Matthew 18:17. We attempted to bring our witness before the local assembly, but they had already been manipulated by David's own factious railings against us. (i.e. sowing discord)

Our act of bringing David to task before the assembly, according to David, is faction. According to David, there is no scriptural recourse to bring David to task for his misdemeanor. To expose David is faction. For anyone to listen to our witness is in defiance of the scripture. And if anyone hears our witness and heeds our witness, then the curse is upon them because the spirit of faction jumps on them.

And proof of that possession is the desire to depart UBM and come out from David Eell's authority.

Bringing David to task for error is like trying to win a coin toss where David flips the coin and it is *Heads David Wins* and *Tails You Lose*.

David wrote, "*The second article/dream by Lanita seems to reveal this same character assassination attempt at the time Tom was doing this. Chad was seen by Javier with Tom written across his forehead before any of this came up. Chad met and received Toms spirit and gave it to Rob who then turned an about face on everything. After this Rory received this*".

Obviously he does not recognize any legitimate difference of opinion, honest debate or discussion. He has demonstrated any deliberative dialog that could be taken as a threat to his behavior, administrative abilities, or mishandling of people is a critical factious spirit.

Allegedly the critical factious spirit spread from Tom to Chad during an unexpected encounter. That critical factious spirit then spread from Chad to Rob, and by phone and e-mail, to Rory.

The pattern of him blaming evil spirits behind any review or questioning of David, always *redirects* David from being the focus of the complaint.

This must be a symptom of the spirit of rejection. I raised two children and they would invariably claim I didn't love them when I chastised them.

Or they would attempt to redirect responsibility of their behavior to someone else or something else. David is redirecting the responsibility of his behavior to evil spirits and while destroying the character and integrity of those David claims these spirits indwell.

David is lapsing to childish behavior on the worst order.

"Let not your prophets that are in the midst of you, and your diviners, deceive you; neither hearken ye to your dreams which ye cause to be dreamed."

I said this in my rebuttal to David's false dream doctrine, *"David conjures up dreams in the brethren."*

Chapter 6 *More Un-tempered Mortar*

David Eells wrote: *(emphasis added)*

*"When something happens like faction **I always ask the Lord to send dreams** or visions to the brethren, warning and giving wisdom. The dreams and vision we have received, both before the faction and afterwards, agree and are **given by devout brethren** who did not know that others were sending me very similar dreams."*

Notice the "devout brethren" are un-named and nor were eye witnesses brought to testify. **In fact, David denied Rob the very right he demanded for himself.**

In a dubious "revelation" from the movie "Robin Hood", David Eells wrote, *"Below we can see that **the Sheriff, the leader of the faction to assassinate me, whose sin is revealed as witchcraft/rebellion**, is accusing David/Locksley of witchcraft but it is he who is secretly practicing witchcraft.*

*Garrett saw in his vision **Rob was secretly practicing witchcraft**, which the word says is rebellion. Rob is accusing me of rebellion. This according to the dreams is so he may deposit his waste in UBM's kitchen but Philip stopped him with his revelation of this (below).*

Then Robin came up with her "Revelation Update" of the Sheriff/leader (*i.e.* Rob Stanley) and the people following him stumbling and falling to keep them from entering the refuge/cleft of the rock.

The problem no one was “*following*” “Sherriff” Rob.

In a “revelation” to Kaile, the very people through whom this conspiracy is revealed are pursued by sharks. The “factious” people separate with their teeth. But they (*those loyal to David*) don’t have to worry just walk by faith.

Audrey also received a dream of a demon destroying the foundations of UBM.

Amy’s dream of reconciliation was clear direction from God and scriptures but it has not been obeyed. “***This was their instructions from the Lord but they never obeyed them.***”

Most, if not all of David’s evidence used against those he attacks is based on dreams. **It is beyond the point of being irrational.**

The entire focus is now on saving David Eells.

David Eells wrote:

“Rob’s whole basis to destroy any revelation of his corrections from the Lord is that dreams cannot be used to report sin of elders for there must be two witnesses of sin and dreams visions are not witnesses. False.

Last night the Lord said to me that we were all wrong about this. He said, Every time our ministry was attacked by the factious He has sent dreams and visions to warn the righteous and convict the wicked of their sin, elders and all, and the rebellion was stopped.” (end).

Since David had no human witness against Rob, dreams were sought.

And Rob was denied the very right of scripture “*against an elder receive not an accusation except before two or three witnesses*” that David Eells demanded for himself.

In July 2011, as he was taken to task by Rob, Kevin Rea and Chad Rial as per Matthew 18:16, David repented for using a dream accusing Rob and Debra of witchcraft.

But within hours, he received a “*revelation*” of the dream doctrine. David withdrew his repentance for accusing Rob and Debra of witchcraft using a dream.

David Eells wrote, ***“However I withdraw my repentance for sharing dreams which I now see I did legally according to the Word. The devil tried his best to hide them and cut off God’s tongue. Please withdraw your witness of any sin***

against me in this regard."

He quickly withdrew his repentance for using a dream against Rob and Debra for practicing witchcraft, and immediately renewed the attack on them.

He also failed to admit he had slandered them and accused them of "hatred" and "wanting to take over the ministry" behind their backs to others.

I submit David practices witchcraft by conjuring up dreams through his followers, for use against any perceived enemy.

David claims that which is unlawful for him is legal.

The law, the true word of our Father says, *"by the mouth of two or three witnesses."*

David, as with other Nicolaitan ministries, developed an "in-house" false doctrine using his own legal system.

He will re-interpret a dream in his favor when it does not come to pass.

UBM had vigorously predicted a major quake on the New Madrid fault for May 14, 2011, again, based on dreams, and promoted via UBM radio and website.

David Eells wrote,

"Did you notice like many did that the May 14th dreams came to pass with a mighty spiritual earthquake that divided our assembly, for the sake of only the chosen entering the cleft in the rock? This is according to many previous revelations. Philip stood up in our assembly and proclaimed it was revealed to him the quake would be spiritual. I got a revelation it was not physical because God gave Me Amos 7:1-6 "Jacob is small, how shall he stand?"

But the dreamed predictions, (several were given), of a May 14th earthquake were ***definitely a physical earthquake.***

I personally helped David move from Loudon Tennessee to Madisonville prior to the 14th so **David and his family could be inside the physical boundaries of the "Ark".** *(the ark was a geographical safe area revealed by dreams)*

I helped three other brothers move prior to the 14th for the same reason.

Plus there was also a mad rush to prepare physically prior to the 14th in preparation of doing without electricity. UBM was even establishing a HAM radio network in preparation of being without normal communications.

When the physical earthquake did not manifest as predicted, the revelations of a spiritual earthquake were quickly brought forth. UBM has fallen into idolatry.

Dreams May Come With A Cost

David entrusted a large donation with “Pastor Bob” against the counsel of four brothers who knew “Pastor Bob” personally. Reuel and I were two of them.

A sister in a foreign country, unknown to us, **dreamed** Pastor Bob was going to be an essential participant in launching the satellite TV ministry.

David disregarded the counsel of us four men he knew and made the decision to entrust the money to Pastor Bob because of a *dream* from a sister he never met. As of my resignation, the money was never recovered and the satellite TV ministry never got off the ground

Chapter 7 “The Faction Invasion”

David continued to act in haste depending on dreams for accusations against brethren contrary to scripture and without credible witnesses. He believes his discernment alone, witnessed by dreams, satisfies the requirements of scripture.

I could not support this and made my views known to David prior to my resignation. Of course, he did not agree with any of my objections. I did not warn David I was resigning as I knew he would attempt to manipulate and control me as before.

As stated in my resignation letter, anger, bitterness, unforgiveness, or a desire for retribution played no part in my decision to resign from UBM.

Therefore my intention is to defend the flock from abuse and false accusations. You and any brother or sister desiring to know the other side of the story are entitled to hear all the evidence and hear from the accused, (*the so-called Factionous*), dreams notwithstanding.

To deny anyone an opportunity to express their witness of sin or to deny anyone the opportunity to hear someone's report of sin is factious, and evidence that there is something to hide. Matthew 18:17 was abrogated in David's case.

As David alone took opportunity to insert subjective opinion, his use of the dreams should have come into close examination by all as was his claim he had legal authority to use dreams as a witness.

An excerpt from David's e-mail via Robin:

From: "Robin Schenck [UBM Admin]
Date: August 3, 2011 9:20:30 AM EDT
To: <Undisclosed-Recipient;>
Subject: UBM FACTION with updates

Part 1 – Faction Invasion

Nowhere in scripture is the word in 1Tim.5:19, “against an elder receive not an accusation except at (the mouth of) two or three witnesses” used to forbid God's witnesses of dreams, visions, revelations, prophecy, tongues and interpretation, word of knowledge, word of wisdom, etc.

Notice it doesn't say “mouth” in the original, it only says “witnesses”. However, all of these gifts do come out of men's mouths as witnesses from God. These gifts were shared openly in 1 Cor. 14 and no one forbid them because they might be against elders anywhere in the Word. Jesus and the prophets witnessed against the elders with these gifts and no one used the two witness clause on them because it didn't apply.

***When all you have is men's witnesses there must be at least two.** Ezekiel in chapter 8 saw in vision the elders in sin and told it to the whole assembly and no one used that clause on him. When a dream is doubled, as these below were, God says, “it is established by God and God will shortly bring it to pass” and he did bring these to pass, proving these witnesses are from Him – Gen.41:32. When we receive a warning from God for men, in any of these above ways that He speaks, and we don't give it, He says their blood is on our hands – Eze.3:18. We sin when we don't give the warning, not when we do.*

*The elders attempt to prosecute me on these grounds was an attempt to stop God from warning them of what eventually came to pass. **They wanted to shut God up the way their forefathers shut Jesus and the prophets up for the same thing,***

warning the elders about their corruption. I asked them for one verse and they didn't have it. **Also there are many men witnesses to these elders sins as you will see below.** End David's e-mail sent by Robin.

David states, "Nowhere in scripture is the word in 1Tim.5:19, **"against an elder receive not an accusation except at (the mouth of) two or three witnesses"** used to forbid God's witnesses of dreams, visions, revelations, prophecy, tongues and interpretation, word of knowledge, word of wisdom, etc.

Notice it doesn't say "mouth" in the original, it only says "witnesses".

Reading the references below, we see that David is correct when he references I Timothy 5:19. In the ASV, "mouth" is there, in the Numerics, it is not. But **David fails to mention that in Matthew 18:16 and II Corinthians 13:1, "mouth" is in the Numerics as well as the ASV.**

Numerics

Matthew 18:16 But if he hear not, take with thee one or two more, that at **the mouth** of two witnesses or three every word stand.

ASV

Matthew 18:16 But if he hear thee not, take with thee one or **two** more, **that at the mouth of two witnesses** or three every word may be established.

Numerics

II Corinthians 13:1 This *is the third time* I am coming unto you. **At the mouth of two witnesses** or three shall every word be established.

ASV

II Corinthians 13:1 This is the third time I am coming to you. **At the mouth of two witnesses** or three shall every word be established.

Numerics

I Timothy 5:19 Against an elder receive no accusation, *except from two or three witnesses*.

ASV

I Timothy 5:19 Against an elder receive not an accusation, except **at the mouth of two or three witnesses**.

It is artful how David quotes the one scripture in the Numerics that does not include the word “mouth” and disingenuously skips over the other scriptures that say the same thing and contain the word “mouth”.

David states, “*Jesus and the prophets witnessed against the elders with these gifts and no one used the two-witness clause on them because it didn’t apply*”.

What is the “two- witness clause?”

David uses the term in there as if this is a new doctrine Rob, Rory and I created. The “*two-witness clause*” is a precept throughout the Old Testament and carried into the New Testament to prevent the use of false accusations based on circumstantial evidence.

Yes, it is possible to find two or three liars bearing false witness. Only it is much more difficult to find two or three liars than it is to invent a script supported by accusatory dreams.

By using alleged prophetic dreams from God to prove a fabricated situation, or prove the guilt of an innocent person the onus is taken off the person who had the dream and places the burden of proof (or blame) on God.

This is very dangerous. There is absolutely no blame attributed to the person who had the dream or the person who interpreted the dream. Who can argue with God?

What is the consequence of removing responsibility of proof from man and placing it on God via dreams?

By indoctrination, David planted seeds of dissent in the minds of the brethren and then encourages them to have dreams. The brethren then have dreams to please David. Thus they believe it is God speaking to them in the dreams.

David takes the dreams, weaves scripture into the dreams, and then he has “proof” provided to come against anyone. *Dreams plus mis-use of scripture equal a lie.*

David wrote, “*When all you have is men’s witnesses there must be at least two.*” This is doublespeak. This language is meant to confuse and mislead the reader. We need to establish the definition of the word witness.

Witness:

1. to see, hear, or know by personal presence and perception
2. to be present at (an occurrence) as a formal witness, spectator, bystander
3. to bear witness to; testify to; give or afford evidence of.

The scripture demanded only one type of witness, the witness of 2-3men.

Anything else is circumstantial evidence.

A dream is circumstantial, **subjective to interpretation**, and cannot be questioned by “inquiry” as scripture demands when there is a controversy.

Consequently, a dream, by definition, cannot be a witness. Based on the definition of a witness, with two scriptures to supporting that a witness has a mouth, it is clearly evident I Timothy 5:19 says a witness must and can only come by the mouth of men *as a result something seen, heard, or known by personal presence or perception*, **not by a dream**.

David would persuade the word “*mouth*” is left out and God meant something other than the Old Testament law Paul quoted.

I believe it is well possible what Paul wrote implies a man can only witness by his mouth because:

Deut 17:6 *At the **mouth of two witnesses***, or three **witnesses**, shall he that is to die be put to death; *at the **mouth of one witness*** he shall not be put to death.

Deut 19:15 One witness shall not rise up against a man for any iniquity, or for any sin, in any sin that he sinneth: *at the **mouth of two witnesses***, or *at the **mouth of three witnesses***, shall a matter be established.

David said, “*Ezekiel in chapter 8 saw in vision the elders in sin and told it to the whole assembly and no one used that clause on him.*”

Ezekiel was an Old Testament prophet. Old Testament prophets were held to a higher standard as they claimed “*thus saith Jehovah.*”

The Old Testament saints depended on prophets, unlike New Testament saints who are able to hear by The Holy Spirit and the Word.

Since we have the Holy Spirit in the New Testament, we *are all entitled to examine the evidence*.

We are all entitled to weigh both sides, and hear BOTH sides.

We are all entitled to establish if the evidence is worthy of belief.

We are all commanded to “**PROVE ALL things**”.

If a dream or vision is prophecy as David has stated, then prophets prophesying by two or three are subject to the judgment of others for validity.

1 Cor 14:29 ***Let the prophets speak by two or three, and let the others discern.***

I was not afforded opportunity to establish credibility of the dreams' source, the manner the dream was interrupted, or the opportunity of a rebuttal.

David gave equal authority and legitimacy in two dreams as was placed in Ezekiel's vision. As a result of Ezekiel's vision, people were put to death for sin. That was the authority placed in an Old Testament prophet. ***Nor was Ezekiel defending himself using the visions.***

Ezekiel 8:18 ***Therefore will I also deal in wrath; mine eye shall not spare, neither will I have pity;*** and though they cry in mine ears with a loud voice, yet will I not hear them. *-Their sin was in the open.*

Ezekiel 8:14 ***Then he brought me to the door of the gate of Jehovah's house*** which was toward the north; ***and behold, there sat the women weeping for Tammuz.***

And the judgment was not targeted toward just the elders of the church. Ezekiel 9:6 *slay utterly the old man, the young man and the virgin, and little children and women; but come not near any man upon whom is the mark: and begin at my sanctuary. Then they began at the old men that were before the house.*

Chapter 8 David Builds His Case Against the Elders

As he doggedly evaded accountability, he was forced to lash out against others. David states, "***Also there are many men witnesses to these elders sins as you will see below.***"

If he had "*many men witnesses*" he would not need dreams to convict anyone or persuade his followers.

I personally heard two witnesses say David went to them in hopes of using them as witnesses against Reuel and Christina Stewart, after David was pressured for witnesses to substantiate his false accusations against them.

Why would David even make the claim "*there are many men witnesses*", at the same time presenting dreams as a more reliable witness?

A search of this entire e-mail to which David is referring found no witnesses other than dreams.

David states, "***They wanted to shut God up the way their forefathers shut Jesus and***

the prophets up for the same thing, warning the elders about their corruption. “
This is an amazing statement! In one sentence, he accused Rob, Rory, and I of being as the Pharisees during the time of Jesus Christ, adding in a charge of corruption. An attempt to find the truth is apparently persecuting David.

What evidence does David have?

The only evidence is circumstantial as he claims the dreams are his witness.

What authority does David have to accuse three elders of corruption?

Corruption is: *breach of trust, bribery, bribing, crookedness, demoralization, exploitation, extortion, fraud, fraudulency, graft, malfeasance, misrepresentation, profiteering, skimming, unscrupulousness, venality.*

This is an astonishing accusation that should not be brought forth because of the defamation to the character against whom the accusation is meant.

Regardless for the reason behind this accusation, there better be witness to substantiate.

If David is making a false accusation, he is functioning against Gods authority. God gives no man the authority to make a false accusation against another.

If David is operating outside the boundaries of his delegated authority, then any authority he claims indicates he is claiming or implying his actions are related to and legitimized by his role as an elder and Apostle of Jesus Christ.

Since David has no valid witnesses to substantiate these rather serious charges of corruption against Rob, Rory and I, then David must devise a way to justify *his* unlawful behavior.

Ergo David employs interpreting dreams.

Chapter 9 *Is David Eells Accurate in His Interpretation?*

Just how accurate and trustworthy is David’s interpretive ability?

Considering the character and reputations of the accused are at stake, I would think his ability to interpret dreams should be impeccable and above reproach.

If that were not enough to raise the caution flag, there are people who have seen David change and greatly edit someone's dream. A lady from Singapore has testified of this, and others from UBM past and present.

I have taken two dreams from this e-mail that Robin sent on David's behalf. "UBM FACTION with updates," dated August 3, 2011.

You the reader, will see how inexact dream interpretation is and David may have twisted the dreams around to put Rob, Rory and I in bad light, when in fact these dreams are pointing to David and UBM as the factious and have turned to the left.

David's notes are in red, mine are in blue.

(I have added Rory's interpretation of these two dreams after David's and my interpretation.)

Grace Is Not Among the Factious

7/25/11 Belinda Anderson (David's notes in red)

Death and life are in the power of the tongue; And they that love it shall eat the fruit thereof. *(Pro 18:21) Death and life are in the power of the tongue; And they that love it shall eat the fruit thereof.*

I dreamed I was in a grocery store, and two women approached me and asked me if I would be interested in attending their assembly's bible study. They explained some things to me and everything sounded pretty good, so I told them I would come. They gave me directions, date and the time of the bible study and left.

I got to the bible study and was introduced to everyone, then we all took our seats. The bible study went very well. I enjoyed it very much. The same two ladies that invited me, approached me and asked me if I enjoyed the study. I told them that I did, so they invited me back for the next one. When I walked into the room where the bible study was to be held, the same two ladies that invited me were standing in the back of the room speaking to each other.

As I got closer to the ladies I couldn't help but hear that they were speaking about someone in a very negative way. I noticed several people that were standing close by, went over and were standing there taking in what was being said about this person. (Faction and slander spreads like a fire. Faction is separating over minor doctrines or offences because of unforgiveness and judgment. DE)

(Since Belinda is invited as an outsider to come to UBM, the two ladies represent

UBM. The two ladies could represent David with two evil spirits of idolatry and rejection, and the false accusations he spoke against Reuel and Christina as he broadcasted those accusations during the bible studies and spoke ill of them in front of the local assembly. BR)

I immediately went to the other side of the room, as I didn't want to be party to what they were doing.

(Many of the brethren listening to David in this period of making false accusations in reference to Reuel, Christina, Rob and I, left UBM and stopped listening. "factious, critical factious, slander, railing, unforgiveness, rejection" were used)

After the bible study was over, I went into the ladies room and one of the ladies speaking slander was in the bathroom. I went up to her and told her the bible teaches us as believers we are not to speak about our brethren this way. She told me I was making too much out of the situation and she left. ***(Jam. 4:11) Speak not one against another, brethren. He that speaketh against a brother, or judgeth his brother, speaketh against the law, and judgeth the law: but if thou judgest the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge.***

(Rob, Rory, Rex and I spoke to David concerning his slander against the local brethren but David refused to listen or be corrected, This lady may represent the spirit of idolatry the brethren have for David, and David feeds upon.)

As I was walking out of the building to the parking lot, I ran into the other lady speaking slander. I took a deep breath and asked God for strength to approach this woman. I heard the Holy Spirit telling me that as a believer, I must do this. I walked up to the woman, and I told her the same thing I had told the other woman. She reacted in the same way, got into her car and left.

(This lady may represent the spirit of rejection in David Eells.)

I decided to go back into the building and speak to the pastor about the situation. I gave him the information. He thanked me and said he would be back in touch. The next morning I was praying when the Holy Spirit came upon me and told me, "tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. the pastor will call you and ask you not to come back to their assembly; that the assembly discussed the situation and decided it would be best if you didn't come back".

(When brethren contacted David with their concerns of his turning from the word, slandering fellow brethren, David rejected those brethren.)

I was told by the Holy Spirit not to be concerned, that the Lord was doing this. I said okay sounds good to me and I thanked the Lord and went about my business.

(The factious pastor and assembly separates from those who don't have their

spirit.)

(Actually, the factious pastor, is David Eells who turns those away who don't have that spirit of idolatry.)

Sure enough, the next morning at 9:00 a.m. the phone rang and it was the pastor and he told me just what the Holy Spirit had told me the day before. I told the pastor I understood and I thanked him for the phone call.

A couple of days later, I received a phone call from a lady named Grace. She explained to me that she was the one the two ladies had been speaking all those terrible things about. She also told me that she was asked to leave the assembly. (Those who have grace to not be like the factious will not be welcome among them. Also, grace is taken away from the factious.)

(This has happened to brethren who previously left UBM or were previously slandered by David Eells who encounter those who have recently left UBM or who were recently rejected and slandered by David Eells.)

As I hung up the phone, I understood what the Lord was doing. I obeyed the Lord in not being a party to gossip and slander, and bringing this sin to the attention of the guilty parties and the assembly. The assembly refused to take correction, so the Lord took his GRACE from their assembly.

(exactly. The assembly refused to hear the witnesses against David Eells as he incited them to turn against the witnesses.)

My thoughts: What I believe the Lord was showing me and telling me to share with the Body is, we can very easily fall out of favor with the Lord for not being able to accept correction. The Lord was very displeased with these people and he took his grace away for their rebellion; they refused the truth of the scripture. The Lord took their portion of grace and gave it to the righteous person walking in obedience no matter what the cost. Also, the Lord was showing us that he will protect the righteous.

(Yes. David Eells has lost his anointing for having turned away from the scripture and refusing correction when he did. And we trust our Father to protect those righteous who stood for truth and departed from UBM.)

Listen to His voice and have NO FEAR of the unrighteous; he will right all the wrongs. We are standing at the threshold of the tribulation; obedience is critical! God Bless brothers and sisters. My love and prayers are with all of you.

Losing One's Place in God

Belinda Anderson – 7/26/11 (David's notes in red, Bill in blue)

I had this dream this morning. I asked the Lord if there was anything else he could give me to share with the Body, and I had this dream.

I dreamed I was taking a walk and came upon a beautiful forest. The beauty of this forest was breathtaking; I just had to walk through this forest. What caught my attention, was all the trees were beautiful. There wasn't an ugly tree in this forest. As I got into the middle of the forest, there stood an even more beautiful tree. I looked at my watch and saw that I needed to get home for dinner so I left. (Belinda left the forest to go home and eat. She was unable to be fed in the forest. Even though it was beautiful to the eyes, there apparently was nothing to eat to nourish the body.)

But, I decided I would return to this forest the next morning. I got up the next morning and went back into the forest to once again see the beautiful tree in the middle of the forest.

(Belinda was not drawn there to eat, but to see. The beauty of UBM is a façade in which there appears to be beauty to behold to the eyes.)

I thought my eyes were playing tricks on me; it appeared this most beautiful tree had moved left of where it was the day before, but I wasn't sure. (The Lord showed me UBM was faced with a factious rebellion like the Korah rebellion and this tree is the leader of it, Rob Stanley.)

(Satan was once beautiful in the garden of God. The goats move to the left according to Jesus. Goats represent stubborn self will. At the time of this dream we are watching some people move to the left, away from God, towards unforgiveness, bitterness, criticism and faction. I have heard from other parts of the world that this is happening there too, right now. It is the separation Jesus spoke of between sheep and goats.)

(Belinda states, *"I thought my eyes were playing tricks."* The perception and appearance David presents as an apostolic leader is a façade.

When you look back at UBM and see the difference between what David appears to be and who he actually is, there is a *"Cognitive Dissonance" i.e.,* I can't believe my lying eyes.

David claiming the highest office of the *fivefold* ministry would appear as the biggest and most beautiful tree in the forest.

When David made legal what God made unlawful, David moved to the left.

The reason Belinda would use “*Korah Rebellion*”, and “*Factious Rebellion*,” is because she has been indoctrinated by David and inclined to use the very same words to please David, a result of having an idolatress spirit toward David Eells.)

So I looked around and found a nice size rock and placed it by the tree and then I went home. The next day I returned back to the beautiful forest to check on this most beautiful tree. Sure enough, the tree had moved a few more feet to the left. (Belinda took a rock, “*petra*,” and placed it near the big tree (David) .

And the tree moved away from the rock (*scripture*). When David moved left (*away from scripture*), the elders told David to repent and move back toward the rock, “*petra*.”

David refused to repent and continued to slander and accuse the brethren using dreams as a witness.)

I couldn't help but wonder what was going on with this tree. Once again, I found a rock and put it by the tree and left. This went on and on until this beautiful tree was completely outside the boundaries of the forest. I remember being sad for this tree. Also, I observed this tree wasn't most beautiful anymore. It looked like any other tree you would see in the world. So I went home very sad.

(As David moved left, we tried to bring him back using The Word: “*petra*.”

But David has moved outside the boundaries of his anointing and functioning without delegated authority. David now operates as a Nicolaitane authority. King Saul lost his anointing as king, but struggled to keep his kingdom by turning against *his imagined enemies*.

King Saul wore down to the point of his death. We are all extremely sad for David. As I love David and respected David, it hurt me deeply to see him move left and lose his anointing.)

The next day I decided to go back and look at the once beautiful tree to see if there had been a change. My heart just sank when I saw that once most beautiful tree; it had completely withered. I went and got some water from a place I knew that was pure water. I put that pure water on that tree for many days, but it didn't help. (The true water of the Word has no effect on them, no repentance.) Then I woke up.

(When David came to my house July 9th, a month after I resigned, I was shocked to see him as a man who had lost his anointing, behave in a manner most

unbecoming not only an Apostle, but an elder, and a Christian.

I told David to drop this entire attack against the elders and his brethren and go home and rest.

He had received the exact *same advice* from Rory a few hours earlier.

David refused. I reminded David of a phone call he made in which he stated, "*Bill, I would like to just put this into the hands of God, and if I am wrong, I will be spanked and if Reuel is wrong, he will be spanked.*"

David claimed to not remember that conversation. I told him he *was* being spanked. He replied, "*You are putting me on my cross.*"

This tree was once most beautiful and died a slow and progressive death, and lost its place in the forest because it wasn't beautiful anymore. I noticed it wasn't pushed out, it progressively moved farther to the left (away from God).

(The offended cannot blame anyone for their unforgiveness. It is they who choose to separate. It is a matter of sowing and reaping what has been sown. God is not mocked, whatsoever a man sows so shall he reap.)

(David was backed into a corner when his false dream doctrine was challenged by the elders. David refused correction and continued his attacks using dreams as a witness. Now David has two choices.

He continues in the same vein of using dreams as a witness against the elders and the brethren, or he repents and confesses his sin to the church. The elders and the brethren who left UBM chose to stand for truth, and David insists to continue putting forth his false doctrine and lead the flock down the primrose path.”)

The “dreamer” writes:

Our tongue can give life or it can give death. If we are not walking in holiness before the Lord, what happened to this most beautiful tree can happen to us, and we won't look so beautiful before the Lord.

I have a very unsettling feeling in my spirit for the factious. I strongly believe the Lord is shouting at them to repent, *(from WHAT? -ed)* and He is not going to give them a lot of time and will possibly reprobate some! I pray for their speedy repentance. The LORD showed me Rob Stanley is the withered tree.

There IS and has been a conspiracy going on behind David's back.

One particular elder, Rob, stands out over the rest, but the other elders had secret thoughts of their own, so it was easy for them to fall into rebellion. (Amos 3:3) Shall two walk together, except they HAVE agreed? The LORD has been

watching this progressive conspiracy, and watching these elders recruit the brethren to back up THEIR cause.

I went to the LORD and asked why this was so; the LORD showed me the following evil spirits that rose up in these people: jealousy, ego, pride, bitterness, reviling, unforgiveness, faction.

I was deeply saddened by this, as a couple of these elders have helped me in the past. But, my allegiance is to the LORD and not man. I must reveal what the LORD has revealed to me, no matter what the cost.

If this factious group continues to come against David and the Body, they are in serious trouble with the LORD. The LORD revealed to me several days ago, that the guilty elders (and factious group) must repent quickly; that their SINS were great against David Eells and the Body.

The elders are in deeper judgment with the LORD as they have been **RECRUITING FOLLOWERS.** *(This is absolutely false,-editor)*

The LORD revealed to me that **David** is not to be concerned; the LORD keeps showing me pop quizzes getting ready for the BIG TEST! These quizzes are for ALL of the Body. The Body must be pruned and sifted for the next wave of world events. The LORD revealed to me the other day, that we are standing at the threshold of the tribulation and MUST cleanse our hearts. And, we must love each other from the heart.

David, the LORD has great plans for you and the ministry;

He needs a shepherd He can trust and He WILL have shepherds He can trust. I believe the LORD is raising up HIS shepherds all around the world. These shepherds will not be swayed by unrighteous or factious people.

The LORD has gone before you into the battle.

*(This last paragraph is a quintessential example of the spirit of idolatry, The Lord is warning **Belinda** in bringing to her attention the misdirection of David and UBM.*

Since she is unwilling to believe or accept that David may have fault, she speaks what David has planted in her mind. **All the buzz words that Belinda used she received from David.**

*The words **jealousy, ego, pride, bitterness, reviling, unforgiveness, and faction,** are all powerful words that David has continued to broadcast to the brethren.*

She doesn't realize all these words refer to David.

Belinda states, “The LORD has been watching this progressive conspiracy, and watching these elders recruit the brethren to back up THEIR cause.”

This is what David has claimed the elders have been doing.

Belinda is parroting what David has inculcated into the minds of the brethren and here is proof:

“The elders are in deeper judgment with the LORD as they have been **RECRUITING** followers.”

Notice how the accusation without evidence appears.

Words mean things, if Belinda is going to use them, then she has to prove they apply to Rob, Rory as defined.

And she needs to have the witnesses to prove her accusations.

If Belinda is accusing Rob, Rory and I of having a cause, then define that cause.

If we have been recruiting individuals, then who are those individuals whom we have recruited?

Belinda *never came to me to get my side of the story* before she accused me of such, she only took David’s word before allowing David to use her dream against Rob, Rory and I.

And that is only one witness.

We can plainly see her dream, if used as a witness, could *just as well witness against David.*

Belinda states, “**But, my allegiance is to the LORD and not man.**”

Unfortunately the spirit of idolatry veils her vision as she believes she is hearing from the Lord through David.

Belinda: “*The LORD revealed to me several days ago, that the guilty elders (and factious group) must repent quickly; that their SINS were great against David Eells and the Body. The elders are in deeper judgment with the LORD as they have been RECRUITING followers.*”

Belinda is so overcome by the spirit of idolatry, and her desire to please David Eells, that she has gravely mistaken these words as though they are the words of the Lord, when in fact *they are from David Eells.*

If Belinda wavered in her decision to use these dreams to falsely accusing Rob, David would certainly pressure Belinda to convince her David is right

and Rob is wrong.

As such, it is *David who is “RECRUITING followers”* and planting seeds of anger, bitterness and unforgiveness.

David gave his followers the impression he is the sinless victim of an unjustified attack as depicted in the plethora of dreams and visions he has as evidence.

Belinda: *“The LORD keeps showing me pop quizzes getting ready for the BIG TEST! These quizzes are for ALL of the Body.”*

We who have left UBM suffered the trial of these tests and I pray that Belinda’s eyes and ears are opened to the truth so she will be delivered from a spirit of idolatry, which has prevented her from passing this test.

Rory’s interpretation of Belinda’s two dreams in blue.

(In July 2011 David told Rory “...you are our best interpreter”)

Here are my thoughts which I saw in her dreams.

I edited out Dave's interpretation before interpreting.

I see both are about the situation there and Dave.

Chapter 10 *Grace Is Not Among the Factious*

-which is the Assembly still in the building

7/25/11 Belinda Anderson

Death and life are in the power of the tongue; And they that love it shall eat the fruit thereof. ***(Pro 18:21) Death and life are in the power of the tongue; And they that love it shall eat the fruit thereof.***

I dreamed I was in a grocery store, and two women approached me and asked me if I would be interested in attending their assembly's bible study. They explained some things to me and everything sounded pretty good, so I told them I would come. They gave me directions, date and the time of the bible study and left. I got to the bible study and was introduced to everyone, then we all took our seats. The bible study went very well. I enjoyed it very much. The same two ladies that

invited me, approached me and asked me if I enjoyed the study. I told them that I did, so they invited me back for the next one.

When I walked into the room where the bible study was to be held, the same two ladies that invited me were standing in the back of the room speaking to each other.

As I got closer to the ladies I couldn't help but hear that they were speaking about someone in a very negative way. the truth can be "negative", these two witnesses may have evidence against a person..

I noticed several people that were standing close by, went over and were standing there taking in what was being said about this person. (*David*)

I immediately went to the other side of the room, as I didn't want to be party to what they were doing.

She seems to leave before getting specific and direct evidence

After the bible study was over, I went into the ladies room and one of the ladies speaking slander was in the bathroom.

again, I see an *assumption* of slander. -a reflection of bias of the dreamer?

The two witnesses are speaking a negative report about "*this person*".

I went up to her and told her the bible teaches us as believers we are not to speak about our brethren this way. She told me I was making too much out of the situation and she left.

The "*situation*", was it regarding the *small matter* with Dave and Reuel at the beginning of the matter?

Jam. 4:11 Speak not one against another, brethren. He that speaketh against a brother, or judgeth his brother, speaketh against the law, and judgeth the law: but if thou judgest the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge.

As I was walking out of the building to the parking lot, I ran into the other lady speaking slander.

I took a deep breath and asked God for strength to approach this woman. I heard the Holy Spirit telling me that as a believer, I must do this.

I walked up to the woman, and I told her the same thing I had told the other woman. She reacted in the same way, got into her car and left. she again is told

that the matter was small and too much was made from it.

I decided to go back into the building she chooses to stay with the "assembly" and speak to the pastor about the situation. I gave him the information. He thanked me and said he would be back in touch.

The next morning I was praying when the Holy Spirit came upon me and told me, "tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. the pastor will call you and ask you not to come back to their assembly; that the assembly discussed the situation and decided it would be best if you didn't come back".

Pastor (Shepherd) Jesus told her not to go back to the assembly in the building

I was told by the Holy Spirit not to be concerned, that the Lord was doing this. *wow, she was told by the Lord not to stay with the assembly!*

I said okay sounds good to me and I thanked the Lord and went about my business.

Sure enough, the next morning at 9:00 a.m. the phone rang and it was the pastor and he told me just what the Holy Spirit had told me the day before. I told the pastor I understood and I thanked him for the phone call.

A couple of days later, I received a phone call from a lady named Grace. She explained to me that she was the one the two ladies had been speaking all those terrible things about.

She also told me that she was asked to leave the assembly.

Grace leaves the assembly...

As I hung up the phone, I understood what the Lord was doing. I obeyed the Lord in not being a party to gossip and slander, and bringing this sin to the attention of the guilty parties and the assembly. The assembly refused to take correction, so the Lord took his GRACE from their assembly. I see the meaning perverted
Grace left the assembly because they refused to hear the witnesses.

My thoughts: What I believe the Lord was showing me and telling me to share with the Body is, we can very easily fall out of favor with the Lord for not being able to accept correction. The Lord was very displeased with these people and he took his grace away for their rebellion; they refused the truth of the scripture.

The Lord took their portion of grace and gave it to the righteous person walking in obedience no matter what the cost. Also, the Lord was showing us that he will

protect the righteous. Listen to His voice and have NO FEAR of the unrighteous; he will right all the wrongs.

We are standing at the threshold of the tribulation; obedience is critical! God Bless brothers and sisters. My love and prayers are with all of you.

Losing One's Place in God

Belinda Anderson – 7/26/11

I had this dream this morning. I asked the Lord if there was anything else he could give me to share with the Body, and I had this dream.

I dreamed I was taking a walk and came upon a beautiful forest. The beauty of this forest was breathtaking; I just had to walk through this forest. Revelation of the Word is our beauty

What caught my attention, was all the trees were beautiful. In God's eyes, the trees are men of righteousness "He shall be like a tree planted by the rivers of water..."

There wasn't an ugly tree in this forest.

As I got into the middle of the forest, there stood an even more beautiful tree. David is the center of attention, her eye sees him in beauty

I looked at my watch and saw that I needed to get home for dinner so I left. In time she will eat "food" at "home", but she must leave the tree to do so.

But, I decided I would return to this forest the next morning.

I got up the next morning and went back into the forest to once again see the beautiful tree in the middle of the forest. the tree was once among brethren

I thought my eyes were playing tricks on me; it appeared this most beautiful tree had moved left of where it was the day before, but I wasn't sure. She thinks the tree is Rob when in fact it is David Eells. David is/was the center (middle) of the UBM forest.

David is the one people looked to among the many in UBM.

(The Lord showed me UBM was faced with a factious rebellion like the Korah

rebellion and this tree is the leader of it, Rob Stanley.)
 shows her bias based on what she was told.

So I looked around and found a nice size rock and placed it by the tree and then I went home.

The rock of God's WORD is how we measure .

The Spiritual ROCK is Christ, who is the Word.

"He shall be like a tree planted by the rivers of water (the WORD...) "

The next day I returned back to the beautiful forest to check on this most beautiful tree. Sure enough, the tree had moved a few more feet to the left. This tree is departing from THE WORD, Christ, who is our Rock...

I couldn't help but wonder what was going on with this tree. Once again, I found a rock and put it by the tree and left. We are to check the "tree" by the WORD.

This went on and on until this beautiful tree was completely outside the boundaries of the forest.

This tree has moved outside the boundaries God gave. It is no longer rooted in its God-given place AMONG brethren (the forest) .

It has separated itself *spiritually*, and lost its place among others, and set itself apart. (as David refused the council of the elders not to use the dreams or ostracize the Stewarts.

He has also moved outside the boundaries of God by setting forth dates, false dreams of disasters, leaning on YouTube videos and secular news, flipping coins, and finger pointing blindly in the Bible to divinate from God..

I remember being sad for this tree.

Also, I observed this tree wasn't most beautiful anymore. She has a reality check when she sees the truth...

It looked like any other tree you would see in the world. So I went home very sad. The next day I decided to go back and look at the once beautiful tree to see if there had been a change.

My heart just sank when I saw that once most beautiful tree; it had completely withered.

This is very sad and it is a word picture of someone that has lost spiritual strength

and beauty of holiness. What people once saw in him is no longer seen

I went and got some water from a place I knew that was pure water. I put that pure water on that tree for many days, but it didn't help. Then I woke up.
this tree cannot receive correction from the pure WORD anymore.

This tree was once most beautiful and died a slow and progressive death, *this is similar to dreams we have seen specifically about DE, in which there is a slow fall.* and lost it's place in the forest because it wasn't beautiful anymore. I noticed it wasn't pushed out, it progressively moved farther to the left (away from God).

End of dreams and interpretive comments

Having read the dreams from Belinda and comments from David, Rory, and I, we can see how dreams as witness can be very subjective, misused and abused.

Even if David was correct, it is clearly evident he would misuse the privilege.

When we have evidence that David is using dreams to maliciously malign the character and integrity of Gods' people, it is axiomatic he is no longer functioning as a minister of righteousness.

David is using dreams inappropriately and purposely misinterpreting them to fit his agenda.

In so doing, *he has corrupted* Belinda's spirit and defamed the character and integrity of Rob and Debra.

A Twist to the Story as the Plot Thickens

There is yet another important point to make about Belinda's dream.

Those dreams were first given to Debra via phone between Belinda and Debra. It was Debra that influenced Belinda to write up those dreams. This conversation took place July 24th. During this conversation, Belinda was alerted to the fact that Rob and Debra were no longer associated with UBM. Two hours after this phone conversation, Debra received the following e-mail from Belinda:

From: **Belinda Anderson** Date: Sun, Jul 24, 2011 at 9:23 PM

Subject: Death and Life are in the Power of the Tongue

To: Debra Stanley

I dreamed I was in a grocery store, and two women approached me and asked me if I would be interested in attending their assembly's bible study. They explained some things to me and everything sounded pretty good, so I told them I would come. They gave me directions, date and the time of the bible study and left. I got to the bible study and was introduced to everyone, then we all took our seats. The bible study went very well. I enjoyed it very much.

The same two ladies that invited me, approached me and asked me if I enjoyed the study. I told them that I did, so they invited me back for the next one. When I walked into the room where the bible study was to be held, the same two ladies that invited me were standing in the back of the room speaking to each other. As I got closer to the ladies I couldn't help but hear that they were speaking about someone in a very negative way. I noticed several people that were standing close by, went over and were standing there taking in what was being said about this person. I immediately went to the other side of the room, as I didn't want to be party to what they were doing.

After the bible study was over, I went into the ladies room and one of the ladies speaking slander was in the bathroom. I went up to her and told her the bible teaches us as believers we are not to speak about our brethren this way. She told me I was making too much out of the situation and she left.

(Jam 4:11)

Speak not one against another, brethren. He that speaketh against a brother, or judgeth his brother, speaketh against the law, and judgeth the law: but if thou judgest the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge.

As I was walking out of the building to the parking lot, I ran into the other lady speaking slander. I took a deep breath and asked God for strength to approach this woman. I heard the Holy Spirit telling me that as a believer, I must do this. I walked up to the woman, and I told her the same thing I had told the other woman. She reacted in the same way, got into her car and left.

I decided to go back into the building and speak to the pastor about the situation. I gave him the information. He thanked me and said he would be back in touch.

The next morning I was praying when the Holy Spirit came upon me and told me, "tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. the pastor will call you and ask you not to come back to their assembly;

that the assembly discussed the situation and decided it would be best if you didn't come back". I was told by the Holy Spirit not to be concerned, that the Lord was doing this. I said okay sounds good to me and I thanked the Lord and went about my business.

Sure enough, the next morning at 9:00 a.m. the phone rang and it was the pastor and he told me just what the Holy Spirit had told me the day before. I told the pastor I understood and I thanked him for the phone call.

A couple of days later, I received a phone call from a lady named Grace. She explained to me that she was the one the two ladies had been speaking all those terrible things about. She also told me that she was asked to leave the assembly.

As I hung up the phone, I understood what the Lord was doing. I obeyed the Lord in not being a party to gossip and slander, and bringing this sin to the attention of the guilty parties and the assembly. The assembly refused to take correction, so the Lord took his GRACE from their assembly.

God Bless, Belinda

David intervenes:

Between the time this e-mail was sent to Debra on July 24, and July 27, the title of the dream changed from ***"Death and life are in the power of the tongue; And they that love it shall eat the fruit thereof,"*** to ***"Grace Is Not Among The Factions."***

Not only did the title change, but it now had David's red notes and appeared in Robins e-mail, ***"UBM FACTION with updates,"*** August 3, 2011.

David used these two dreams as subject matter on his internet radio broadcast. What happened between the 24th of July when Debra and Belinda were on speaking terms, when Debra convinced Belinda to write the dreams, and the time those dreams appeared with David's red notes to maliciously malign Rob? -plus Belinda making some very malicious comments imparted from David Eells?

I only need to quote Belinda and change the name from *(the elders, and Rob)* to David.

"The LORD has been watching this progressive conspiracy, and watching these elders (ie David Eells) recruit the brethren to back up THEIR (i.e. David Eells') cause.

I went to the LORD and asked why this was so; the Lord showed me the following

evil spirits that rose up in these people (i.e. David Eells):
jealousy, ego, pride, bitterness, reviling, unforgiveness, faction.

-which happen to be what those who worked with David Eells all observed and have record of, *even from his own writings*. This includes at least 5 former elders, prophets and prophetesses, and salaried UBM workers.

As a fivefold ministry, my contention is that all the elders and brethren should know all evidence if our desire is for truth and justice.
 But justice can only come when truth is the only motivator and finding the truth is done in a context of love.

There cannot be bitterness, unforgiveness, or desire for retribution.

Chapter 11 When David Turned Against Me

The friction between David and I surfaced when David attempted to convince me that Reuel suffered from the "*spirit of rejection*."

David wrote to Reuel:

"A person with rejection and fear of rejection is oppressed by these spirits for many years and so earnestly desires the opposite; respect, admiration, being looked up to, feeling important, being a leader, etc.

Finally one day the opportunity comes for them to be in the spotlight and they like the feeling. They get a rush when they are promoted by others or promote themselves to others.

So they go after it by seeking the glory from men. Sometimes they see a shepherd that they think stands in their way and they begin to tear them down behind the scenes.

It really doesn't matter to them that this person has given their all to serve them and deliver them from darkness and that they are holy in the Lord.

Soon the people that they spoke to begin to withdraw from this shepherd because their hearts are poisoned with whispering, nit picking, and slander."

David compared Reuel to others:

"I have seen a consistent pattern over the years through people like Pb, SL, D D,

D P, PK, TB, TM, TH, WP, etc, etc.

When I did not agree with David that Reuel fit this description whatsoever, David was vehement. I told him I was flabbergasted that David would dare compare Pastor B to Reuel.

David replied, "*It is **the symptomology** that aligns Reuel with Pastor B.*"
I told David, "*I do not see the resemblance.*"

And I told him I have known both Pastor B and Reuel since 2005. These two only name Jesus Christ in common. Other than that, absolutely beyond any doubt, Reuel does not suffer from the "*spirit of rejection*" nor does he exhibit any other of those symptomologies.

David also accused Reuel of rudeness on a phone conversation David instigated with Reuel, concerning what had transpired at the "men's meeting."
 In that meeting, Reuel sought a fellowship gathering style that caused David to take a defensive posture for his own agenda.

In an effort to steer the disagreement in his favor, David would put words into Reuel's mouth.

Reuel *repeatedly* had to say, "***David, I am not saying that.***"

When David later called Reuel, David resumed the conversation again using the same tactic of steering it in his favor by putting words into Reuel's mouth.

At one point in the phone conversation, Reuel interrupted David to correct him, "*David, I am not saying that.*"

Reuel attempted to explain his views concerning fellowship. Reuel was afraid if he had not interrupted David at that point in the conversation, then David could assume Reuel was agreeing with him.

And David could also assume Reuel's point concerning fellowship was based on shaky reasoning. After the interruption, Reuel apologized for having interrupting, and the interruption was not intended as a rude gesture.

Soon after the conversation with Reuel, David called to inform me of Reuel's lack of respect indicated by his rude interruption during their phone conversation. But David never mentioned that Reuel apologized for the interruption!

What David didn't know was that Reuel had already informed me of the conversation *and that Reuel had apologized.*

It was apparent David was attempting to paint a bad picture of Reuel to turn me against Reuel. There was a day David came over to my house attempting to convince me that I needed to convince Reuel he suffered from the "*spirit of rejection*" and needed deliverance from demon spirits.

It was shortly thereafter, *David began his attack against me.*

David began blaming the demon spirits in Reuel jumped on me, and now I was "infected" with the "*spirit of rejection*". So I too began to be accused of slander, faction, and other things unbecoming an elder.

The accusations became official when David sent me an e-mail accusing me of letting the tormentors in the back door of UBM.

He wrote on 7/4/11 concerning the incident at the men's meeting:

"For 2 1/2 weeks I went to Reuel and Christina gently asking them why they were withdrawing from the congregation more and more; what was offending them. Even when Reuel could come he didn't.

When I got no answer I exhorted them to obey Heb.10:25,26 and not forsake assembly.

In all of this they had more than enough opportunity to come to my face but Reuel chose to bring his objections to the way we elders were handling the assembly meetings, to the public men's meeting; coming in the back of the house.

I and several of the brethren gave him scriptural answers, which were ignored. This is consistent with a spirit of faction. Garrett said the Lord told him that this was a spirit and if it wasn't stopped it would go through all of UBM."

I am being nice when I say David took liberty to deviate from the facts to produce a desired effect. I would replace the word "gently" with *persistent*.

David claimed Reuel and Christina were offended.

That is not true.

Reuel told David face to face, time and time again, that he was not offended.

David claimed when Reuel could come to the assembly, he didn't.

And he makes no mention of Reuel unselfishly serving others on his days off from work. Reuel has been a blessing, offering himself freely while at the same time, sharing scripture and testimony.

Reuel was being led of the Holy Spirit, David Eells was not, and David took offense to that. David claims he “*got no answer.*” David DID get an answer; it was not the answer he wanted.

I spoke to David; Reuel spoke to David, because David had been persistent in his efforts to probe Reuel and me!
We realized David was looking for the answer he wanted or a reason to accuse us of being factious.

The answer David wanted was agreement and total submission.

David states, “*Reuel chose to bring his objections, to the way we elders were handling the assembly meetings, to the public men’s meeting; coming in the back of the house.*”

The *men’s meeting* is not public. It is the “*men’s meeting*”, not a public service. Besides, Reuel **was not bringing objections** to the men’s meeting; Reuel was bringing **suggestions** to the men’s meeting. There is a big difference.

And that phrase “*coming in the back of the house*” would be incorporated into future accusations through David’s interpretation of dreams.

In the dreams David used to accuse me, it was alleged I was letting “*tormentors in through the back door*”. He has consistently accused anyone speaking any critical word against David as going behind his back.

No criticism is permitted of him. He accepts only the accusation of sin which must be brought directly to him, and no one else per Matthew 18:15. This is an effective cult type control measure ensuring David is cognizant of any criticism. David Eells wants total awareness of who said what, and when it was said.

In reviewing his twisting of events, David Eells was the one offended. Speaking of which, the floor was given **to anyone** who had concerns or suggestions by Rob and David.

Reuel voiced his suggestion and David objected to Reuel’s suggestion. David claimed he gave Reuel scriptural reference which Reuel ignored.

Reuel did not ignore the reference, Reuel didn’t agree with David’s argument.

It was David who couldn't agree to disagree with Reuel.

On 7/1/11, David wrote to Rob in an e-mail:

“Garrett said the Lord told him that this was a spirit and if it wasn't stopped it would go through all of UBM.”

Garrett is the brother with a dream of an earthquake on May 14th.

Garrett is the brother who had the dream Rob and Debra were practicing witchcraft.

Garrett is sincere in his desire to please David, but Garrett is sincerely wrong. May 14th was a fine day with no earthquake.

David Eells wrote in an e-mail:

From: David Eells

To: William Rowe Thursday, June 2, 2011 3:00 PM

Hi Bill

I never sent that e-mail to Reuel but I did send another one after getting a word from the Lord it was ready to go.

If you hear of an accusation against an elder please be an elder and rebuke it. 1 Tim.5:19

If Reuel or Christina wants to talk about me please send them to Mt. 18:15.

If its not sin they should be quiet and submit to elders. I am talking to him.

Lets see if he talks back....to me.

If you hear factious criticism be a friend to God and refuse it. Tit.3:10,11

All of this could have been stopped when it started.

Many blessings brother,-David

That e-mail is charged with emotion that hinders rational or unprejudiced consideration.

David' struggle to control.

The avenue for discourse with David Eells is very narrow.

I submit even a casual talk between husband and wife about David Eells could be outside of those narrow bounds.

According to David, Reuel and Christina cannot speak to me about David.

The only topic permitted is sin and then I must tell Reuel and Christina to be quiet

and submit to the elders.

By then, I had spoken with David and Reuel, regarding David's insistence that Reuel and I agree with David that Reuel was factious, and must confess and repent.

Reuel and I were now talking about David's sin.

We discussed the false accusations made against Reuel. I adamantly disagreed with David. We discussed the corner I was now backed into by David and how I had to be very careful with whom I spoke and what I said.

At that time, everything was only between Reuel and me for we knew it was a matter of time before David would attack me also for my refusal to agree with him.

Thus David backed me right into my resignation as I had nowhere else to go.

Because I would not side with David against Reuel, David appeared fearful Reuel and I were talking behind David's back.

But as an elder, I couldn't reconcile the situation between us unless Reuel and I were able to talk. I couldn't send Reuel to David, because Reuel and I had already met with David on several occasions.

To summarize his e-mail, David may as well said:

There better not be any talk about me. If you want to talk about me, it better be to my face. If you are talking among yourselves about me, then it better be about any sin I am in. Then there must be witnesses to that sin and they should have come to speak to my face, Matthew 18:15. Otherwise, be quiet and submit to me.

Chapter 12 It Was All about Self Preservation

David wrote an e-mail 6-2-11 titled "***Please Bill***"

Hi Bill

*I am sad brother. When I wrote that below the Lord was telling me you were not being faithful to your calling as an elder. **You were taking sides with those who were in sin and rebellion.***

I knew that you were not obeying Tit.2:1011 & Rom.16:17,18.

*Since then **I received two dreams** that were received last week and this week. **This is God's report. It is what He thinks of your actions.***

The first one said that the **UBMers were being tortured**. You were supposed to be guarding them but instead **you were collaborating with the enemy or torturers**.

The second one said UBMers were seeking the safety of a van but you were purposely leaving the rear door open for the enemy to come in. **You were said to be a double agent**.

This connects **these dreams** Reuel and Ben of sneaking in the back door through you. **The dreams are definitely are speaking of them.**)

Ben and Reuel are running around **spreading factious criticism to destroy the saints** several of whom confessed tonight that they had to fight spirits off after they were attacked with their murmuring and complaining. **Instead of obeying the Word you helped the torturers**. **You were supposed to be guarding the sheep but you enabled the wolves**.

There was a time you were siding with Tom for the same reason. They were your friends. **Whoso makes himself a friend of the world is an enemy of God**. **Both of your friends have evil spirits because of rejection and pride**. You are susceptible to that rejection in them because you have it too.

Please repent Bill. **You too have factious criticism**. I heard it and Darv heard it too at another time.

Don't let rejection carry you away Bill. God spoke in the dreams and he spoke to me. Three witnesses.

Look at this list of people in the e-mail I sent Reuel below. **Do you really want to be considered among them as torturers of the saints?** Please Read the link below.

Please repent Bill. Its me, David. I am your friend too but I am not in sin.
Love, -David

I was quite upset when I read this e-mail to say the least.

I have known David since 1998 working faithfully, diligently and loyally for UBM for many of those years. I thought my character and integrity were above reproach and my track record as a servant of UBM would take precedence over a dream. Not two **dreams** or even a hundred dreams.

Dreams cannot be witness to sin. And I was not in sin against God.

Coincidentally those two dreams about me came in *just when David needed them* to attack and manipulate me to agree with him, and side with him against Reuel.

David stated in the 6-2-11 e-mail, “Please repent Bill. **You too have factious criticism.** I heard it **and Darv heard it too** at another time.”

Later on 8-3-11, David, via Robin, would refer to that 6-2-11 e-mail again, where he wrote:

*"I had already spoken to Bill Rowe **who was very critical** that Rob had “commanded” the parents to bring **the babies** to be prayed for. I explained to him **that his criticism** was off the mark because unknown to Rob I had received the same instructions from the Lord. Rob just beat me to it. I knew this was not Bill. He has always been very proper. **He had been critical** to me about the music and singing, which wasn't his style. I pointed to the fact that it obviously edified the brethren and that should be enough for him. **He was critical** to Darv about our Church order just as Reuel was."*

What an example how David slyly twists wording then uses it against you.

David has no tolerance for diversity. Being critical is synonymous with being factious and painting me with the “c” word was to malign me to others.

My definition of critical is: *exercising or involving careful judgment or judicious evaluation – critical thinking, the process of forming an opinion or evaluation by discerning and comparing.*

I define opinion as: *belief stronger than impression and less strong than positive knowledge.*

I was *critical* of the manner Rob “requested” the parents bring their “children” to the center of the assembly. I was *not critical* of praying for the **“children”**. David took the word **“children”** **and changed it to “babies.”** That was not by accident. The word change was used to prejudice the minds of anyone reading those words.

That is *prima facie* evidence that David is actually the factious one, and evidence he twists words for his benefit.

Saying *I had always been proper* insinuates I am no longer proper. No, I was not critical of the music and singing. I was critical of the emphasis

placed on the music and singing.

David derived this information from a phone conversation he initiated. I did not call David, he called to draw out information from me.

After much probing and prodding, he ultimately backed me into a corner where I finally expressed my concerns in a forum I thought was safe and appropriate.

Astonishingly David also added to the e-mail that I was "*critical to Darv.*" -another unsubstantiated accusation.

No one has ever questioned me concerning this accusation. To this day, I have no clue what I supposedly said to Darv. Since I have been in Tennessee, I had one conversation with Darv before a church service. I asked Darv how he was doing and Darv complained of needing to move. I asked why he had to move and Darv said it was **because** of Curt's **dream**. Curt had a dream their house was flooded. I told Darv, don't move in fear; move in faith. Don't listen to what man says, listen to the Holy Spirit. I spoke faith to Darv and to reject fear.

David begins to slander and malign Bill, Rob Stanley is brought in to mediate

In this e-mail exchange between Rob and David, Rob attempted to reconcile the situation between David, Reuel, Christina, and I.

Rob Stanley wrote on 7-11-11: *David Eells responded in red.*

The Stewarts have elected to not fellowship with UBM. Bill has expressed the reason he resigned, "*Based on those two dreams concerning me, if they are in fact true, for the sake of the ministry, I resign from the ministry. If they are not true, I can no longer work in a ministry where my character and integrity are in question.*"

Bill decided to resign for his own reasons and we don't have actual proof that he was "*infected*" with faction or division and can only take him at his word. Yes, there were disagreements how things "should be done", i.e., fellowship/worship. However, those issues were not the reason for Bill's resignation.

(You(Rob) seem to be ignoring all the facts.

We have witnesses, including myself and Darv, that Bill was unforgiving and critical. He was bitter when he spoke of your command to pray for the babies. He was critical of the music and would come after it was over. And critical of our service. The dreams agreed with all the rest of the dreams. I had a word before the dreams confirming them.

AND he divided away from us saying it was harder than losing his son.)

David's comment is typical of him twisting words, changing words, and intentionally misconstruing what was actually said. He does with subtle intent to discredit and malign someone's character and integrity with the purpose of poisoning the minds of others.

The process of attempting to reconcile differences between Reuel, Christina and David was excruciating. When I was personally attacked to the point of resignation, I found it difficult to describe the extreme emotional burden it placed upon me without using an analogy.

The analogy I used was "*this experience was worse than experiencing the loss of my son.*" David must have heard it from Chad as I gave Chad that analogy. David took what Chad told him and perverted those words to use against me.

David told Rob when I separated from UBM, it was because of bitterness, un-forgiveness, and from being critically factious. Thus my separating from UBM was "harder than losing his son."

But it was *not* the separating from UBM that was an emotional drain, it was the trial of enduring a personal attack from a man that I love and respected.

After 12 years of close friendship, I suddenly turn critical, unforgiving and bitter? David in one paragraph described me as "*critical*" **three times**, as well as unforgiving and bitter.

Most of this information was derived from *one call* that David initiated and probed me to extract the information. David claimed I sounded bitter on the phone, but it was not bitterness that David sensed coming from me, it was impatience bordering on annoyance because of David's persistence in probing me until I said something that could incriminate me.

But as always, it came down to the dreams.

David has a right to his opinion but he does not have the right to lie. I came late to worship services at times but never entirely missed the music. In fact, **many times I arrived early.**

It will help you to understand my dilemma by applying a term.

The term that applies is called "*Cognitive Dissonance.*"

Cognition is the process of mental perception

Dissonance is an incongruity or inconsistency.

I had a mental perception of Reuel from my experience as a Christian elder to assess his character resulting from our seven years of friendship.

David Eells, whom I respected, attempted to distort my mental perception of Reuel by convincing me that his assessment of Reuel was right and mine was not.

David put me between the proverbial *rock and a hard spot*. By him placing me in that position, he probably unwittingly put himself in the same position.

If I was correct and David was wrong, then David was either a liar or a very poor judge of character.

If David was correct and I was wrong, then I was a very poor judge of character.

If I was so wrong assessing Reuel's character, I would not have the cognitive ability to correctly assess *anyone's* character.

And if I did not possess the cognitive ability to correctly assess character and integrity, I had no business being an elder.

BUT if I was correct and David was wrong, then David has no business being an elder either.

Chapter 13 Reality Checks

When David sent that e-mail, he essentially severed our working relationship.

Either way, one of us had to go.

There was no solution to this conundrum that would allow David and I to continue as before. If I gave in to David's desires, I would either defile my conscience, or I would have to admit I was impersonating an elder and be nothing more than David's yes man.

I would not continue with David if all he can use is an elder with either a defiled conscience or lacking the characteristics of a true elder.

So, after receiving this e-mail on Thursday evening June 2nd, the Holy Spirit led me through a series of events that brought me to this realization ending with my resignation from UBM at 01:31AM Wednesday, June 8th, 2011.

Thursday night I did not sleep. I was torn between David Eells, our friendship,

my duty as an elder with UBM and my duty as an elder to the flock.

Friday morning, June 3, David called and asked if he could come to my house and talk to me. I did not want to talk to him but told him he was welcome to come and talk. When David arrived, he asked me, "***How do you feel?***"

After having sent me an e-mail that totally discredited my character and integrity, **David knew exactly how I felt.** -Especially as David claims to be an expert in the "spirit of rejection."

I answered David, "*How do you think I feel?*" David answered, "***Rejected!!!***" As David said "*rejected*", he had a smirk on his face I found quite disturbing.

David's purpose in coming to my home to talk to me face to face was another tactical move to align me with him against Reuel. David calculated that softening me up with that "***rejection***" e-mail, I would be amiable to turn against Reuel.

Up to this point, UBM was my life. I had just moved to Tennessee from Florida because of the ministry at great expense. Now I was softened up and open for suggestions. But the one-two punches and low-blow from David softened me up to hear suggestions from the Holy Spirit instead of David Eells.

In our conversation the morning of June 3, David said how much he appreciated me and all my hard work but I belonged to the Lord and not Reuel. David said I needed to perform my duty as an elder and convince Reuel that he was in sin and needed deliverance from the "*spirit of rejection.*"

David asked if he could pray for me and I agreed. He prayed that God would grant me a backbone. -One that would cause me to stand up for David Eells and against Reuel. But he didn't know what I was discerning from the Holy Spirit.

David didn't realize as he was praying for me to receive a backbone, I was praying for more discernment and an out pouring of the Holy Spirit.

Consequently, that is exactly what happened. Before David departed, I agreed to talk to Reuel on Tuesday because he works Saturday through Monday. I never agreed to speak to Reuel as David's ambassador but I went there as an ambassador of Jesus Christ filled with the Holy Spirit.

Saturday morning June 4, David called and asked if I would be willing to go with

him to Tellico Plains to minister to a young couple. I agreed and met David at his house at 8am.

We arrived around 9am and met the couple at "C-'s" place. C- lives there with his sister and C- and they are members of UBM. The wife of this young couple struggled with un-forgiveness towards her husband. When I discerned that, I told her, *"Go to your husband and tell him you forgive him."* The young lady said it was too hard. I replied it is actually very easy.

An example of forgiveness

So I gave her a practical example. I was sitting across from David in the living room and looked into his eyes and said, *"David, we have known each other a long time. I have done things that have hurt you and you have done things that have hurt me. David, I forgive you, do you forgive me?"*

David answered, *"Yes Bill, I forgive you."*

I looked at the young lady and said, *"See how easy it is. Now tell your husband you forgive him."* She arose from her chair and went to her husband to sit next to him. As she began to cry she said, *"I forgive you."* The husband began to cry and said to her, *"I forgive you too."*

There was not a dry eye in the house.

It was a very touching experience prompted by the Holy Spirit. Before witnesses, on record, I had forgiven David for any hurt he had caused me and asked him to forgive me of any hurt I had caused him.

As we walked away from C-'s, David said, *"Bill, we make a good team."* I told David, *"Yes we do."* I was thinking as I said that *"as long as we stick to the real good news of the Gospel."*

I left with hope David and I could work things out.

The Fellowship Meeting

That afternoon was the monthly UBM fellowship at a church at Madisonville. I did not really want to go because I knew my character and integrity were in question and there was talk in the assembly concerning Reuel and I.

I prayed before arriving Father would grant wisdom and discernment as I attended the fellowship that afternoon. Debra greeted me with a huge bear hug and we had a delightful conversation. I then talked to a couple of sisters visiting from New

York that I had met via conference calls. As I was in the food line, Gerard came to me and said we need to talk.

It was Gerard who had the two dreams about me. After a few minutes I walked over to him, putting my hand on his shoulder saying *"Well Gerard, you wanted to talk to me?"*

Gerard looked surprised and said, *"I did not mean now."*

As Gerard said that, two brothers Gerard was speaking with stood up and quickly walked away. I found that very odd.

I told Gerard, *"There is no time like the present."* Gerard began with small talk and asked about my family. I spoke about my wife and a revelation I received from the Lord, that if I allowed my wife to put me on my cross, then my Father would deal with my wife. -Which is exactly what happened.

As I gave testimony concerning my wife, some other brothers came to listen and make comments. I also spoke with Craig and Corena then left and went home.

As I was driving home contemplating why the two brothers would run off when I walked up to Gerard it hit me. Gerard is the one who had the two dreams about me and those two brothers probably thought the conversation was going to get heated between Gerard and I.

But, since my Father took away the memory of Gerard having the dreams, our conversation did not even go there. *That is a miracle.* I spoke to Gerard as a brother in Jesus Christ without anger or bitterness toward him for having those two dreams about me.

That evening as I was thinking about Tellico Plains and what a wonderful experience it was, the Holy Spirit prompted me to send David this e-mail:

From: William Rowe
To: david
Sent: Sat, June 4, 2011 10:14:36 PM
Subject: IF FOR NO OTHER REASON

David

Friday when you came to my house, you asked me how I was feeling. I knew that you knew how I was feeling so that is why I said, "How do you think I feel?" You said, "Rejected?"

And I said, "I don't believe I suffer from the "spirit of rejection," but I have

suffered rejection and that is part of life and I can handle it."

Then you placed your hand on my arm and I could instantly feel the love you had for me. Then you continued on by telling me how much you appreciated my hard work.

Then the thought came to mind that for no other reason, I would serve you because I love you also. That is when I told you I climbed a 200 foot tower for you. Then I realized this love is the love of Christ and we are both doing the will of our Father for the sake of Christ.

Be Blessed, -Bill

I really believed David would reconsider his insistent attack against Reuel.

Unknown to me Sunday would be my last broadcast.

Monday morning David called and said something rather interesting and an answer to my prayers.

He told me, *"Bill, I would like to just put this into the hands of God, and if I am wrong, I will be spanked and if Reuel is wrong, he will be spanked."*

I said, *"David, praise God for that has been our prayer all along."*

I was excited to say the least!

Chapter 14 Resignation and Freedom

Monday night the word *resignation* came to mind. I had no intention of resigning from UBM but ***“resignation”*** grew stronger and stronger. Father was preparing me for Tuesday morning when I received the following e-mail from David Eells:

From: David Eells

To: William Rowe

Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2011 8:19 AM

Subject: Dealing with Reuel and Ben - 2

*I added **to the Debra Stanley dream** what the Lord was saying to me. Maybe Rob should come to this get together too.*

Bill

*Philip Sapp got the same word I did **when he was faced with their lies and rebellion. He said the rebellion against authority and the word was from their Children of God spirits.***

In these dreams and commands from the Lord below we threw them out. If they

don't repent and not just try to make peace so they can continue we must go to Mat.18:17. Tell it to the church and let them be as a gentile and publican, so they will not be able to poison any more.

Notice **Lanita Sue's dream** in this page?

<<http://www.unleavenedbreadministries.org/?page=christiansnipers>>

Please read this below brother. I put the italicized note in this. It has not gone to anyone but you.

Thanks bro,- David

<to> Reuel and Ben

David Eells – 6-5-11

*I had a very unusual and memorable **vision** early in the morning. I saw myself as a normal person in a cartoon. I was in a long log cabin cartoon house. I heard a voice of warning, "David, the dragon has sent **the ink-lies** against you." I opened the door on one end of the cartoon cabin and looked to my left. I saw a dragon with his head all the way up to his shoulders stuck into a window in the back of the cabin. Most of what I saw outside was the tail of the dragon. As I saw this dragon pulled his head out and faced me. I then saw cartoon black letters, black characters, sneaking through the house from the back.*

As I came out of it, the Lord gave me the interpretation.

The long log cabin house is UBM in the wilderness. The dragon is the corporate beast inhabited by satan as in Rev.12. The "prophet that teacheth lies, he is the tail" (Isa.9:15) and the mouth was in the house speaking lies, which were turning into black text also.

He that does not come through the door which is Jesus, "climbeth up some other way" coming into the house as Jesus said in John 10:1.

He was coming through the window in the back as a thief that comes to steal as Jesus also said in (10:10) The thief cometh not, but that he may steal, and kill, and destroy: I came that they may have life, and may have [it] abundantly.

*These thieves, of course, are the ones who are not sent by the Lord, **who speak lies to steal a position of leadership** and the peoples hearts away from those who come through the door of Jesus.*

We have had a parade of these people the last few years. It is a cartoon because it

is not a thing to be feared. I know it is God cleansing the church for **the wicked in heart listen to these people and separate from the righteous** for “An evil doer giveth heed to lying lips”. It is also for the purpose of crucifying the true leaders, as was done with Jesus and the apostles, and throughout history.

This week started out with Brad Moyers **sharing a dream** where he was in a long classroom with many UBM brethren. He was near the front of the class **when he saw a person enter the rear of the room** and begin to speak to each person from the back going towards the front. Brad was apprehensive because this person is well known to us as a lying, factious slanderer who sought a position of authority in UBM and was angry when I stood in his way. He tried to call me to warn me but couldn't get through.

Then I came into the room, as an 8 year old man-child, and rebuked this slanderer. I said, “In the name of Jesus get out of here!” and he left the room. Then I followed him out and I and another man-child began to fight with him. This all sounds very much **like my vision** .

We never suspected this person would come back to **fulfill this dream** but that there were others with his same spirits who through factious slander or divisive criticism, **would seek to usurp authority in the assembly**.

Of course casting these people out is commanded to keep from leavening the whole body. {1 Co.5:7} Purge out the old leaven, that ye may be a new lump, even as ye are unleavened. For our passover also hath been sacrificed, [even] Christ: {8} wherefore let us keep the feast, not with old leaven, neither with the leaven of malice and wickedness, but with the unleavened bread of sincerity and truth... {11} but as it is, I wrote unto you not to keep company, if any man that is named a brother be ... a reviler ... with such a one no, not to eat. {12} For what have I to do with judging them that are without? Do not ye judge them that are within? {13} But them that are without God judgeth. Put away the wicked man from among yourselves. {2 Th.3:6} Now we command you, brethren, in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye withdraw yourselves from every brother that walketh disorderly, and not after the tradition which they received of us... {14} And if any man obeyeth not our word by this epistle, note that man, that ye have no company with him, to the end that he may be ashamed.

In cases **where there is rejection involved** you wont have to cast them out; they will leave because of their own unforgiveness and bitterness.

We also do this “that they may be ashamed” and repent because if they do not they will die like the murmurers and complainers did in the wilderness in Numbers 14.

Also in Numbers 16 the usurpers of authority in the Korah, Dathan, and Abiram rebellion died in the wilderness. They forsook assembling because of their offence and Moses called the assembly to separate from them or partake of their judgments, just as we should today.

*After Brad’s revelation **Gerard Drake received two dreams** which he had received a week apart that torturers were sneaking into the body through the back way to torture the UBM brethren. In one of the dreams we were running a ship to carry brethren to the refuge while this was happening. In the other, the brethren were seeking to hide in a large van and the torturers were sneaking in the back door.*

*(Note: **The first dream** said that Bill was supposed to be guarding them but instead he was collaborating with the enemy or torturers.*

***The second one** said UBMers were seeking the safety of a van **but Bill was purposely leaving the rear door open** for the enemy to come in. He was said to be a double agent.*

This connects these dreams Reuel and Ben of sneaking in the back door through you. The dreams are definitely are speaking of them.)

*Debra Stanley also **had a dream** before all of this that she and Rob were working on fuel bottles as a source of energy for the refuge and I was standing across the room when a skunk appeared behind the couch in one corner of the room and walked to the other corner of the room behind a wood stove, which was not burning.*

***I had already received a vision** of one of the former well known factious attackers **of myself**, as a skunk, meaning their actions were not the sweet smell of sacrifice that the flesh should be but were a stench in God’s nostrils.*

*I told Rob and Debra at the time that **this dream meant** we were going to have another attack of this type. This skunk was doing things in the corner out of sight of the elders. This was a saying the Jews had meaning “hidden from people” Acts 26:26. They always want to do their railing in darkness so they wont be caught. They must be exposed, marked, as Rom.16:17 says below. They left the couch or the rest to go to another corner but this time there is no fire in their stove, meaning*

they will be cold towards God and men. This is what has happened to the others who have done this.

All of this warning in just a little over a week lets you know the devils plan of attack. **The Bible tells you to report these sly actions to the elders and mark or make known such persons.** Under the guise of concerned persons they air their murmurings and complainings until the listeners heart is poisoned against others or often the leadership. {Rom.16:17} Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them that are causing the divisions and occasions of stumbling, contrary to the doctrine which ye learned: and turn away from them. {18} For they that are such serve not our Lord Christ, but their own belly; and by their smooth and fair speech they beguile the hearts of the innocent. Jesus, speaking to the body, said that worldly members of the body who cause stumbling should be cut off so the whole body isn't cast into hell. {Mat.18:7} Woe unto the world because of occasions of stumbling! for it must needs be that the occasions come; but woe to that man through whom the occasion cometh! {8} And if thy hand or thy foot causeth thee to stumble, cut it off, and cast it from thee: it is good for thee to enter into life maimed or halt, rather than having two hands or two feet to be cast into the eternal fire. {9} And if thine eye causeth thee to stumble, pluck it out, and cast it from thee: it is good for thee to enter into life with one eye, rather than having two eyes to be cast into the hell of fire.

These factious critical people were reported to the elders and in the scriptures and must be today just as in Lanita Sue Creech's **dream** above. {1 Cor.1:11} For it hath been signified unto me concerning you, my brethren, by them [that are of the household] of Chloe, that **there are contentions among you.** {11:18} For first of all, when ye come together in the church, I hear that divisions exist among you; and I partly believe it. {19} For there must be also factions among you, that they that are approved may be made manifest among you.

Notice that the disobedient will be known when this happens because those who are approved will be manifested through obedience. {1 Jo. 2:19} They went out from us, but they were not of us; for if they had been of us, they would have continued with us: but [they went out], that they might be made manifest that they all are not of us.

Since the primary reason is usually faction or division for **the sake of lifting self up to usurp authority**, you should admonish such a one twice, the first and second time they do this, before separating from them, even if they have been a friend,

because we must do it for the sake of the body. {Tit. 3:10} A factious man after a first and second admonition refuse; {11} **knowing that such a one is perverted, and sinneth**, being self-condemned. Notice we are told in these verses to turn away from them or refuse them.

I have seen a consistent pattern over the years; a common scenario that happens when people suddenly rise up **against our ministry**. It is a common m.o. and trap that the devil has laid for them. It goes something like this:

A person with rejection and fear of rejection is oppressed by these spirits for many years and so earnestly desires the opposite; respect, admiration, being looked up to, feeling important, being a leader, etc. Finally one day the opportunity comes for them to be in the spotlight and they like the feeling. **They get a rush when they are promoted by others or promote themselves to others**. So they go after it by seeking the glory from men.

Sometimes they see a shepherd that they think stands in their way and they begin to tear them down behind the scenes. It really doesn't matter to them that this person has given their all to serve them and deliver them from darkness and that they are holy in the Lord.

Soon the people that they spoke to begin to withdraw from this shepherd because their hearts are poisoned with whispering, nit picking, and slander. When the shepherd searches for the source of all this and faces them with their sin, they feel once again the pain of these lying spirits who turn even kind correction into rejection and fear of rejection.

So in self will they retaliate against their old friend weirdly seeing him as the enemy when all he has done is good toward them and loved them. And he wonders what happened.

Why have my good **friends done this to me**? Why have **they deserted me**? Hoping not to bring public and personal rebuke to those who already have rejection, the shepherd seeks to inoculate the people with teaching so the disease doesn't spread, as shepherds must do.

The offended bring up all kinds of things that are not sins against the shepherd because **the devil is seeking to destroy him and his reputation through them**.

And **they want to separate disciples unto themselves**. They are immature and

puffed up and, contrary to the Biblical methods, act as though God has ordained them to be elders and to take authority over the one who has been one for many years.

They account this shepherd unworthy of forgiveness which they want for themselves but now have lost. In these cases Mt.18:15-17 has to be finally and painfully observed.

They twist the scriptures to prove a point that doesn't account for anything but it is simply an excuse ***to seek their own glory.***

When one with rejection starts factious ***criticism it is for the sake of self glory.*** ***They sow a spirit of discord,*** which God says He hates. They are like Esau, who was a son of Abraham/Isaac, sold his birthright following the flesh ***and persecuting the elect. They become unholy and unreliable before God, and don't have the humility to repent and restore the damage they have done.***

"He that returneth evil for good, evil will not depart from his house." Jesus said in {Joh. 5:41} I receive not glory from men. {42} But I know you, that ye have not the love of God in yourselves. {43} I am come in my Father's name, and ye receive me not: if another shall come in his own name, him ye will receive. {44} How can ye believe, who receive glory one of another, and the glory that [cometh] from the only God ye seek not? ... {8:50} But I seek not mine own glory: there is one that seeketh and judgeth. ... {54} Jesus answered, If I glorify myself, my glory is nothing: it is my Father that glorifieth me; of whom ye say, that he is your God. ... {12:43} for they loved the glory [that is] of men more than the glory [that is] of God.

*They fail the test in the wilderness and accuse Moses, who gave his life for them, and suffered much to bring them out of Egypt. And like in Korah's rebellion God was angry and swallowed them up alive. Most of these people just become swallowed alive by the earth of their flesh, ***being darkened in their understanding as they wander farther from the truth and tormented by the tormentors.****

*These people ***almost never confess their sins for fear of rejection*** and ***so they are never cleansed of unrighteousness*** – 1 Joh.1:9. ***They are factious, critical and spread discord, dividing people unto themselves*** by ***self willed opinions,*** which is the definition of the Greek word for heresies.*

Important link: Deliverance from Rejection and its Fear

(emphasis added)

I didn't need to read the entire e-mail to discern David *had not* given the issue into the hands of Father as he said, but purposed to continue the attack against Reuel *using me as his hit man.*

Notice in his e-mail, David added *two dreams* about me claiming Reuel and Ben are the tormentors that I am allowing to infiltrate UBM. David wrote, "*This connects these dreams Reuel and Ben of sneaking in the back door through you. The dreams are definitely are speaking of them.)"*

A glaring point was I had *never* talked to Ben, only to Reuel.

Ben only got involved because he was at Reuel's house when David called and Ben heard the conversation.

Ben heard Reuel repeatedly say, "*David, I am not saying that.*"

My conversations with Reuel centered on reconciliation and how to convince David that Reuel did not have a "*spirit of rejection,*"; why Reuel could not be forced to admit to something that was not true. I would never coerce someone to admit something not true or admit to something that would defile the conscience.

I knew David's attack against Reuel was unjust and frankly, a pack of lies.

In re-reading David's email now, it is clear the very charges he makes, now highlighted, are clearly a self-description. *His own words and actions fulfill the dreams and the scriptures.*

This hurt me deeply seeing a man I once respected and still loved, attack people I also loved. I received revelation I was as Jonathon, torn between his father King Saul and his good friend David. *But now, David Eell's was Saul.*

Independent of me, Reuel received the same revelation.

But in my revelation I had no intention of dying with King Saul.

The revelation that Reuel was as King David was in respect to our friendship and does not imply I exalted Reuel, or that Reuel wanted exalting.

Reuel never desired a leadership position or have intention of taking over UBM. *(At this time, Reuel did not know if I would side with him or King Saul/ David*

Eells).

Having this revelation I saw no hope for reconciliation between David, Reuel and I, the word *resignation* was now reality.

I cannot convey how serious I regarded my resignation. Resignation from UBM would mean the end of a long friendship. Resignation meant an end of my working relationship with David Eells and all the UBM brethren I loved.

My resignation also meant I must now come to terms with the reality I had been deceived.

For those reading this and believe you are not deceived or cannot be deceived, you *are* already, as is David Eells. ***Look back at the long email he wrote, and you will see in a perverse way, he describes himself perfectly, not his adversary.***

I would need to explain to family and friends I had allowed myself to be deceived and remained part of an organization where the leader had created an atmosphere of fear. One where David Eells is the sole controlling authority what is “truth” and how one follows the “truth”.

To persist in thought deemed unacceptable by the leader, one is labeled as factious. In order to solidify his hold on me and others, David Eells has now created a “doctrine of demons” that uses dreams as witness against anyone who he deems as a threat to his authority.

Chapter 15 UBM is the Cult of David Eells

I believe the word for an organization controlled by this type of leadership is called a cult.

If David was a vessel of the truth, he would not fear any contrary thought. He would have no fear of slanderous or factious statements against him.

The alleged ability of this “*factious spirit*” to enter someone seeking truth as they are led of the Holy Spirit has been created for one purpose: ***protect David Eells.***

The avenues for David Eells to abuse authority are limitless in the legalistic hedge he has placed around himself and UBM. I pray for those who still remain in UBM

and under David's Eells authority to be delivered.

David Eells is “*the tree in the middle of the forest*”, he became the Nicolaitane he taught against for years. *He became* the tormented accuser manifesting his own fear of rejection and the persecutor of those that dared stand in his way.

My resignation brought insight of what a cult is, how it forms, and how to leave a cult.

I had arranged a meeting with Reuel and Christina at their house Tuesday just as I promised David. While driving to Reuel and Christina's, still not knowing what I was going to say, the Holy Spirit led me to give my testimony of what had transpired from Thursday night to the present.

I told them that I was resigning from UBM upon my return home. I must say, the love I received from Reuel and Christina knows no bounds. It is this love we have for each other that enables us to overcome as a body of believers.

Reuel was assured that unlike Jonathan, I was not going to die with “Saul”. I had chosen to give up all I had invested in UBM and to perform my duty as an elder in protecting the character and integrity of Reuel and Christina.

Resignation was the only honorable thing to do. After hearing me, Reuel explained how he and Christina also decided to leave UBM in peace. Reuel and Christina would not need to inform David, I would tell him in my resignation letter that Reuel and Christina were departing in peace.

This heart-wrenching yet heartwarming fellowship session was the end of one relationship and the beginning of another.

It was the last time we had fellowship as members of UBM and the first time we had fellowship as members of the body of Jesus Christ. *For the first time, the headship of Jesus Christ was not veiled by a man's legalistic control.*

We ended fellowship with prayer to include our protection against any evil intent. There had been veiled threats due to our supposed “Korah” rebellion against David and UBM. David had said to me “*some of them die by diseases too. God told me He would curse those who cursed me and He has always been true to that Word but I don't desire this for my brethren and pray for mercy for them.*”

I went home to formulate my resignation and told Elisa not to answer any calls. I knew David would be expecting my report on Reuel and Christina. Sure enough, he called four or five times wanting to hear what transpired in my meeting with The Stewarts.

At first he was demanding, but in the last message, contrite. "*Bill, please call me.*" But speaking to him at this point was fruitless and only enable David yet another opportunity to control and manipulate me.

I must attribute the wording of my resignation to inspiration of the Holy Spirit for it was not in me at the time.

I sent my resignation after midnight so David would not harangue me with even more calls and e-mail.

My Letter of Resignation:

From: William Rowe
To: David Eells **Sent:** Wed, June 8, 2011 1:31:46 AM
Subject: WITH DEEP REGRET

David,

Based on those two dreams concerning me, if they are in fact true, for the sake of the ministry, I resign from the ministry. If they are not true, I can no longer work in a ministry where my character and integrity are in question. I leave without any anger, bitterness or un-forgiveness in my heart.

I will not contact anyone concerning my departure. If anyone contacts me, I will refer them to you for explanation. You can have Rex or Rory contact me via e-mail so I can give them my prayer team e-mail list. I will begin forwarding all e-mail to Rory or Rex.

Reuel and Christina have decided to depart in peace and have agreed not to talk to anyone concerning their departure and if anyone contacts them, they will refer them to you for explanation.

Tomorrow I will return the HAM radio to Kevin and explain to him I am resigning from the ministry and for further explanation; I will refer him to you.

I love you.-Bill

Wednesday morning I planned not to be home. I would leave home, drive to Maryville, drop the HAM radio off at Kevin's, and go to Lowes for some things. **While I was away, David began an onslaught of calls and e-mails.**

To this day I have not read *any* of those e-mails and listened to only one of the phone messages. The one message I remember is "*Bill, I cannot accept your resignation until I talk to you.*"

The actual reason I never read any of his e-mail (*about nine of them*) is because *Elisa hid them from me*. I always allowed Elisa full access to my e-mail account as I wanted everything I did in counseling and contacts to be in the open.

I had not told Elisa about resigning UBM nor told her of the conflicts that had arisen between David and I. When Elisa heard all of his phone messages she became curious and read all of the e-mail he sent me.

In reading his e-mail, Elisa discovered the discourse between David and I. When I returned home, Elisa was in tears. She did not understand why David would say such things about me. As David didn't cease his attempts to contact me after one call and one e-mail, Elisa was thrust into the mix and was hurt. It was my intention to let her know in a manner she would not be hurt or harbor anger or bitterness toward David Eells or UBM.

My Mistake

Was in the wording of my resignation that implied to David I made a lasting agreement to talk to no one concerning my leaving UBM. ***"I will not contact anyone concerning my departure. If anyone contacts me, I will refer them to you for explanation."***

I have held to my agreement not to contact anyone. *People have contacted me.* But, David accused me of going back on my word.

When I wrote that, I still trusted David to tell those who asked why I resigned the truth. It is stated in my resignation letter.

Why would I condone lies regarding the reasons for my resignation? When I discovered David had no intention of telling the truth about my resignation. I would not hesitate to tell the truth why I resigned to those who asked. I would not refer anyone who wanted to know the truth to a lie from a liar.

Chapter 16 David Fuels the Conflict

In the following e-mail from David, I was left with the impression David never intended to tell the truth about my resignation:

From: David Eells
 To: David Eells
 Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2011 3:23 PM
 Subject: Re: Bill ? Update
 On 6/9/11 6:03 PM, "David Eells" wrote:

Hi Brethren

*I have thought about it some today and I feel whenever we decide to make a public statement **about Bill's absence we should tell them that "all of the elders agree that Bill should resign over a conflict of interest and the saints have to be first"** and leave it at that.*

According to the Lords dreams and according to fact he chose unfaithful friends over the safety of the brethren.

In the local assembly we should share these last facts.

We would do this on one condition that Bill change his E-mail address.

One good side effect from this is there will be no shouting match that will damage everyone. Paul and Barnabas had a disagreement over Mark and went their own ways.

Also we hope Bill will be delivered from those Spirits that have him bound and one day return to help us.

Any other ideas?

Blessings, David

From: David Eells **To:** William Rowe
Sent: Wed, June 15, 2011 7:20:00 AM
Subject: Hi Bill

Hi Bill

I love you and miss you brother. I could never leave you Bill. Can I come talk to you. We have to say something about your departure to the conference you were running and any who ask concerning you missing from the UBM counselors and I would like to pass it by you. I believe you will come back and I want to make that

as smooth as possible. Love, David

Chad Rial called to see why I resigned. I said ask David.
Chad replied he did ask David and if David was telling the truth.

He said I was being accused of suffering from the "*spirit of rejection*" due to a childhood incident of singing Jingle Bells in the children's choir.

I told Chad that it was false.

David also accused Reuel and me of slander.

I told Chad that attempting to reconcile a situation between brothers is not slander. The issues between David and Reuel were kept between Reuel and I.

As an elder, it was within my prerogative to discuss such matters with Reuel as part of the UBM fivefold ministry.

Because I told Chad that David was in fact slandering me, David became very upset accusing me of going back on my word. But I have not contacted anyone concerning my resignation to this day.

Considering what has transpired since my resignation, I am now at liberty to give my testimony for the sake of the brethren.

My Reason for Speaking Now

- As an elder in Jesus Christ, I must stand for truth, always.
My intentions must be crystal clear to leave no doubt in the brethren.
- As an elder, what I say and do is more exposed and held in different regard than a brother or sister not as intimately involved in the ministry.
As an elder I am not to be an enabler.

My resignation is a statement of irreconcilable differences.

Everything that could have been done to resolve those differences had been done.

First and foremost, I resigned for the sake of the flock. At that point, David's perception of my behavior was immaterial and David's reaction to my resignation was not an issue with me. As an elder, truth and just treatment of the brethren takes precedence.

Either I am for or against Jesus Christ. There is no middle ground. When someone speaks with me, I leave no doubt up front I am no longer with UBM and

have no intention of *ever* going back.

If UBM was truly a fivefold ministry, David would have drawn on the other elder's for their wisdom and discernment.

Instead, David attempted to control and manipulate the elders to side with him to execute his attack, first against the Stewarts, then me, then Rob.

Later others were included in David's attacks and accusations, such as Chad Rial, Ben Hawes, and others.

One by one, David would alienate the elders by insisting they comply, acquiesce, and align themselves with David's attacks against Reuel and Christina (then me).

First, David came to me. Then David turned to Rob, Then David turned to Rory. Next David turned to Rex. When the elders discovered David's accusations against Reuel and Christina were unsubstantiated, the counsel David received from the elders was to ***“let them go in peace, be kind to them and they may return”*** The three remaining elders agreed to that course of action seeing nothing worthy to prosecute them by ***“marking them”***.

Attacking a brother and sister with unsubstantiated accusations is serious enough. But attacking the elders because they refused to follow David but instead chose to follow after the Holy Spirit should have given everyone in the assembly and listening abroad pause as to David's Eell's behavior.

The Elders Did Their Duty, the UBM assembly in the Building Did Not.

Four elders had resigned within five weeks.

Regardless of the reasons, it was incumbent upon ***everyone*** in UBM to give audience for the reasons for the resignations of the elders.

That did not and has not happened.

Why did the assembly in Tennessee and many that followed UBM by radio not “make diligent inquiry” as the scripture itself states?

Chapter 17 Mind Control: Programming Others

David had preempted every attempt to expose ***any*** error or criticism of David or UBM by ***“inoculating”*** (*his term*) the brethren.

“*Inoculating*” is a covert offensive maneuver designed to warn the brethren should they dare hear a grievance against David Eells or UBM, as David had said: “*Hoping not to bring public and personal rebuke to those who already have rejection, the shepherd seeks to **inoculate** the people with teaching so the disease doesn’t spread, as shepherds must do.*”

David presents through subtlety his “*inoculation*” as a defensive move while painting himself as the innocent sinless victim being unjustly attacked. The “*attack*” is re-framed against those opposing or exposing David Eells. We observed him do this time after time with people over matters great or small.

He is relentless when engaging this maneuver. An otherwise quiet David is overwhelmingly verbal when doing damage-control and manipulating the argument in his favor.

David is voluminous with his use of scripture *twisted* into and around dreams, as the UBM site attests.

Over the years, he has laid ground work through teachings about dreams and using entire broadcasts as a platform to present dreams as a factual word from God. This subtle technique instills fear in any who would dare question David's intentions, motives, his behavior or doctrine.

“*Inoculation*” has another insidious purpose. It labels any who are at odds with him as having a “*spirit of rejection.*”

One symptom and a recurring tactic is him lashing out at a person, demanding their confession and repentance.

Sure enough, as David continues attacking his intended target, those so labeled as “*suffering from the spirit of rejection,*” **are incited to fight back.**

In other words, David purposely escalates the situation. As the fight ensues, David capitalizes on everything said or written by his imagined adversary to use it against them. *The incited retaliatory attack by David's adversary then gives him justification to do that what he preaches against.*

He does so contrary to both scripture and his own writings in “*Sovereign God*” and “*How Shall We Die*” as he vigorously justifies attacking his opposition and defending himself. He will ask followers to join him in battle or act as his

defenders in responding to any negative words on a website or forum.

The elders all witnessed this process many times and have David's own emails as evidence. Some of us were asked by him to go to internet forums and defend him.

Let's look again at David's own description of someone with the spirit of rejection and compare that to his own behavior.

(My comments in blue.)

"A person with rejection and fear of rejection is oppressed by these spirits for many years and so earnestly desires the opposite; respect, admiration, being looked up to, feeling important, being a leader, etc."

David is in leadership position. Though denying it, I believe he seeks and thrives at being admired by his followers to the point of being an idol.

If David did not seek admiration, he would not allow this to happen.

The number of dreams about "David" on the UBM website and read on the radio program witness to his unlimited need for affirmation.

When the elders attempted to gently help and correct David, he asserted his primacy and retaliated with malice and accusation.

*" Finally one day the **opportunity comes for them to be in the spotlight and they like the feeling.** They get a rush when they are promoted by others or promote themselves to others. So they go after it by **seeking the glory from men.***

*Sometimes they see a shepherd that they think stands in their way **and they begin to tear them down behind the scenes.***

As David himself did with Willie Poe, Bill Rowe, and Rob Stanley.

It really doesn't matter to them that this person has given their all to serve them and deliver them from darkness and that they are holy in the Lord."

"Soon the people that they spoke to begin to withdraw from this shepherd because their hearts are poisoned with whispering, nit picking, and slander.

This was exactly what David did against Rob Stanley

*When the **shepherd searches for the source** of all this and faces them with their sin, they feel once again the pain of these lying spirits **who turn even kind correction into rejection and fear of rejection.**"*

When first myself, then Rob, then Rory made "diligent inquiry into the controversy" as the Word teaches, David turned against and began to slander and libel. David also poisoned the hearts and minds of the assembly towards Rob and

Debra after Rob and Debra had served the assembly with honor and love.

*"So **in self will they retaliate** against their old friend **weirdly seeing him as the enemy** when all he has done is good toward them and loved them. And he wonders what happened. Why have my good friends done this to me? Why have they deserted me? Hoping not to bring public and personal rebuke to those who already have rejection, **the shepherd seeks to inoculate the people** with teaching so the disease doesn't spread, as shepherds must do".*

As Reuel, Rob and Rory are witness; we all questioned why David would turn on us after we had faithfully served David and UBM. Now as elders and brethren who love the Lord and seek to diligently serve the flock as faithful shepherds, we seek not to inoculate, but to reestablish trust, truth and a love for the brethren that surpasses all understanding.

*"**The offended bring up all kinds of things that are not sins** against the shepherd because the devil is seeking to destroy him and his reputation through them. And **they want to separate disciples unto themselves**. Which is exactly what David did when he alienated through false accusation and slander, Rob and Debra.*

*They are immature and puffed up and, contrary to the Biblical methods, act as though God has ordained them to be elders and to take authority over the one who has been one for many years. **They account this shepherd unworthy of forgiveness which they want for themselves but now have lost.***

*In these cases **Mt.18:15-17 has to be finally and painfully observed.**"*
-the very process David denied when he refused to be held accountable by three elders who attempted to carefully and meticulously bring forth evidence that David Eells abused his position of authority. Direct evidence that he lied, slandered, and instituted a false teaching that dreams are scriptural witness against an elder.

Because David Eells clearly suffers from a spirit of rejection, he equates any correction as a slanderous factious spirit.

As Father is my witness, David Eells himself confessed to me that he does in fact suffer from a spirit of rejection stemming from the manner his parents withheld love and gratitude towards him as a child. And yes, finally we painfully observed Matthew 18:17 to the assembly July 15, 2011 which David masterfully refused.

When you couple the atmosphere of fear and intimidation instilled by the

inoculation operation with the spirit of idolatry, David has created a situation where *his followers have no choice but to believe that every word that comes out of his mouth is the truth.*

Since the spirit of idolatry hangs heavy over UBM, most of David's followers want to believe when it comes to interpreting scripture and dreams, David is infallible.

Even when I had doubt something David said was wrong, my faith in him caused me to overlook his errors and believe he was somehow right.

How do I know? I speak from experience.

Until that spirit was lifted from me by the grace and mercy of our Father, I did not realize how I was under that spell. And that is the *strong delusion.*

When I was under a spirit of control and manipulation, I believed I had the truth and much of that truth came by way of David Eells.

Deception

I was deceived. This came slowly over time. The more time spent with David Eells, the stronger that deception becomes, whether through radio or print, and especially through personal interaction.

The accusations David claimed against Reuel were false but malicious, but at first glance, I had the tendency to believe them because David Eells said it. Sad but true, many are of the mind, "If David said it, I must believe it."

David marks people he designates as factious telling his followers whom they can and cannot associate with for fear of being possessed by their evil spirits. He does to keep them from hearing anything contrary to what he tells them.

Chapter 18 Delivered from Idolatry

If you are coming to the realization that David may be an *idol*, and contemplating leaving UBM, or recently left, stay away from David Eells and absolutely do not meet him in person if at all possible.

Weigh the evidence given in this testimony against what you have been told by David Eells.

If you are afraid to hear a witness, there is idolatry. If you think David Eells is

perfected you are in deception.

If this report is true, then you must cut **all** ties with David and refuse him as instructed in ***Titus 3:10 -11***.

This is why the elders have followed scripture, and not David Eells.

If David Eells was not in willful sin, the elders would all be with UBM today.

I now understand the reason behind this scripture.

The day after I resigned I was determined *not to answer any of David's e-mail or answer any of his phone calls, and I was delivered of the spirit of idolatry.*

That spirit of idolatry enabled David to put doubt in my mind concerning my decision to resign from UBM and enabled David to control and manipulate me. When David sent my rejection letter as mentioned above, it was Matthew 18:15-16 wrapped up in one package.

David believed all he had to do to control and manipulate me into doing his will was threaten me with Matthew 18:17!

This is a powerful measure of control when coupled with the spirit of idolatry.

I did not realize I had this spirit until I was delivered from this spirit. Each of the elders who left also testified the same.

Since I/we didn't realize we had this spirit, it was the grace of our Father to be delivered from it.

Any burden harbored in my Spirit concerning my resignation immediately vanished. Our Father ***totally*** delivered me through no account of my own. I had the same sense of relief as waking from a nightmare and realizing it was a dream. Suddenly my Spiritual thought process was clear, the spiritual mind fog suddenly vanished.

I could see clearly now.

I drove to the Stewarts to tell Reuel about my new deliverance. As I was walking up the steps to the door, I saw him working on his above ground swimming pool. I walked over to explain my deliverance from the spirit of idolatry which enabled David to control and manipulate me. He was very perceptive. He saw while I was yet afar a notable change in my demeanor. *Reuel knew what happened as soon as he saw me.*

The Process of Idolatry and Its' Symptoms.

The spirit of idolatry is very insidious. It is seductive. It is like being infected by a virus with a very slow incubation period. It is the invasion of a spirit-snatcher.

It starts with giving our trust to a person.

As I put more faith in David Eells than my own responsibility to continually examine the scripture; as in Acts 17:11, my spirit became lazy.

I let David do the work, forgetting I am *to work out my own salvation through fear and trembling*; **Philippians 2:11.**

Every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father. 12 So then, my beloved, even as ye have always obeyed, not as in my presence only, but now much more in my absence, work out your own salvation with fear and trembling; 13 for it is God who worketh in you both to will and to work, for his good pleasure.

I lost sight of working for the pleasure of my Father. The desire to please a man above our Father, opened a door to the *spirit of idolatry*. **This spirit deceived me** to believe my work for UBM was synonymous with doing the will of my Father.

I cannot blame David, only myself, and now I repent.

The only blame attributed to David is that he has knowledge of this idolatry and gives tacit approval.

Since David Eells (*admittedly*) has the spirit of rejection, the spirit of rejection would support the spirit of idolatry, and the man is deceived.

2nd Timothy 3:13 *But evil men and impostors shall wax worse and worse, deceiving and being deceived.*

James 1: 14 ***but each man is tempted***, when he is ***drawn away by his own lust, and enticed.*** 15) *Then lust, when it hath conceived, beareth sin: and the sin, when it is fullgrown, bringeth forth death.* 16 ***Be not deceived, my beloved brethren.***

David Eells admits to having a spirit of rejection, and he has been deceived by it.

Any Criticism is Factious

An e-mail from David to a couple is a good example how David keeps the truth from budding. In this craftily worded e-mail July 20, 2011 "***Confidential,***" he attempts to manipulate those seeking truth, *condemning them for doing so.*

(emph added)

Dear B-- and L---

In local UBM there is peace now because **the factious** have left but they have attacked larger UBM in a few places. Rob has resigned and Chad has left.

Watch out for this critical factious spirit that has been attacking UBM and myself. Chad and Rob have been at the forefront of this. Whenever people listen to their faction and don't obey 1 Tim.5:19, Titus 3:10,11 or Rom 16:17,18 that spirit jumps on them and they want nothing more to do with us. It is the separation the Lord told us would come. Everyone doesn't belong here. Once they get this spirit it doesn't permit them to even listen to the other side of the story. **"Does our law condemn any man except it first hear from him?"**

All I ask is you hear my side of the story too.

Please keep all this to yourselves so we don't rise up a war. Read "Christian" Snipers on the front page of the site. Especially the second and third articles.

I am so grieved. Pray for me.

Love to you and yours, David

David's appeal for prayer and sympathy are crocodile tears.
He exhibits little emotion.

David rarely, *if ever*, addresses issues, but rather attacks and maligns the object of his ire using volumes of radio time, emails, and his website to defend himself, justify himself, and tell his side of the story. -**While denying he does so!**

He uses the "**factious**" label freely in referring to supposed adversaries. As the label is applied to targeted people repeatedly, it becomes fixed in the minds of those he influences. Thus, when I was labeled as **factious**, I was guilty by association with all before me who were either justly or unjustly labeled.

Read a couple of Joseph Goebbels quotes:

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it. The lie can be maintained only for such time as the State can shield the people from the political, economic and/or military consequences of the lie. **It thus becomes vitally important for the State to use all of its powers to repress dissent, for the truth is the mortal enemy of the lie, and thus by extension, the truth is the**

greatest enemy of the State.”

*“The most brilliant propagandist technique will yield no success unless one fundamental principle is borne in mind constantly - **it must confine itself to a few points and repeat them over and over**”*

David *immediately* labeled Chad and Rob with a critical, factious spirit.

Any criticism automatically equates being factious.

This is not by accident that David plays these word games.

If being critical means one is automatically factious, one is less apt to address any issues creating a false perception there exists no problems in the assembly.

If there is desire for objective truth and you ask the “*factious*” one concerning the issues, David abuses scripture to reinforce his position to “*obey and submit*”.

I Timothy 5:19 *Against an elder receive not an accusation, except at the mouth of two or three witnesses.*

David does not mention that July 15th 2011, not one, not two, not three, not four, but even more witnesses came before the assembly to state the case against David Eells in accordance with Matthew 18:17

And very few know this was after David refused the attempts by the elders in obedience to Matthew 18:15 and 18:16.

It is painfully obvious that David Eells cannot afford any exposure of his abusive authority.

When David labels one as factious, David supposedly being above reproach, you are commanded to “refuse” the factious person.

That means you are not entitled to hear his side of the story.

David states, “*Does our law condemn any man except it first hears from him?*”

He demands this for himself as countless pages on his website and hours of radio time have attested. But he refuses to allow this same right of scripture to those he accuses.

Many have not been allowed to hear my side of the story because David has manipulated them and the scripture.

The only way to prove I am not factious is I must submit to David which means I must first repent for being factious, and then submit to being delivered of that factious spirit.

During this entire process, the issues are disregarded, while David continues to attack my character and integrity using dreams and trumped up charges and examples of being factious.

Romans 16:17 *Now I beseech you, brethren, **mark them that are causing the divisions and occasions of stumbling, contrary to the doctrine which ye learned: and turn away from them.***

18 For they that are such serve not our Lord Christ, but their own desires; and by their smooth and fair speech they beguile the hearts of the innocent.

To obey this scripture, **we must mark David**, for it is he who has caused the division by creation of the "*demon dream doctrine.*" The fruit produced by this "doctrine" has been evil, hurtful, and exposed as false.

David has alienated those who would stand for truth, and hold David accountable for his sin. This was to protect the flock and convict David to the point of repentance.

As demonstrated in this e-mail, David has accused, tried and convicted Chad and Rob of a very serious offense **without presenting any witness before the assembly!** As enacted, David is one witness and dreams are "God's" witness.

Why it was incumbent upon David to establish his "demon dream doctrine"?

It is so he alone is able to accuse, try and convict anyone he so desires with impunity. David ended his e-mail saying he is grieved.

By avoiding the issues, attacking Chad's and Rob's character and integrity, he feigns to be the innocent sinless victim of an unwarranted attack by demon possessed accusers.

I've seen David deal poorly with criticism over the years. Having experienced his response personally now, I have a crystal clear picture of the techniques used against his critics at the same time maintaining credibility over those he leads.

The attack by David Eells, progresses in stages and intensity.

As I analyze what happened, I now believe the attack David executed against Reuel, Christiana, Rob and I, was developed in advance much like a battle plan.

David already knew Reuel and I were apprehensive about organizing a church here in Tennessee. When Reuel and I came to Tennessee July 2010, we were not comfortable in the direction the local assembly was going. After all, we UBMers were “*wilderness*” saints, having “*come out from among them*”, i.e.the “apostate church”.

Chapter 19 *Birthing a Nicolaitane Assembly in Tennessee*

But unknown to me, David had already planned to organize a church in Tennessee, something we all heard him preached against for the years that I knew David.

If David wanted to chastise me for faction concerning my views and desires for forming an organized church, he could have in **July 2010** when Reuel and I gave David our report and opinion on the local assembly.

David made note of my views for future reference and knew I would be very apprehensive about being part of any organized church.

Once I arrived in Tennessee, the pressure was on to conform to David’s new standards. Knowing each other since 1998, David knew me well and believed he knew what it would take to get me to conform.

I believe that David had plans to fashion the local assembly after the United Pentecostal Church, the last organized church David attended. He always spoke highly of the moving of the Spirit in that church but against the legalism.

One discussion we had after arriving in Tennessee was concerning the children in the assembly. He wanted my opinion on forming a ***children’s church***.

I told David I was against forming ***any*** church so I would not be in favor of a children’s church.

My suggestion was to play a few songs at the beginning of the service and end

earlier so the mothers could put their children to bed where they belong. Those without children could stay longer to play music and sing.

David countered that in lengthy UPC services how the children would calm down when the Spirit moved. He added how worship was more important than sleep.

I told David he never spoke of such things before; *in fact he always spoke against forming a church*. I reminded David that *he* said, “**Organized churches take up all your time.**”

I added, “*Friday is the worship service, once a month on Saturday is the fellowship service, Tuesday is a conference call, Wednesday is the Bible Study Broadcast, Thursday is a conference call and Thursday is the men’s meeting, and Sunday is the Bible Study Broadcast. Plus I still coordinate the on-line prayer and counseling team which takes up a great deal of time. And now you want to start a children’s church?*”

I was already running out of days of the week and out of time.

David said, “***I have been laying the ground work for this all along.***”

I asked, “*David, how can you be laying down the ground work for organizing a church by preaching against it?*”

He answered, “***it might have seemed as though I was preaching against a formalized assembling of the Saints if you have a factious spirit.***”

David was laying the groundwork to maneuver me into conforming to his idea of an organized assembly. I call it a church.

Either I would conform, or I would be accused of having a factious critical spirit.

At the time, I did not realize this was David’s plan all along. He believed he could maneuver me into conforming using his control and manipulation techniques.

I went against my better judgment concerning UBM’s association with Pastor Bob. But even then I did not feel pressured to build the antenna towers and work with Pastor Bob constructing a broadcast network. (*A network that never got off the ground.*)

When David was building his case attacking T—M----- and P—B--, he asked me

to write a testimony to witness against them.

I defiled my conscience providing David that information but sad to say, David did not have to pressure me to do it. However, I was the last hold out to agree that T—M----- needed chastising. David's attack against Tom and his wife Judi was extensive and malicious.

Chapter 20 *An Ill Wind of Change*

The pressure really was on as I went back to Florida, July of 2010, after being in Tennessee for two weeks. David pressured me to leave Florida *immediately* and return to Tennessee. And back in Tennessee, I found the pressure on to conform to the doctrine of the local assembly

In these e-mails, David makes some rather startling claims concerning my move from Florida to Tennessee. (*emph. added*)

*“Other people see and hear what elders do and use it for an excuse to disobey. There are still **people in Pensacola who knew what you did** and ignored the warnings from the Lord. **They could possibly die or be in a FEMA camp.**”*

From: David Eells **To:** William Rowe
Sent: Sun, February 27, 2011 9:32:55 AM
Subject: *You're an Overcomer*

Hi Bill,

*We notice in the Revelation letters to the churches that the promises are to them that overcome. We all certainly have things to overcome to be like Jesus and to be obedient to his word. I was a loner. I felt uncomfortable in crowds. I was happy staying home and reading my Bible. I knew part of this was that **spirit of rejection** that wanted to separate me from the flock and I just needed to cast it down. I realized this was something He had given me to overcome in order to be obedient to His Word in Heb.10:25,26. I didn't like singing that much either, especially in front of others but we had the **commands** in Eph.5:19, 1 Cor.14:26. Because I was committed to **being obedient** and had faith, the Lord gradually took that out of me. I love it now. I am still not the exuberant type like David but I am working on it. Many blessings to you brother,David*

From: David Eells **To:** William Rowe
 February 28, 2011 9:52:21 AM
Subject: Re: You're an Overcomer

2/27/11 2:18 PM

David, *David answers me in red: Hi Bill, Its good to have you up here with us.*
 Having grown up in a formal religious setting, I shy away from that formality, not necessarily the singing.

*(I don't understand Bill, How can we gather together to **obey** Heb.10:25,26 more informally? We do it so that the gifts of all can be manifested rather than the nicolaitane normal.)*

I love music, and I love to sing, but I would rather sing in a private setting, not out of fear of rejection, for I can be quit animated in any setting for I am always moved by the Spirit both in season and out.

*(As you know 1 Cor.14:26 or Eph.5:19 is not a private setting and it is a **command** that we should obey as well as be an example to the flock of.)*

I have a very dry sense of humor and I used that story of my childhood singing experience to mostly add levity to the situation. I have a very narrow range of what kind of music I like and I like the upbeat old Gospel tunes.

*(Although in general the music and words are very nice and true, there are times I prefer others but I join in unity with the flock to be an example of **obedience** and to please the Lord. I try not to let my personal preferences get in the way of **obedience**. They wouldn't allow that in the navy would they? We're in the real navy now. **Discipline and conformity** to the needs of the body is of eternal importance now. :o)*

Those are the songs that get my heart and soul soaring to the heights. It is the sound that moves me and not necessarily the words. If I am not moved by the sound, I will not be moved to sing to the music. Do you recall the CDs I enjoyed playing as moderator and how I would sing along with those songs I liked?

Yes, much of the doctrine of the old songs are quite unscriptural. They were mostly Catholic, Baptist, Methodists, etc. and not spirit filled. Some of them are good.

If they were singing these in the assembly I would be right there with them. I might make a comment about something unscriptural but I would sing with them so as not to be a stumbling block in my example.

Darv is a loner by nature. He has a little rejection in him so he is tempted to hide out. Other people see and hear what elders do and use it for an excuse to disobey. There are still people in Pensacola who knew what you did and ignored the warnings from the Lord. They could possibly die or be in a FEMA camp.

Remember your thoughts when you saw the wave coming over the buildings? I havent been able to talk to them to tell them of your warnings to leave. When I left my house in Pensacola it needed a lot of fixing up. I would of preferred to stay and do that so it would be more easily sold but I had to obey and be an example even if I lost the house.

I pray Father gives you a good house in a good place so you won't have to move later. James and Ashley and Curt had a dream they would have to leave their house because of water gushing through. We missed you last night. It was good. Blessings to you and Elisa,-David

Bill (end of email)

Chapter 21 The DREAMS Said...

I want to reiterate I did not leave Tennessee and go back to Florida because I changed my mind. I went back to Florida because it was part of my original plan. Because *the dreams of destruction to the Gulf Coast* did not come to fruition, being a man of my word, I had to go back.

I told those who needed to know I would be gone about two weeks. About this time I began to disregard the dreams and visions of doom and listen to the Holy Spirit. I left Florida for Tennessee in a state of angst and fear. When I went back to Florida, I was determined to fear no more but to trust in my Father to deliver me from harm and evil.

In October of 2011, I received a confirmation by the Holy Spirit. I had three

dreams where huge waves washed over me. The first was at Hoover Dam. A huge wind wave formed off Lake Meade coming right at me as I walked to the middle of the dam. I did not have time to go right or left but had to stay right where I was and pray. The wave washed over me and I didn't even get wet.

The next dream was a Tsunami hit downtown Pensacola. I was riding in a city bus as the gigantic wave washed over me and Father translated me out of disaster into my bedroom. I was translated the instant I gave up all fear for the hope of glory.

The third was Wednesday night, October 5, 2011, when I dreamed a monstrous wave came over the mountain tops from the East Coast. I sat in the crevasse of the rock and prayed and put my hope in my Father to deliver me.

The wave washed over and again I was dry but everything around me was wet.

I believe Father is telling me *no matter where we are, we cannot escape what is about to take place, but our Father will deliver us from evil and from any wrath resulting from natural or manmade disaster.*

This e-mail exchange between us transpired after I returned to Pace Florida to settle personal and business matters prior to making my final move to Tennessee. *David added numerous dreams and visions concerning death and destruction coming to the Gulf Coast in effort to convince me to leave hurriedly.* Again, it was about this time I decided to listen for the Holy Spirit and not someone's dream or vision. I left the titles of the dreams and visions in the e-mail but clipped the dream text for the sake of brevity. *(emph. added)*

From: David Eells **To:** William Rowe
Sent: Sat, August 28, 2010 8:13:30 AM
Subject: Please Bill !

Bill

God told Job that He spoke twice in dreams to keep men from going down into the pit but they ignored him.

We have had 3 dreams the well would blow up.** A warning including from scientists that a tsunami would come ashore if it did blow up. **Several dreams below the big wave was coming. I am guessing it will come some time before Sept

20th.

Because those who were with me escaped just ahead of one disaster and one had also just happened in TN when we got there.

***These dreams below** and many others have been **spoken by God concerning this disaster.** Please read them. Notice after I left behind the rest of the brethren from the coast we were warned of the disaster **killing those who went back** to take care of their junk and also martial law entrapping those who lived through it.*

BP has publicly stated they were not going to tell us what they were doing now. But they said they were going back into the well to get that pipe out. They have to pull the cap off to do this.

***Karen had two dreams.** One that UBM was going to be reorganized. Another that **you were going to stumble** in some way. When the disciples left their wives to follow Jesus do you think they were wrong. Jesus said if you didn't love Him more than your wife or family you were not worthy of him. He said all who left their families for his sake would inherit 100 times more in this life.*

*I believe **if you would resist the spirit of Jezebel** and stand up and say we have to go to serve the Lord they would follow. If not **you may be enabling their death** or **FEMA camp imprisonment.** Jesus told us in Revelation that all who followed Jezebel would die.*

*Bill they need help in TN without Willie. We need help on the programs. Please Bill, **Take the warnings from the dreams and flee the coast.** Go over where Willie and Rob are or where the property where Curt and Darv are. It is beautiful there.*

*Please Read these (*dreams*) below and the others. **Time is running out fast.** God doesn't have to tell you anything if you are not obeying his commands.*

God be with you, -David <http://www.unleavenedbreadministries.org/?page=gulfstates>

Gulf States Going into Captivity Eve Brast - 9/16/08

Heed the Warning or Become Captives Javier Keefe - 6/24/10

Sign of Coming Out of Egypt Keith Boyd - 6/24/10

Gulf Coast Destroyed by Monster Hurricane Kaile Hamilton - 9/18/08

Leaving Ahead of Massive Hurricane Amy Methvin - Late January 2008

Crude Economic Tsunami Kevin Rea - 5/19/10

Huge Wave Hits Gulf Coast Bobbi Mercer - 6/14/10

Safety in the Gulf Oil Spectacle Christina Stewart - 5/26/10

On 8/29/10 3:47 AM, "William Rowe" wrote:

David,

At the meeting we had at Curt's Salon concerning leaving this area and going North, you explained to us that we should each follow after the Spirit and what the Lord wills for our particular situation. I then told everyone my plan. I am still following that same plan.

My plan then and has been to preposition myself in Tennessee. I gave notice of my decision to take a load of household goods to Tennessee and make it back for Sunday's broadcast.

You decided that you wanted the broadcast gear moved up to Tennessee so I changed my plans to accommodate moving the broadcast gear. The gear was moved and I ensured it was up and running and that the broadcast would continue should I return to Pace.

When I told Elisa of the situation and the dreams and visions of impending disaster during that time frame, Elisa changed her plans and decided to come along at my behest. Elisa had planned to fly back to Taiwan with her father's ashes and my mother-in-law was also going to fly back to Taiwan about that same time frame.

My mother-in-law then changed her plans and decided to fly back to Taiwan on her original return date of September 23rd as she wanted to spend the two weeks with Elisa and I in Tennessee.

I also received a word from the Lord that Elisa was not to fly back to Taiwan. When the two weeks elapsed, the broadcast gear was in place and running, Brad was up to speed to take over, and the dreams and visions of disaster had not come to

fruition.

I went back to Pace to complete my original plan to move.

After returning there, all my efforts were focused on settling matters and moving North. We were essentially living in an empty house, giving and throwing things away.

I had received a dream at the campground in Tennessee, when my house was empty it would sell and I would return to Tennessee.

I thought, " I guess I will be going back to Pace." We are almost there and my prayer is that the Lord sells my house.

If not, I still have plan A and B. Now I am working on plan C. I had already completed plan B when I prepositioned household goods in Tennessee. Plan A is where I hitched my trailer and jumped in my truck. My trailer is ready to go at a moment's notice. I have prayed and believe the Lord will give me notice. The word that I received was patience, peace, contentment, and rest.

*I formulated my plan to move after much contemplation and prayer. It was not in haste, fear or angst. Originally I thought we were going to Tennessee as a group **after a disaster in Tennessee** and prior to a disaster hitting the Gulf.*

That was why I prepositioned myself and would have left sooner but my father-in-law was still an issue.

In getting rid of things I didn't need, I boxed up winter clothes and set aside the tools needed. Those items finally made it to Tennessee in the prepositioning move.

I already wanted to move and was ready when Tom and Judy left. I held back of course for the ministry. About that time, Elisa and I were house hunting and even thinking of putting some money down on a house in Tennessee but backed off because of UBM being headquartered here and I did not want to leave ahead of you and the broadcast responsibilities, and of course the earthquake. The broadcast was my priority.

Now, Elisa is once again fully on board with the move to Tennessee. My father-in-law has passed away, and my mother-in-law is scheduled to leave September 23rd. My house is about empty as I saw it in my dream and I believe

that the Lord is bringing this episode of my life to an end.

Prior to leaving Tennessee, I checked into getting a Tennessee driver's license and getting Tennessee tags for my truck but it was determined I did not have enough time to establish residence. Otherwise, I would consider myself a Tennessee resident.

*Concerning Karen's dream, I believe my trial was dealing with my father-in-law's death and all the personal issues of the Wang family. Since the death of my son, and the trial I went through surrounding my Paramedic job, I have not had such a trial. I am down to about 140 pounds. It was my understanding that I was going to be tried and overcome. **I don't remember the word stumble.***

I love you and the brethren and believe me, I don't enjoy being on this end of your e-mail. I made my choice between Elisa and Jesus Christ back in 1994 and everything material wise I account as filthy rags. My hope (firm expectation), is to be with you and the brethren to serve the Lord ministering to the flock via UBM. With love and all due respect, Bill

From: David Eells **To:** William Rowe
Sent: Sun, August 29, 2010 10:53:07 AM
Subject: Re: Please Bill !

Hi Bill

*I didn't know you had planned to go back to FL. It doesn't seem to fit with the warnings that I was to be the last out and those who went back afterwards would be under threat. I don't see anything here that allays those threats. As near as I can tell **the threat of disaster there in FL had no specific timing except after I left.** I seemed to come before I went to TN., which I believe could well be around the 20th of Sept.*

The Word "stumble" was a catch all phrase to cover what I think the Lord said through her. Do you have **the dream**?

Why are you down to 140 pounds? Have you been sick? Fasting?

I am praying you will come to us safely. The brethren need your help there and we do on the program. Blessings in Jesus, David

From: William Rowe **To:** David Eells
Sent: Sunday, August 29, 2010 12:55 PM
Subject: Re: Please Bill!

David,

All my efforts and prayers are focused at leaving here and going North to serve the Lord.

I don't have Karen's dream but I remember reading an IM she sent to me during one of the studies. All I can say is that I have never been more determined to be with you and the brethren as we are in this fight for the sake of Jesus Christ.

I am not sick and actually I have never felt better. I have lots of energy and look forward to each and every day for I know we have lots of exciting work to do. I believe I have lost the weight because of the stress I have been under. And I know we have all been under a lot of stress. Some people gain weight. I lose weight for when I am under stress, I have learned to work it out physically. But, I take refuge in Jesus Christ and praise our Father, through Him I am able to continue on and maintain my focus on the issue at hand. And that issue is and has been to be fully engaged in this war for the Saints.

And in this war, I know I am allied with the best of the best. And I am so fortunate to be part of this ministry and I so look forward to being with those I have come to love through UBM. I cherish each and every one of you.

You are my family. I have always known in my heart that this ministry is my purpose for being here, at this time in all eternity.

I told you of a dream I had where you gave me your master bedroom in your house.

It was a huge, beautiful, clean and spotless master bedroom. Regardless of whether I needed it or used it, it was there for only me to use. There were many in your living room who were jealous and even some who I thought were more deserving than I to receive such an honor and gift. That is what is in my heart. I am so honored to have been given such a gift to serve the Lord with such a ministry our Father has built upon your shoulders.

I have nothing in common with my physical family and they have long since wrote me off as a kook as well as most of the people down here. I am a constant reminder to them that disaster is pending for the Gulf as I have been steadfast and determined to leave this area.

*Father Bless you mightily in the name of our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ.
Bill*

In the e-mail David wrote, “**We have had 3 dreams the well would blow** up. A warning including from scientists that a tsunami would come ashore if it did blow up. Several dreams below the big wave was coming. I am guessing it will come some time before Sept 20th .“

I tried to tell him I was hearing from the Holy Spirit that my house would sell and I would be at Tennessee.

Inconsistencies from David:

In the first e-mail David stated, “*I pray Father gives you a good house in a good place so you wont have to move later. James and Ashley and Curt had **a dream** they would have to leave their house because of water gushing through*”

In a second e-mail, David states, “*Bill they need help in TN without Willie. We need help on the programs. Please Bill, **Take the warnings from the dreams** and **flee the coast**. Go over where Willie and Rob are or where the property where Curt and Darv are. It is beautiful there.*”

When I was in Pace Florida, David strongly suggested I move near Curt and Darv. When I go to Tennessee, David changed his mind because Curt and Darv must move **because of a dream**.

What nonsense! It was enough to drive me crazy.

I am writing this as of January 27 , 2012, and there has yet to be a disaster in the Gulf of Mexico. A disaster may indeed happen, but as my Father told me, *listen to Me, not man, don't move in fear, but in faith.*

Chapter 22 **Coercion, Manipulation, then Attack**

As he tried to convince me by e-mail, one method was by instilling guilt that I was

sinning by not doing what David wanted.

David wrote, "Notice after I left behind the rest of the brethren from the coast we were warned of the disaster **killling those who went back** to take care of their junk and **also martial law entrapping** those who lived through it Karen had two dreams. One that UBM was going to be reorganized. Another that you were going to **stumble** in some way. When the disciples left their wives to follow Jesus do you think they were wrong. **Jesus said if you didn't love Him more than your wife or family you were not worthy of him.** He said **all who left their families for his sake** would inherit 100 times more in this life."

Not only was David wrong about the Gulf threat, he spoke of me "**stumbling**" and do you catch an inference that leaving ones family for "**his sake**" means for David's sake? We found other evidence of a messianic complex with him, some not so subtle. There is much evidence of that in many dreams about David Eells submitted to UBM.

He knew one reason I went back to Pace Florida was to sell my house. David equated my house to "junk." and insinuates by selling my house, I was stumbling. David suggests that because I did not leave my wife, I was wrong. He continued in this same vein, "I believe if you would resist the spirit of Jezebel and stand up and say we have to go to serve the Lord they would follow. If not you may be enabling their death or FEMA camp imprisonment. Jesus told us in Revelation that **all who followed Jezebel would die.**"

David attacked my wife, subtly accusing her of a Jezebel spirit, and strongly recommends to me how to handle my wife.

If I did not do as David recommended, we could all die.

He alluded I am neglecting my true responsibilities, "**Bill they need help in TN without Willie. We need help on the programs. Please Bill, Take the warnings from the dreams and flee the coast.** Go over where Willie and Rob are or where the property where Curt and Darv are. It is beautiful there."

I would make a point regarding David's whereabouts. **David did not go directly to Tennessee!** He first went to Alabama then moved to Tennessee September 20,

2010. **Because of a dream**, David would not go directly to Tennessee.

David Eells sent the above e-mail, August 28th, 2010. I submit, if I was one to resign over minor issues of doctrine, I would have sent my resignation August 29, 2010. But I am long suffering, thick skinned, forgiving, and not quick to anger. I am also understanding and loyal, but as it turns out, not *“loyal at all costs.”*

In addition to e-mails from David intending to convince me to leave the Gulf Coast, I also got the calls. In one of them, David told me to pray for a word from God concerning my situation.

I prayed, and the word I received from God was what I wrote above, it was *“patience, peace, contentment, and rest.”*

My first impression in why he was pressuring me to immediately leave the Gulf Coast was David’s concern for my safety. It may have been a concern of his, but now I believe his main concern was maintaining credibility to his wife and family, as well as everyone else he told to move because of imminent destruction of the Gulf Coast.

In one of the calls, David mentioned his wife was questioning the move since I had gone back to Pace Florida to fulfill responsibilities. I told David that I had *my own wife* to worry about and *his wife was his responsibility*. And *“I can’t abrogate my responsibilities to justify your decisions.”*

Furthermore, as a Christian, I had to be a man of my word.

I had given my word to my wife, mother-in-law, neighbor, and others that depended on me that I would come back to Pace Florida. I may have been wrong to give my word as David suggested, but nonetheless, I gave my word.

Joshua 9:19 But all the princes said unto all the congregation, **We have sworn unto them by Jehovah, the God of Israel: now therefore we may not touch them. 20 This we will do to them, and let them live; lest wrath be upon us, because of the oath which we swore to them.**

Though the Israelites were tricked into giving their oath, they were held to that

oath. I said I would return if the Lord wills. And the Lord most certainly willed that I return to Pace Florida. I returned, fulfilled my responsibilities, sold my house, and moved to Tennessee.

As part of the UBM organization, I either submit to David's will and view or face the onslaught of his attack. I was not allowed to disagree at all.

I was not allowed to peaceably leave. If David suspects there is a disagreement based on your behavior or attitude, he will seek and probe until he is either satisfied there is no disagreement or he can extract the source of disagreement.

In the probing process, everything said to anyone, everything written to anyone, any behavior, any attitude, was used against me to prove I was factious and suffered from the spirit of rejection.

David wrote concerning Rob, ***“There was no doubt that Rob was now siding with the faction and why. He was infected and I saw this in his actions and eyes.”***

To David, even your eyes are suspect and can be used to witness against you.

David wrote an e-mail to Reuel and Christina June 6, 2011, entitled *“Hello Brethren,”*

“It has been stuck in my mind the last time I looked into the eyes of D'meshion (sp?). I saw immediately that there was disappointment and offence there. He didn't greet me with the usual smile. I was sad and wondered what he had heard that was doing that to him.

When I saw Christina that day I had the same impression but there was some smile there. And a “We love you David”... and then there was the feeling of the unspoken “but.....”. I knew by the Spirit your hearts were no longer with me. Ben and Ashley avoided me the last times I saw them.”

In this paragraph, David accused Reuel of spreading a critical factious spirit to his family based on their eyes and other mannerisms.

In an e-mail entitled "UBM FACTION With Updates dated August 3rd, 2011, this was written about:

"I had already spoken to **Bill Rowe who was very critical** that Rob had "commanded" the parents to bring the babies to be prayed for. **I explained to him that his criticism was off the mark** because unknown to Rob I had received the same instructions from the Lord. Rob just beat me to it. I knew this was not Bill. He has always been very proper. **He had been critical to me** about the music and singing, which wasn't his style. I pointed to the fact that it obviously edified the brethren and that should be enough for him. **He was critical to Darv** about our Church order just as Reuel was. We were obeying 1 Cor.14 in all of this and being edified. The Lord told me that he had fallen in with his best friend Reuel and was not correcting him as an elder should. So I asked him to represent the Lord and his sheep to them.

The next day I received a perfect confirmation. **Gerard Drake gave me two dreams** which he had received a week apart that torturers were sneaking into the body through the back way to torture the UBM brethren. In one of the dreams, we were running a ship to carry brethren to the refuge while this was happening. In the other, the brethren were seeking to hide in a large van and the torturers were sneaking in the back door. **In both dreams Bill Rowe was a "double agent" "collaborating with the enemy"** to permit the "torturers" to attack the saints from the rear again. The torturers are the spirits the Lord said would be loosed on those who forgave not their brothers from the heart in Mat.18:34,35."

In the above, I am described as not being proper, being critical, not performing my job as an elder. **Where and how did David derive this information?**

-It was collected from a phone call that David initiated with intent to probe me until he acquired enough information to use against me.

This was in the probing phase when David determined I would not support his attack against Reuel. *David had to connect me with that same factious spirit* that supposedly caused Reuel to disagree with David at the men's meeting.

By now, what transpired at the men's meeting was immaterial. We had since entered into another phase of the attack process.

To lend validity to David's argument, he attacked our character, integrity and

misrepresented our intentions in order to poison the minds of the brethren.

David wrote, *“Many times under the guise of concerned persons, people air their murmurings and complaining until the listener's heart is poisoned against others or often the leadership.”*

David, by the **“inoculation”** phase, under the guise of protecting the flock, airs his murmurings and complaining until the listener’s heart is poisoned against the target of his attack.

Then David attacked his own leadership structure when he poisoned the hearts of the brethren against Rob, Rory and I. David wrote, *“Who is guilty of faction? This faction has many witnesses. {Jude 1:19} These are **they who make separations, sensual, having not the Spirit.***

Those who separate (faction) from you with criticism of minor things or doctrinal differences instead of witnesses of sin.”

This transcended the initial disagreement, **originally only a minor disagreement at a men’s meeting**. It was not *“doctrinal differences”*.

But now David was making false accusations using dreams.

No, **our separation was because of sin witnessed by both Reuel and I.**

But David must evade our claim of his sin, so he uses *“doctrinal differences”* as a smokescreen.

He continues disregarding any fault or sin on his part. When Reuel and I realized any further attempt to reconcile was futile, we no longer answered his calls or e-mail.

David’s July 7-9-11 e-mail:

Brethren

I absolutely agree with you. They are not like any of those men in the past and I have said that publicly. They divided from us with grievances that were real to them but they were false. The spirits are being transferred. It is what was prophesied to come. I love them and you.

God bless you, David

Chad(7-9-11)

*You forgot one thing. They left us, we didn't ask them to. We tried to stop it. They were set on dividing. **It was over minor doctrines which is illegal** – Rom 14.*

They were also wrong as everyone in the mens meeting agreed.

God bless you,David

Those with honest questions why Reuel, Christina and I departed would be told the “spin” story. –Including the remaining elders. The story was we separated over minor doctrine. Reuel was at the original men’s meeting where this vehement denunciation, public censure, and condemnation began. David was able to blame a minor church service format for Reuel’s separation.

I was not at the men’s meeting so David needed to fabricate a situation where he would set me up to discuss doctrine. -Hence, the reason for the inquisitory call.

David *claimed* I had issues with the singing and music, and to quote Darv as witness, I “*was **critical** about our Church order just as Reuel.*”

Thus I was painted with the same brush stroke as Reuel. The truth is my reason for resigning *never* concerned a minor doctrine but blatant sin on the part of David Eells. And, everyone at the men’s meeting did not agree. Ben didn’t agree and he was at the men’s meeting.

But David claims our reason for leaving were “*false and illegal*”.

David is entitled to his opinion *but he is not entitled to lie*. Those witnesses to David’s sin are also entitled to be heard before the assembly in accordance with Matthew 18:17.

When David places a probing call, he slowly led me where he asks the loaded question. The loaded question usually came near the end of the conversation.

David: *"So Bill, I have been meaning to ask you of your impression of how Rob handled asking the parents to bring their children up before the assembly so we*

could pray for them?" I said, "Well David, it came across to me more as a command than a request and I thought it could have been handled differently. I would have had the parents pray over their own children where they were standing as we all agreed in prayer."

David: *"What about the music. I notice you come in late and you never sing."* My answer, "I have no problem with music or singing. But I think too much emphasis is placed on the music. I get the impression that we are placating the musicians, and we are there to edify them and it becomes more of a performance than a worship."

After I gave David my answers, he attempted to engage me in further discussion. I caught on to his ulterior intentions and ended the conversation by telling David we will have to agree to disagree at this point. As already explained, that is simply an unacceptable answer for David Eels.

David also claims I made a *factious statement* to Darv. If true, what was the statement I supposedly made, when and where did I make it? He said this to give him another witness to my alleged critical and factious nature. I do not admit nor deny I made a factious statement to Darv. As far as I am concerned this is an unsubstantiated accusation.

Apparently, David had his witness I am factious based on our phone call and Darv's saying I made a factious statement. To further prove I am of questionable loyalty and integrity, he used two dreams accusing me of letting tormentors into UBM to torment the assembly, *"The only ones Bill was in contact with that he was not correcting for this was Reuel and Christina."*

From one call and two dreams, David believed he had evidence to prove I am of the same spirit as Reuel and to prove his accusations in writing.

Consider I've known David Eells since 1998. Of the elders, I've known him the longest and had the most personal contact with him. Of the UBM members up till the time I resigned, there is only one other that was with UBM longer than me.

After all these years, all the conversations between us, all the personal contact, all the counseling and ministering I did as an elder, *without any complaints whatsoever*, suddenly, as the result of one call, two dreams and one unsubstantiated conversation with Darv, I am suddenly possessed by a factious demon transmitted to me from Reuel!

I submit this is prima facie evidence David has nothing but ulterior motives to destroy my character and integrity, and misrepresent my intentions. This is the extreme David will go to prove he is right and we are wrong.

As the minds are poisoned via the “*inoculation operation*,” those marked individuals are to be avoided at all costs as demonically influenced.

At this point, the only acceptable gesture from the marked individuals is complete submission evidenced by confession and repentance.

Since one cannot disagree with David, to relieve you of his relentless attack, one must cut off communication. , or submit to his will.

Thus, David began to charge that Reuel and I exhibited signs of faction by separating over a simple matter of *a disagreement of doctrine*.

David wrote, “*In many cases where there is rejection and/or faction involved, you won't have to cast them out; they will leave because of their own un-forgiveness and criticism, leading to faction (division)*. “

David added this because Reuel, Christina and I left in peace.

We were not *cast out*. We did not fit David's symptomology.

We were exceptions to his rule.

I have tried very hard to bring reconciliation with Reuel, Christina and Bill. I have known and loved them longer than any of you have except Ben and Ashley. I sent 5 or 6 e-mails to them to reason and plead with them with no replies. Rob sent e-mails with no reply. I have done my best to speak to them by phone and face to face but as yet they have not permitted it. He may bring them through a time of chastening and soul searching before they are ready to be restored. We just ask your prayers for us in this endeavor.”

I ask how David defines “restored”?

Knowing David’s idea of forgiveness, restoration can only mean returning and submitting to David.

Chapter 23 Rob Stanley Comes Under Fire

In June, David attempted to use Rob to prosecute with Matthew 18:16, and “mark” the Stewarts and me. At first Rob cooperated but then was convicted by the Lord he had not even heard Reuel or me.

David then began to target Rob Stanley.

He wrote the following e-mail after Rob repented before the assembly:

Thu, Jul 7, 2011 at 8:36 AM, David Eells wrote:

Rob,

*I came to you asking to speak with you and you refused. I asked again and you refused. **I asked again reminding you that breaking off communications is what the Factionists always do.** I told you there would be nothing but grace from me and I wanted to pray with you. You refused again. **Using an old e-mail of Rex’s to stand down as an excuse.** **Finally the other elders including Rex and Rory asked you to communicate with me and you conceded.** When we finally spoke I made no accusations but asked you to pray for me for the long list of things you **“discerned” were my sins.** (Remember that this is receiving an accusation against an elder illegally) I then asked if you would be offended if I prayed for you and you conceded. Then I prayed for you for what I knew you received **when you went to Reuel and Christina’s; unforgiveness and factionist criticism.** I knew this was not you. I then **shared the dream** below hoping you would obey your calling from God.*

*Then **I find out you are continuing to intimidate the brethren grilling them to see if they had received any accusations against you.** Please stop this witch hunt.*

*Brother you are slyly making war on me behind my back **just as Garretts dream** from a year ago said you would do. It also pointed out that you and Debra are at the bottom of the new wave of faction. **He also saw that you were secretly***

practicing witchcraft, which is as the sin of Rebellion.

These dreams are from God to correct. Two more dreams indicating your guilt are being typed up now. They are ***not accusations against an elder from men but from God.*** You cant stop people from sharing prophecies or dreams or visions or Word that have been sent to correct and warn the saints. Whenever factious people have done their thing over the years the Lord has given ***dreams to give wisdom and comfort*** to the saints. ***You now accuse me of resisting these wolves and then side with them.*** When little David kept his fathers flock the lion and bear came out to steal the sheep and when David resisted them ***they rose against him*** and he slew them. That is a good thing. This is what shepherds do. I ask you kindly to ***stop your warfare against me once again.*** If you would rather, the brethren could send their revelations to the body since they are warnings to them of what is really going on. Please brother and sister, truly and honestly ***forgive*** and make peace and not war. The saints are tired and discouraged. Do not torment them while calling it love. ***Do what this dream below commands.*** ***All the other dreams*** are of your guilt but ***this dream*** is where to go from here. Please communicate your answer to me.

Love from your servant,-David

The email from David to Rob is the same methodology used time and time again to pervert the truth, deflect any responsibility or wrong, and project his (David's) own actions onto his intended target.

In his e-mail to Rob, *"I came to you asking to speak with you and you refused. I asked again and you refused. I asked again reminding you that breaking off communications is what the Factious always do."*

Rob saw he was at the point of risking an escalation of tension between himself and David in responding. This is the corner where David backs his self-created adversaries. It is from this vantage David proves to do the most damage against those whom he begrudges.

He now accused *Rob* of factiousness by not communicating. Rob wanted time to pray and seek the Lord. David demanded immediate response. If Rob continued to

communicate any disagreement, David pressed the attack.

He began to go behind Rob's back to the other elders, slandering and accusing Rob of a list of accusations.

Again David took the disagreement to new levels.

In doing so, anything Rob or Debra said, any past behavior, or any weakness, was used against them.

Rory had asked for conference calls between all the elders to iron out the differences but David ignored the request. Rory asked for an outside mediator suggesting one of the various ministers that had been on the radio program with us, such as Michael Boldea, Vincent Xavier, or other respected minister.

David refused that also.

But if Rob took his side to the elders, then Rob was spreading the factious spirit. David stated, *"Finally the other elders including Rex and Rory asked you to communicate with me and you conceded;"* but Rex and Roy did not know of the gravity of the situation or understand what it meant to be backed into David's infamous corner.

No one has a true grasp of the isolation and humiliation of that corner until it happens to them.

The only solution David demanded was Rob repenting and agreeing to David's desire to prosecute the Stewarts. *"Then I prayed for you for what I knew you received when you (Rob) went to Reuel and Christina's; un-forgiveness and factious criticism."*

Did he only discern this *factious criticism* and *un-forgiveness* in Rob, or did David have witnesses? David wrote: *"These dreams are from God to correct. Two more dreams indicating your guilt are being typed up now."*

David's "proofs" of a factious critical spirit, and un-forgiveness were **a multitude of dreams**. Rob only became "*factious*" when Rob realized the sin was in David, not those he accused. But Rob did not *charge* David with sin, Rob only told David he discerned what was in David, David reacted as it was an accusation.

David states, “*When we finally spoke I made no accusations but asked you to pray for me for the long list of things you “discerned” were my sins. (Remember that this is receiving an **accusation against an elder illegally**).*”

This is hypocritical doublespeak. David says very clearly, it is illegal for Rob to accuse David of sin, in accordance with Matthew 18:15-17 substantiated with eye witnesses, but legal for David to accuse Rob of having a factious critical spirit, un-forgiveness, witchcraft, and mounting a rebellious insurrection against David and UBM **based solely and entirely on dreams!**

I ask, who is the problem child needing to be backed into a corner?

The minute Rob dared point to David’s error, the Stanleys were UBM history.

An encounter with David generates “*Cognitive Dissonance*”. Regardless of a personal opinion, we must believe David based on his years of experience.

Chapter 24 *Is David Eells Spiritually Superior?*

The following quote attempted to establish spiritual credibility and his spiritual seniority over anyone challenging or criticizing David:

“I have been in the Lord for almost 38 years, 37 of those I have been without demon doctrines such as going back under the law. The first year I came under the oneness and was delivered. Not long after I was ordained by an apostle to a teaching ministry. Not long after that the Lord appeared to me twice to ordain me to the highest office in the 5 fold ministry.

I have given my life for the saints. Even every dime over what I needed to keep my family running. I have taken many bullets for the saints.”

This response leads most to back down because of the “*Cognitive Dissonance*” technique. It will cause anyone intimidated by David to question their own ability to discern right from wrong.

I need to examine the reason why David Eells would even find it necessary to make such a quote. When I was in the Navy, I held a leadership position. It was my responsibility to utilize the sailors over whom I was in charge in the most

expedient and efficient way possible to accomplish the tasks I was assigned to do.

There are different ways to get people to accomplish assigned tasks.

In a very basic concept, you motivate people either by fear or pleasure.

A promise of rewards for accomplishing a task is pleasure. Declaring the consequences of failing to meet expectations is fear. A leader who resorts to motivating by fear, has nothing more than his rank and the authority of that position to defend his orders. The term used in the military is to pull rank, - to use the power that your position gives you over someone in order to make them do what you want. To pull rank, you first have to establish your rank.

Essentially, what David is doing in the above quote is establishing rank.

Establishing rank immediately lends credence to his qualification to administer orders to those who believe they are under his authority.

He will motivate you by fear for not bending to his desires.

I see nothing positive about David in the above quote.

First it tells me David lacks the leadership qualities to lead by example. We should know them **by their fruit not by their rank**. We don't gain respect by pulling rank, we earn it as we bear fruit. Such a statement is pretentious.

How did Peter know that Jesus Christ was the Son of God?

*Matthew 16:15 He saith unto them, But who say ye that I am? 16 And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God. 17 And Jesus answered and said unto him, **Blessed art thou, Simon Bar-jonah: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father who is in heaven.***

For David to be regarded as an apostle of Jesus Christ, he must first set the example of being an apostle, and then the Holy Spirit will reveal who David is.

-Not by a self-serving quote.

The Holy Spirit revealed to me David Eells' true nature.

And a true apostle would not do or say as David Eells has.

*Galatians 6:1 Brethren, even if a man be overtaken in any trespass, ye who are spiritual, **restore such a one in a spirit of gentleness; looking to thyself, lest thou***

also be tempted. 2 Bear ye one another's burdens, and so fulfil the law of Christ.

*3 For if a man thinketh himself to be something when he is nothing, **he deceiveth himself.***

When David was building his case against Reuel, David would either use names or insinuate who he was talking about. That was the *inoculation* phase. In the background, David was also building a case for Matthew 18:15-17 against Reuel. But Reuel was not permitted to build his case against David for Matthew 18:15-17.

If David went to Reuel in a Matthew 18:15 step, David is the shepherd and Reuel is a sheep. But a Matthew 18:15 encounter does not count for Reuel in speaking to David face to face. And the fact both Reuel and I spoke with David, does not count as a Matthew 18:16; *But if he hear thee not, **take with thee one or two more, that at the mouth of two witnesses or three every word may be established.***

-A word about Mat. 18, and how easy it is for *a leader* to use it against God's people, but how hard it is for the people to use it with a leader.

Jesus said He hates the Nicolaitane error.

So Reuel and I did not count as witnesses to David's transgression. One sheep and one elder doesn't pull enough rank to hold *Nicolaitane* David accountable.

What about Rob and Rory as witnesses against David's transgression?

Chapter 25 "I Withdraw My Repentance"

From: David Eells Date: July 9, 2011 9:25:09 AM

To: elders and?

Subject: Please hurry and do your work :o(

Brethren

*I wrote this below to **some friends who deserted me.** Please pray for me and for them. Plead the blood of Jesus over your minds and receive not an accusation against me, **even if it is two lying witnesses as it was with Jesus.***

I thank my father for choosing me for this.

Love, David

David *alludes* to two lying witnesses. Normally, in a Matthew 18:17 situation, *the accused does not determine the credibility of the witnesses.*

It is the assembly's responsibility to determine credibility of the witnesses and weigh all testimony against and for the accused.

David knows the purpose and process to fulfill the conditions in Matthew 18:17. He stated, *“Plead the blood of Jesus over your minds and receive not an accusation against me, even if it is two lying witnesses as it was with Jesus.”*

At this point, **there were three elders witness** against David; Rory, Rob, and I. Apparently, it is automatic that any witness against David is false.

The reason a witness against him can only be false is you cannot pull rank on the one who has promoted you.

David *“crossed the Rubicon.”* Now it became a matter of confess and repent, or prevent the revelation of the truth at all costs. He took the latter.

Since he no longer had the scripture to support his argument, he denied the process of Matthew 18:17 by denying the witnesses their opportunity to speak.

All David had left to support his argument *he* is the victim, *he* is being persecuted, and *he* is being falsely accused are the dreams and visions.

So I ask, who, more than anyone, understood the power of the truth?

The answer is *he who endeavors most to keep it concealed.* David knows the truth, and is desperate to keep it concealed.

And a desperate man resorts to desperate measures.

David began his attack against Rob when Rob received revelation by the Holy Spirit to repent in front of the assembly *against the wishes of David* on June 24th. Rob recognized the accusations made against Reuel, Christina and I as false.

He reacted to Rob's obedience in repenting as a personal attack. He claimed Rob was *not* hearing the Holy Spirit, because David had tossed coins. He arrogantly dismissed Rob's own relationship with Jesus Christ and began to undermine Rob

from the assembly.

David threw Rob “*under-the-bus*” despite the hard work and dedication Rob gave into the ministry from love of Christ and the brethren.

To maintain his kingdom for which he had lost his anointing, David sacrificed Rob and Debra on an altar built on a foundation of a false “*demon dream doctrine*.”

Unfortunately for David, Rob did not fit the typical mold for one of David's adversaries. Rob was led by the Holy Spirit and not the dreams. Rob told him *the scriptures* did not support using a dream as witness of sin against the Stewarts.

David refused Rob's Matthew 18:15 confrontation. Rob then took Kevin and Chad as witnesses to confront David, July 7, according to Matthew 18:16.

For the first time I know of, David was taken to task for abusing his authority, and held accountable for the sin of making unlawful false and un-witnessed accusations “legal”.

David was forced to acquiesce evidenced in his e-mail.

From: David Eells

Date: Thu, Jul 7, 2011 at 11:19 PM

Subject: **David's Confession**

To: Rex & Priscilla !Veron , Rory & Linda !Moore , Frank & Dixie !Thornton , Rob & Debra !Stanley

Brethren,

*Some good brothers, Rob, Chad, Kevin, who took some of their time to come reason with me. We have agreed that sharing warning dreams with others is not wrong. But they have convinced me that **I went beyond the point of warning, to convict brother Rob and sister Debra of sin. This goes beyond the legal point of obeying {1 Tim.5:19} Against an elder receive not an accusation, except at [the mouth of] two or three witnesses.***

*There were only a few people I shared this particular dream with but they may have shared this overbalance with others so I will confess this error to the congregation. Most of **all I confess this error to Rob and Debra** whom I love very much and ask their forgiveness. I believe I was fearful for the flock from the experience we had in Pensacola of contagious faction which inoculated us very well against disobeying the rules. I feel this is still a problem here. We disagree on the nature of faction and the way it must be dealt with so we will work on that.*

What I will probably not say to the congregation but should say to the elders is that

I consider the warning to be true and in agreement with other dreams and revelations which should not be ignored. We have much work to do here still.

*I am sorry for wasting some of your precious time.
Many blessings in Jesus, -David*

As you read, David admitted *he went too far*. It was not a true repentance as he had spread the dreams to other brethren, and he dodged personal responsibility in the name of protecting the flock.

The reality was he was fighting hard to protect himself.

David's attack was only on hiatus and he wrote in a subsequent e-mail:

*"Rob's whole basis to destroy any **revelation of his sin** is that dreams cannot be used to report sin of elders for **there must be two witnesses of sin and dreams** visions are not witnesses. **False.***

*Last night the Lord said to me that **we were all wrong about this**. He said, Every time our ministry was attacked by the factious He has sent dreams and visions to warn the righteous and convict the wicked of their sin, elders and all, and the rebellion was stopped. The dreams and visions confirmed one another. The Lord said when the dream is doubled it is surely going to come to pass. In other words **when they confirm one another they are from God.***

He also sent me to Ezekiel 8 and showed me that in the scriptures through visions; he has convicted elders of their sins before the whole congregation, which he has also done with our dreams and visions in the past when dealing with factious people. Note: an "onar" or dream is simply a vision or "horama" or "thing seen" in sleep.

***Rob has said that the dreams, visions and revelations that our own people have had about him are false.** Dreams saved whole nations in the bible. They gave direction from God that not even the pagans ignored. **The devil would have us throw them out so we cant stop him.** Considering the above, I am glad that I humbled myself to ask forgiveness of all.*

However I withdraw my repentance for sharing dreams which I did legally according to the Word.** The devil tried his best to hide them **and cut off God's tongue."

David not only invented a new false doctrine which I call *the demon dream doctrine*, but he also uses terminology I had never heard.

"I withdraw my repentance."

Repentance is a gift from our Father. The Holy Spirit is not going to move one to repentance only later to withdraw that repentance. David did not truly repent.

David feigned repentance, and retreated only to allow more time to mount a counter attack against Rob and anyone else who dared challenge his authority.

Armed with his new *demon dream doctrine*, David can now attack anyone he wants with impunity. There is no accountability when using dreams as a witness of sin.

The fact David is even attempted to do this is direct evidence of misuse and abuse. Authority comes from our Father and is delegated to us to dispense justly and righteously from a position such as an elder.

If an elder abuses his delegated authority and makes false accusations against someone using dreams as witness, and then creates a false doctrine to give legal cover, this elder is no longer functioning as an elder. He is functioning outside the boundaries of his appointed position. ***(as in Belindas tree dream)***

A Godly elder will only dispense his delegated authority from the Father justly and righteously. I submit, David Eells is functioning outside the boundry of his appointed position ***and is now acting without true authority***. Any authority now claimed is usurped authority, thus David, like King Saul, has lost his anointing. David had met his Spiritual match when the Holy Spirit rose up through Rob.

But now he needed ***me*** back to validate his attack against Rob and Debra!
So David returned to me trying to convince me to return to UBM.

A month after I resigned, David had to contact me face to face. He needed me back and realized his only chance was to try and manipulate me.

David did not want me back for my sake but purely for selfish reasons.

He was desperate for leadership support as Rob, filling an indispensable role for the ministry, was exposing demons in David Eells that had up until now, remained mostly below the radar.

I don't ever remember David answering for his behavior.

But then David had never led a local congregation of this magnitude. David was

being overwhelmed by his effort to weave a web of deceit.

"Oh what a tangled web we weave, when first we practice to deceive."

In accordance with scripture, Chad and Rob were insisting David substantiate his accusations against Reuel, Christina and I. Chad and Rob wanted real, live, breathing, thinking witnesses whose credibility could be established on the spot.

When it was apparent David's only source of witnesses were the dreams, his story began to fall apart, and now he wanted me back. July 9th, I received a call from David. I did not answer but he said he wanted to come over for a discussion. **He promised no arguments**, only a friendly discussion.

Of course I did not answer the call and had no intention of inviting David to my home for any discussion as I knew all too well David had an ulterior motive for wanting to see me.

I was in my garage about 4PM when David drove up the driveway. I was thinking, *"The nerve of this man."* As I stood in disbelief as David got out of his car and began walking in my direction, I was thinking, *"What do I say to this man?"*

When David met me face to face, he was wearing sun glasses. As he removed them I was shocked at what I saw. No longer under the influence of the spirit of idolatry, I saw a broken man who had lost his anointing.

Chapter 26 David Admits *"I suffer from the spirit of rejection"*

The first words out of David's mouth were, *"Do you hate me?"*

"No, I don't hate you, I love you", I said.

I put my hands on his shoulders, looked into his eyes and said, *"You were my spiritual father."*

Then David said, *"Then why did you leave me?"*

I reminded David what I had written in my resignation letter concerning the dreams. David said, *"But those dreams were a warning!"*

I replied, *"If those dreams were a warning, what was I doing that I needed to be warned of?"*

Please walk me through this. Where are your witnesses?" David said, *"The dreams were doubled and they were a warning you were not doing your job as an elder. You were suppose to be protecting UBM."*

I replied to David, *"David, I was doing my job as an elder. I was protecting Reuel"*

and Christina 's character and integrity in light of all your false accusations, your slander, and your railing."

David shot back, **"That is not true Bill and you know it."**

I reminded him he promised me in his phone message he was not going to argue with me. David said, "Ok, ok." Since we were standing in my driveway at the time and in the sun, David asked if we could go and sit in the shade. As we sat in the shade David said, *"I really need you back Bill. We have been real busy and I never realized how much work you did for the ministry."*

I told David, *"But David, I have resigned. I resigned because of irreconcilable differences. Irreconcilable means we have reached an impasse in our working relationship that makes it impossible for me to continue working for UBM. Resignation means I have severed that working relationship. It is over David and I am not going back."*

David then said, *"You have un-forgiveness Bill and you know what the bible says about un-forgiveness?"* I replied, **"I have taught on that subject many times, and I assure you David that I have no un-forgiveness towards you or anyone else."**

Then I reminded him of Tellico Plains at Charlie's house, **"In front of witnesses I told you I forgave you."** David said, "I don't remember." I said, *"David, how could you forget. That was a beautiful example of the Holy Spirit working in us."*

David blamed his bad memory. I asked David, *"What would be the fruit of my forgiveness?"* David answered, *"Bill, if you truly forgave me, then you would come back to UBM."* I said, *"David, I can't, I don't trust you anymore."* David's quick reply, *"If you forgave me then you would trust me."*

I then asked the question *"Is there a difference between forgiveness and trust?"* David replied, "Yes." Then I asked the question, *"Is it possible to forgive someone and not trust them?"* David said, *"Yes is it possible."* I said, **"David, I forgive you but I don't trust you anymore and I can't work with someone who I can't trust."**

David said, *"If you forgave me you would trust me again."* I told him, *"Something you need to know about trust, it arrives on foot and leaves on horseback. And that horse that my trust for you was riding on is not coming back."*

Again David said, *"Bill, you have not forgiven me and that right there proves it. And because you have un-forgiveness, you are going to be corrected."* I saw I was engulfed in a circular argument with David so I said, *"If I have un-forgiveness in my heart, I will stand corrected."* I then reminded him of the phone call on that Monday morning where David said, ***"Bill, I would like to just put this into the hands of God, and if I am wrong, I will be spanked and if Reuel is wrong, he will be spanked."***

Again, because David claimed bad memory and forgotten that call.

I said, *"Regardless, you are being spanked."*

David replied with, *"I am not being spanked, you are putting me on my cross."*

David's hypocrisy

I then asked David the question, ***"You talk of forgiveness, but you maintain files on the brethren and you use certain things in those files against certain brothers and sisters to discredit their character. Is it Christian to do such a thing?"*** **David did not answer the question.**

At this point he changed tactics and said, *"It is all my fault (David's fault), I should have showed you more gratitude."* David began to cry and said, *"Growing up as a child, my parents never showed me any gratitude so I have a hard time showing it to others. **As a result, I suffer from the spirit of rejection** and I used to suffer from the evil spirit of rejection and I pray that isn't so anymore."*

I was shocked to see David cry and I was shocked to hear him confess he suffered from the spirit of rejection.

I was moved deeply when David wept but I was not sure if David was sincere or faking the tears. If he was faking the tears, it meant he was adding insult to injury believing he could play on my emotions to get me to come back to UBM. If David was sincere, it meant that David was hurting and I was partly to blame for his pain.

If David was in fact hurting, my inclination is to make it go away and not add to that pain.

Either way, there was nothing I could do. I told David, *"If you believe that I resigned because you did not show me enough gratitude, then you do not know me at all. You do not know the depths of my love. You do not appreciate my walk with the Holy Spirit. You do not appreciate my discernment as an elder. You do*

not appreciate my judgment of character. When I told you I heard from the Holy Spirit, you doubted me.

When I told you I did not agree with any of your assessment of Reuel concerning him suffering from a spirit of rejection, you told me I needed to listen to you because you were the authority on the spirit of rejection and you have seen these symptomologies time and time again during your 37 years experience in the ministry. Gratitude is not the issue. It's about the truth, it's about justice. And it is about love."

I went on to say, "You claim to be expert on the spirit of rejection. You told me that you were led to send Reuel that e-mail where you defined the spirit of rejection and the symptomology associated thereof. And in that e-mail you were going to claim Reuel displayed the same characteristics of all the other nut cases you have encountered in your ministerial career with the spirit of rejection.

*You told me that you did not want to do that because every time you do that to someone you suspect of suffering from the spirit of rejection, it is like setting off a bomb and they blow up at you. **Well David, you sent Reuel that e-mail and what happened?"***

David did not answer my question. *I answered the question for David, "**Nothing happened.***

*- Not only did you not hear back from Reuel concerning that e-mail, but you complained to the other elders Reuel was not answering your e-mail. You cannot have it both ways. **That tells me Reuel does not fit your description of someone suffering from the spirit of rejection."***

David was silent. *And then he said, "I really need you back Bill. **UBM is not a fivefold ministry and I can't rely on the elders"**. When David said this I thought, "The fivefold ministry existed in name only".*

As long as the elders agreed with David, the elders were credible and could be trusted. If an elder disagreed with him that elder ceased to be credible. When three more elders disagreed with David, the facade of a fivefold ministry fell apart. When the facade of a fivefold ministry fell apart, there no longer existed the possibility of the elders holding David accountable within the structure of UBM."

Chapter 26 David's Anger Against Rob.

"he that hateth his brother is a murderer..."

David continued, *"Rob has turned against me like you wouldn't believe."* and went into a diatribe against Rob. He blamed Rob for everything wrong and everything that went wrong in the local assembly. In effort to boost my ego, David compared me to Rob and flattered me.

Now I remained silent, for I was weeping sorely inside. David had attempted to separate me from Reuel and Christina, and now, David was attempting the same between Rob and I.

It is called "faction".

I felt pity for David. This was a pitiful display of a man who regarded himself as an Apostle and elder. I felt pain in my heart for Rob and Debra as I saw in David an evil spirit I never saw before.

Neither Reuel nor I had provoked that spirit in David to such anger. Rob alone had confronted David's sin and I saw firsthand what Rob was up against. Rob needed my support in this spiritual battle and I could not walk away.

David's attempt to separate me from Rob drew me closer to Rob than I had ever felt. At this point, we became brothers in "spiritual" arms. I realized now it was best to remain silent, let David have his say so he could go on his way.

"A Strong Delusion"

When David finished his diatribe against Rob, then David talked of the birthing of the "Man-child Ministry."

*David described **the dreams** claiming that September 2, 2011 was the day.*

He didn't want to see me miss out on being part of the *"Manchild Ministry."* Then David talked about **Planet "X"**, the approaching death star and its accompanying doom and gloom.

Lastly he spoke of the billion dollars the Lord had promised him to use for the refuge. **David has spoken of a dream he received several years ago. An old man dressed in a white robe with a long white beard gave him a check for a billion dollars. The man in the long white robe is of course, our Father.**

After three hours, I saw David had used up all his persuasive inventory and at the point of leaving. He said in a sad voice, *"You don't realize what you have lost until you lose it."* I replied, *"Yes David, I know how that goes."*

I too felt a great loss. The David I thought I knew apparently never existed and if that David did exist, he was gone.

In that respect, I felt a great loss. But I felt great relief to be free of the David that now stood before me.

David asked, *"Can I go tell Elisa that I love her?"* I told David, *"No. It is better that I do that."* David said, *"I understand."* David told me, *"I love you."* I told David, *"I love you too."* David said, *"I am tired, I would like to just go home and be with the Lord."* I told David, *"You could drop all this, and go home and rest. Even Elijah was granted a rest."*

David replied, *"I can't go home and rest. Who would do the Lord's work?"*

I said, ***"The Lord can do the Lord's work."***

As I walked David to his car, he asked, *"If I call you, will you answer the phone?"* I said, *"It depends on what you want to talk about."* David shook his head and drove off.

He never called but if he did, I would not answer the phone unless he was calling to repent. After David left my house after his uninvited visit, he sent me the following e-mail in hopes that he would not only be able to convince me to come back but also Reuel and Christina.

From: David Eells
 Date: Sat, Jul 9, 2011 at 4:28 PM
 Subject: Dear brethren - Love you
 To: William Rowe Reuel & Christina Stewart
Brethren

Please do not let these spirits divide you farther from me than you are. It has come to me that I was supposed to be comparing you with the famous factious persons of the past. This is a total lie. I have said publicly several times that you were totally different than anyone else who divided away from us. That you were some of my favorite people in the world. That I have known and loved you longer than anyone here except for Ben and Ashley.

I believe you were stolen from us by factious spirits which are attacking our body through those they can use. You have never been like those who are attacking us now either. Please do not accept their attempts to divide us more.

I love you all and wish above all things I could come and speak to you and hug you. I am very grieved to be without you. Love in truth, David

From: David Eells **To:** William Rowe

Sent: Saturday, July 9, 2011 8:44 PM

Subject: Help?

Hi Bill

Would you consider seeing if it was OK and taking me to Reuel and Christina's Tues? Love you brother. David

David relentlessly continued to employ the term "factious" attempting to convince Reuel and I are indeed factious. He said, "It has come to me that I was supposed to be comparing you with the famous factious persons of the past. **This is a total lie.**"

It is not a total lie. David used the term "symptomology" inferring Reuel exhibited the same signs and symptoms as these other "famous factious persons."

Even though David desperately wants Reuel, Christina and I back, **he refused to admit his sin of falsely accusing us.**

He softened up slightly when he said, "I have said publicly several times that you were totally different than anyone else who divided away from us. That you were some of my favorite people in the world. That I have known and loved you longer than anyone here except for Ben and Ashley."

Like forgiveness, love is also defined in accordance with David's terminology. I believe he partly defines love as, "loyalty at all costs." I concluded that David, with an admitted "spirit of rejection" is not capable of loving in the same context as those who do not suffer from it.

It is dangerous to enter into a relationship with someone who places a higher value on protecting his reputation than protecting the character of those over whom he claims to have authority. David expected me to remain loyal even if it meant defiling my conscience to protect his reputation.

David throughout has claimed he was without sin and thus untouchable lest you have two witnesses of sin against him. When finally two witnesses arose in the

ranks of the elders against him, he claimed they were false witnesses and warned the brethren not to give them audience.

As I said in my testimony, when we attempted to bring David before the assembly, those witnesses had done all that could have been done to reconcile this situation without success.

David would say, come to my face and speak to my face. But when you did, you were charged as factious unless you repented and kept your mouth shut.

The day after David came to my house, I sent Rob an e-mail:

From: William Rowe **To:** Robert Stanley

Sent: Sunday, July 10, 2011 10:49 AM

Subject: Re: accusations??

Rob,

The reason why I told you that David "lambasted" you yesterday was not to provoke anger or instill more division among the brethren but to give you the entire picture of David's behavior.

Rex and Rory also need the entire picture.

I do not enjoy this by any means nor do I enjoy hitting someone who is already down. All I would want to do is make David's hurt go away but that would not solve any systemic problems that are David's responsibility as he is the man in charge. You can convey to Rory what I told you concerning the conversation I had with David yesterday but be as gentle as possible.

It has to be done in love and please convey that to Rory that I want nothing more than to help David but Rex and Rory need to know that David is not capable of being in a leadership position in his present state of mind.

And please tell Rex and Rory that we all love David and because of that he was forgiven prior to anything he did against any of us.

Bill

The next time I saw David was July 15 at the church at Madisonville when I was there to defend Rob and Debra and be a witness against David. David built this up as a "**conspiracy**" by "**Rob and his disciples**" to "**ambush**" David.

Read the following two e-mails by David:

From: David Eells

Date: July 14, 2011 1:48:05 PM EDT

To: David Eells

Subject: **The Conspiracy Revealed by Father**

Brethren,

I was told that there was a telephone conference called last night against me and I was not invited to defend myself. I was told there was a plan to ambush me Friday night and Rob was leading it just as the dreams, visions, and revelations below said would happen. He has one elder Rory convinced. Frank and Rex are against this.

Please be at the church Friday and support me. Please ask that the dreams and visions below should be heard. They have been trying to hide them.

As I was told there would be a Korah rebellion and it is coming to pass.

Bill and the Stewarts are my very dear friends. They have been very quiet for a while. Their disagreement with us is being used by the demons to attack me through Rob and disciples.-Love, David

From: David Eells **To:** William Rowe
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 2:23 PM
Subject: The Conspiracy is Revealed by Father

Hi Bill

*I heard you were on that secret telephone conference last night. You are in on this conspiracy too, brother? **OH God!! My dear friend?***

Please say it isnt so Bill. Please don't join in this.

*You have listened to them. Do you want to see the evidence that this is a conspiracy by satan to destroy ubm **and me**?-Love, David*

Again, David does not address issues but attacks the person. The **only** evidence David has for a "Korah Rebellion" **are the dreams**.

David is well versed using keys terms to falsely frame a situation.

Look at the facts. **A conspiracy is an agreement by two or more persons to commit a crime, fraud, or other wrongful act.** David claimed the elders holding David accountable according to Matthew 18:17 in the assembly, is a wrongful act. **Ambush - an act or instance of lying concealed so as attacking by surprise.** As mentioned, Rob had *already gone to David* according to Matthew 18:15-16.

David would know the next step is Matthew 18:17.

Chapter 27 The Massacre in Madisonville

Matthew 18:17 And if he refuse to hear them, tell it unto the church: and if he refuse to hear the church also, let him be unto thee as the Gentile and the publican.

Jesus instructed this issue now be brought before the church, the local assembly at Madisonville. David says “*Rex was not in agreement.*”

The Truth: Rob read both Rory's **and Rex's resignation letters** to the assembly that Friday evening.

Rob resigned that evening, mine was a month earlier. We resigned UBM but not from the Body of Jesus Christ. But as elders, we are still accountable for our overseership in protecting members of Jesus Christ.

Disciple - a person who is a pupil or adherent of the doctrines of another; follower.

David insinuated Rob had a band of dedicated followers with whom he conspired to ambush David. This leads the reader to believe Rob wants to take something not rightly his. Our purpose for being there was to present our witnesses to the assembly and attest to David's sin.

It was then up to the assembly to receive our witness or reject our witness. Regardless of the assembly's decision, we were there as witnesses. We were not there to “destroy” David Eells or UBM.

David violated God's anointing when he abused the authority of his appointed position. If UBM and David Eells are “*destroyed*,” it might be Satan's work, but those works were manifested through David.

At first, David claimed he was not ready and taken by surprise. *When in fact, the last two weeks of May and first week of June, the broadcasts were concentrating on the “inoculation operation”. (i.e. mind conditioning)*

“Railing, slander, factious, rejection, rebellion” were already “inoculated”, without names mentioned, in accordance with the dreams, thus becoming a self fulfilling prophecy.

First came the dreams predicting the “*Korah Rebellion*,” then came application

of terms derived from the dreams to the people and situation.

This was David's *modus operandi*. The issues were avoided in the wake of the witnesses need to defend against labels applied to them by David as conceived in dreams. This was effectively applied Friday the 15th at the assembly

Instead of the assembly objectively hearing the witnesses, they believed David was facing a rebellion instead of a correction. By David co-opting the meeting from the beginning, he maneuvered the issue away from Matthew 18:17 and to the false "*Korah Rebellion*."

The propagandized assembly never knew the true purpose of the meeting. Few recognized it was the next step of correction according to scripture.

Before one word preceded from the mouth of one witness, we were all labeled as taking part in a "*Korah Rebellion*". In manipulating the meeting, David masterfully redirected the attention from him to Rob and the other witnesses.

The local assembly was beguiled into supporting David and refused to hear witness accounts as required by scripture. Once David was afforded the opportunity to co-opt the event, the assembly was not of the mind or spirit to receive any witness against David.

The Call and a chronolgy

David says **the conference call** we held prior to July 15th was a "*secret conference*," because he was not invited.

But why was David not invited?

What had transpired prior to the conference call?

-Rob, Rory, Rex and me had all requested David drop his attack against Reuel and Christina as they saw the accusations were false and unsubstantiated.

-David was confronted by Rob as per Matthew 18:15, followed by Rob, Chad and -Kevin via Matthew 18:16.

-The next day, David withdrew his repentance.

-David renewed his attack against Rob, me, and any who did not support his handling of Reuel and Christina.

-a conference call was initiated to ascertain the next step.

So I ask the question, what purpose would it serve inviting David to conference?

If David would not see the light by now, he certainly would not receive our correction during the conference call.

The purpose of the call was to determine if the witnesses all agreed to present the Matthew 18:17 proceedings before the assembly.

We could not conduct Matthew 18:17 with David in the conference call because it must be done in front of the assembly.

There was no other reason to invite David to join in on the conference call.

At that point, everything that could be done to reconcile this situation was done in accordance with scripture. Of the elders, Rob, Rory, Rex and I were in agreement that a Matthew 18:17 procedure should be held with the assembly.

I don't recall *any* of the witnesses in disagreement.

Elder Frank was on the conference call. As I recall, he had no opinion on what to do. Frank did say instead of reading the e-mail Rob sent to him to inform him of the situation concerning David, Frank deleted the e-mails.

-Thursday July 14, Rob informed David at the Thursday men's meeting that David would be held accountable before the assembly, the evening of Friday July 15, according to Matthew 18:17.

Rob planned to open the Friday fellowship/worship service by informing the assembly that David Eells was to be brought before them as per Matthew 18:17.

David Hijacks the Meeting

Rob was going to read Rory's and Rex's resignation letters and then the witnesses would speak. As Rob stood to announce the agenda before the assembly, David raised his hand asking Rob if he could have *about 15 minutes* to read a couple pages of notes.

-Rob gave David the floor. As David spoke, it reminded me of when David came to my house the prior week. He was engaging the assembly to control and manipulate them away from the issues, away from him, and right back at the witnesses.

-One of the first moves David asked for was a show of hands to not have the proceedings recorded. The next show of hands was for David's advice to keep the proceedings local.

Then David claimed needing more time to prepare his defense and gather his witnesses. David claimed he was over worked and did not have the time nor the computer skills to extract the information he needed for a proper defense.

-David began reading from his notes *taking him about 90 minutes*, he spoke without interruption. Twice he called on two of his witnesses, Garrett and Robin.

-Robin spoke in defense of David based on her expertise with the court system and inferred Matthew 18:17 was like a civil or criminal secular court process. Thus Robin affected the minds of the people who became less scripturally minded to discern the truth. Robin convinced the assembly a Matthew 18:17 processes was a miscarriage of justice as David did not have time to prepare his case.

-Garrett was there to testify against Reuel because Garrett was at the men's meeting where all this began.

An important question concerning Garrett's witness is, "Why would Garrett be called to testify against Reuel now, but was not called by David as witness before when others were seeking witnesses to support David's accusations against Reuel"?

It was obvious both Garrett and Robin were coached what to say, and their witness did not agree. (as per "two lying witnesses?"

David said, *"Without me, who would teach and who would fill in the gap. How would things run without me here."*

David again made his case using dreams to witness against an elder. He repeated the dreams and visions predicting a supposed *"Korah Rebellion."*, and through his bag of persuasion techniques, as with me a week before, except this time David did not cry. He came close though.

-When David finally finished, he essentially did what he claimed he did not have time to do. He presented his case with witnesses and was successful in beguiling the assembly.

He turned the assembly against Rob and the other witnesses and the witnesses were never allowed to utter a word.

-By then the assembly was not of the mind to hear the witnesses.

When Rob finally regained the floor, he began to read Rex's and Rory's resignation letters.

As he read this part from Rory's resignation letter, ***"The Lord answered "the dreams are from those that hold David in idolatry"***, the assembly erupted.

The exposed idolatress spirits were released and were mightily mad.

One brother was so filled with anger; another had to calm him down. The spirit of idolatry reviles any attempt to identify it as factiousness.

Only an evil spirit is able to devise a seemingly bullet proof defense against exposure of the truth. In a masterful way, Satan maintains a cover preventing exposure to the deceived by labeling truth as factious.

Satan desire is to keep the deceived in their stupor at all cost. He will accuse the good as being bad or demonically possessed, and the lie those under the spell of the idolatrous spirit are the ones hearing from God. This is a strong delusion.

I am labeled as factious. So, if I give my testimony to someone and they believe me, not David Eells, then that person has been overcome by the factious spirit. And if I give my testimony to someone and they refuse me, I am factious.

Why was I at this proceeding?

I had never intended to come and didn't want to come. Of course I knew I was going to be accused of being factious. I went because I witnessed how David came to my home and railed against Rob.

I wanted to be there because Rob was putting it all on the line for the brethren.

What Rob endured July 15 in the assembly from those who supported David I would describe as a verbal crucifixion.

That cross Rob carried for the brethren whom he loved. John 15:13 **Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends.**

I had resigned UBM, but my resignation did not relieve me of my duty to stand in the gap for the flock. I could clearly hear from the Holy Spirit where I was being sent. I did not know what I might say, when or how I would speak. I was depending on the Holy Spirit to walk me through this trial. I knew I was there to say something, and it was going to be said even over anyone's objections. And I know there was going to be objections.

One of my concerns was how David was going to react to my presence.

Considering David had put out a plea for help, I suspected David might think I was there to defend him. As I walked to the front doors of the "church," Kelly was there to greet me and gave me a hug. I later told Rob, "I was expecting to be entering into a pit of vipers and be bitten by a snake but rather I was hugged by an

angel."

When I walked into the sanctuary, I saw David and he saw me. David walked over and put his right arm around me and told me, "*Don't worry, everything will be OK.*" I told David, "Lord willing."

For me, this was a very heavy-hearted moment.

Things like this are not suppose to happen.

As I told a very dear brother of mine, I can only compare this to the trial I endured when my 17 year old son died of cancer at home in my arms. In my mind, my son was not supposed to die, but he did die, and we both endured it to the end.

David was to be better than this. David was an "apostle." David was an "elder of the elders." David preached and taught the "*unleavened*" Gospel. But he stumbled and it took our Father working in the hearts of the elders through His Holy Spirit to finally bring David before the assembly where our Father wanted him to be.

Chapter 28 Aftermath

David cannot escape judgment for what he has done. Sooner or later it will come unless he repents. We are elders and not enablers. Once our eyes were opened to the truth, we could not condone such behavior.

We could not walk away pretending this was a simple differing of opinions concerning *doctrinal interpretation*. The elders each realized their responsibility to God and His people to stand for truth and justice. Each of us knew the price we would pay in loss of position, relationships, even the slander, malice, and persecution. Each of us was willing to pay the price to protect the sheep.

Justice always comes. My prayer is David repents and I know repentance is a gift from God. I pray daily he receives that gift and his eyes are opened, that he confesses his sin and realizes he has been forgiven.

Then, I will welcome him back as a brother for then I will know he has no ulterior motive other than to be my brother in Christ Jesus.

This was also a trial for David (no pun intended) **to see if he would humble himself or continue on with his lies and deceit**. We praise our Father for the grace and mercy He had on Rob, Rory, Rex and I as he opened our eyes to the truth

and gave us the strength, courage and wisdom as He worked in us to rebuke one of His own. For this purpose, there are elders.

-Elders willing to stand for truth, justice, and love against the most extreme situations. They must be willing to put it all on the line for the flock as true shepherds of the Saints.

And I submit, the defining moment of this entire trial, was when I stood up and pointed my finger at Rob and told the entire assembly *Rob is an elder, he chose to follow after the Holy Spirit and not after man.*

Rob had humbled himself to the assembly and repented when David would not.

David has pointed out that a characteristic of a person with a "critical factious spirit" is not having the courage or integrity to face the person to whom you are critical. The "*critical factious*" person will only talk behind the backs of the person to whom they are critical. -As David did with Rob before July 15.

David cannot differentiate between criticism and true factionalism. I came to David in accordance with scripture with my criticism of him and he chose to attack me by going after my character and integrity.

Now David would not be able to accuse Rob, Rory, Rex and I of not coming to him face to face as now we have done that in front of the assembly as a witness.

By my being there, and giving testimony before the assembly July 15, I put a face on the results of an attack by David against one of his most loyal and trusted servants.

The assembly was forced to see me and hear me and hear the suffering of the trial I endured. They were forced to witness the pain in my heart for the loss of a friendship and my working relationship with UBM. Before the assembly, I described the pain I suffered and endured during this trial as the pain of losing my son but on a greater scale.

For that I was called a "liar."

David said that the pain of a broken heart I displayed before the assembly was anger and bitterness. As I stood there, I told the assembly as David accused me, *"You see, I stand here and pour my heart out to you, and then you take that, and use it against me."*

When my son died, I wept because I was hurt, not because I was angry or bitter. No one ever mistook my hurt for anger, bitterness or un-forgiveness. Robin later wrote an e-mail she sent out to an e-list claiming *"Bill shared his grievances with*

*obvious bitterness, un-forgiveness, and yes Bill was hurt.
We witness this showed us that there was clearly faction in his heart."*

After my testimony, Robin was one of many that came to me and expressed how they were moved by what I had to say. Many were moved to tears as they came up and hugged me.

I submit, those brethren, many who were there to support David, were not moved to tears by a factious man spewing anger, bitterness or un-forgiveness. Those tears were in response to an out pouring of the Holy Spirit.

David, the only one who accused me openly of displaying anger and un-forgiveness, must have undue influence on Robin when she wrote that about me in her e-mail. Again, we must address how David so aptly applies the technique of "**Cognitive Dissonance**" to those subject to his influence.

I can't recall what point I stood up and took the floor but it was at the prompting of the Holy Spirit as I was not going to be given the floor.

What I Testified

I began by explaining the severity of my trial, how it exceeded the bounds of any previous trial I have ever endured. Being subjected to "*Cognitive Dissonance*" weighs heavy on ones emotions. There is no appropriate avenue of reconciliation with the person who subjects one to such measures as a means of control and manipulation.

I explained to the assembly how David Eells accusation of Reuel of having the spirit of rejection causing Reuel being puffed up, factious, prospering much slander and railings against UBM and David.

I said to the assembly I did not agree with David's judgment of Reuel nor did I witness any evidence of inappropriate behavior. I detailed when it was evident I was in total disagreement with David, he began to attack me.

The most effective device David used against me was the dreams accusing me of tormenting the brethren. I explained when David claimed the dreams were meant as a warning, I asked David the question, "***What was I doing David that I needed to be warned of?***"

When I asked that to David and the assembly, no one answered. -Only silence from David and the brethren.

There was no answer because the only witness of any wrong doing on my part, were the dreams.

And when there was no answer to my question, I went on to say how devastated I was when David placed higher value on the “witness” of two dreams than our friendship begun in 1998.

David placed more value on two dreams than the fruit of my dedicated loyal service.

David chose the counsel of two dreams rather than the counsel of his most faithful elders.

David chose the counsel of two dreams rather than the counsel of four elders.

David took the risk of threatening to destroy my character and integrity to the assembly and the church to preserve his credibility and reputation.

I discovered I was an elder in name only to lend credence to the façade of a fivefold ministry, I was heartbroken. I explained to the assembly that UBM was my life and how I risked my life for David erecting three antenna towers.

I would have done anything for David except defile my conscience by unjustly maligning the character and integrity of Reuel and Christina. I went on to explain to the assembly, when I would not support his attack against Reuel and Christina, David attempted to turn Rob against Reuel, Christina and I.

Rob, as I, temporarily gave in to the pressure until the Holy Spirit came to Rob’s rescue . When the Holy Spirit revealed to Rob what he revealed to me, Rob repented before the assembly for abrogating his responsibility as an elder to hear both sides of the issue.

I explained to the assembly, when a man whom you trust and respect says you have not heard from the Holy Spirit when you have, you are cut off at your knees.

The fruit of Rob’s repentance was pouring out his heart to the assembly. But based on the flip of two coins, David claimed Rob had not heard from the Holy Spirit and was not to repent before the assembly.

David immediately began his attack against Rob. David’s loyalty to the elders is contingent upon the elders total submission to him rather than the Holy Spirit

Chapter 29 The Unheard Elders Are Accused

Robin’s account of July 15 [my comments in blue](#): *(emp. Added)*

From: "Robin Schenck [UBM Admin]"
 Date: July 27, 2011 6:34:38 AM CDT
 Subject: Fw: Local UBM TN. Fellowship Statement

Dear XXXXX and XXXXX: *This is the statement we the local UBM assembly are contemplating releasing to our ex-elder(s). I hope this helps you understand what really happened here Friday the 15th and before. Love of Christ to you - Robin, UBM*

From Local UBM Fellowship of TN. Valley 7/26/11

Our men were witnesses at the first men's meeting when Reuel shared his grievances and our men objected to this false teaching along with Rob Stanley and David, who was the most peaceful about it. We only recently found out that David had gone to Reuel and Christina for weeks to find out why they were withdrawing from us and David said that they would not reply at all. This was wrong and against our Law of Matthew 18:15, 16 to go to the church first in 17.

David "painted" Reuel stating his point of view concerning worship at the men's meeting was Reuel conducting a Matthew 18:17 against David at the men's meeting! ***This claim is absurd.***

The men's meeting would be the very forum for this type of discussion. David taking personal offense to Reuel broaching this topic is the quintessential overreach of reason.

By David overreaching himself, he proved he creates disunity among the brethren. Rather than quell the emotions, and settle this matter at the lowest possible level, David has made outrages claims leading to false accusations impugning Reuel's character and blatantly misrepresenting Reuel's intentions.

This type of behavior on David's part is contrary to good order and discipline, and is indicative of the poorest of leadership qualities, let alone an elder or self-described "apostle".

Our dreams and visions were given about this attack coming in the back door, even when we were ignorant that it was actually happening, and they were ignored by Rob but not David. Some are on the Christian Snipers page but names were removed for teaching purposes.

Again and again, all David has are his dreams and visions **but no witnesses of any sin or misconduct.** The dreams have proven to be nothing more than a self fulfilling prophecy, the result of what happens when the man in charge is delusional with poor leadership skills and his followers in idolatry.

Later our dreams, visions and revelations which also showed Rob and Debra at the very root of this were ignored. It appears very clear to us that after Reuel, Christina and Bill separated from us, Rob and Debra and Chad separated from us and our spirits too as they then took up this Faction against us and David.

First came dreams and visions accompanied by the terminology and labels. Next he applied scripture to them lending credence to them elevating the dreams and “visions” as equal to God’s Word or as David wrote “**God’s tongue**”.

Once the dreams and visions are given as much weight as the word of God, then they are surgically pinned on their intended targets or situations. **All Satan has to do to destroy a ministry that places such high value to dreams and visions, is give the intended victims false dreams and visions.** David stumbled into idolatry when he slowly began turning more and more to dreams and visions for direction, and away from the rock of scripture, “*petra*”. (*Belindas dream*)

Chapter 30

God’s Tongue, Failed Dreams and “two lying witnesses”

Evidence

A multitude of failed dreams, prophesies, and predictions are UBM record.

- **No tribulation in 2005** (*Hidden Manna video*),
- **George Bush did not have a 3rd term and was not assassinated** (6-5-05 UBM radio)
- no “man-child” Sept. 29 2011, or any time in 2011 as David positively assured people,
- no earthquake in Tennessee or New Madrid fault,
- no Gulf explosion,
- no Comet Elenin alignment disasters
- no appearance of Planet X, etc, etc, etc.

Remember, David calls the dreams “God’s tongue” but calls elders “two lying witnesses”. And David has found it necessary to cleanse the UBM website of pages of failed dreams, failed dates, failed predictions,

Accusing of faction via dreams rather than the mouth of two or three witnesses is against scripture and contrary to what David taught and wrote in the past. This is why I term his new teaching the “*demon dream doctrine*”.

David asked for forgiveness over and over but they responded with a continuing prosecution and persecution. We did not like the interrogations that were directed to this prosecution of David who in no way attempted to make a case against these perpetrators. He shared some of our warnings with others of us and we were glad to know and be warned. We found no fault with this and the factious found no scripture to forbid dreams, visions, and revelations. David was making no case for prosecution of sin by men's witnesses.

Asking forgiveness is avoiding the issue.

Her words **"in no way attempted to make a case against these perpetrators"** is a lie of highest magnitude as this testimony reveals.

What definition does David apply to the term "forgiveness"?

Of course we forgave David but ***forgiveness does not negate the need for David to repent and confess his sin.***

Holding David accountable according to Matthew 18:15-17 is what David attributes to: *"continuing prosecution and persecution."*

-And of course Robin applied *secular terms* to a scriptural process, which itself is *"illegal and immoral"*. Matthew 18:15-17 is never a secular court.

David's case against *"these perpetrators"* was David's use of dreams as a witness of sin against Reuel, Christina, Rob, Debra and I.

We have no problem with the sharing of dreams and visions as long as they are not used to accuse of sin.

Instead, in this sudden illegal attempt at a trial which was sprung on us Friday night, we learned that there was a secret meeting led by Rob with the witnesses, some who did not believe in this sneak process and were not against David.

(Frank Thornton reported this injustice and wanted to resign because he was given a dream that night about it of a dark room filled with large and small black snakes that could not harm him.)

Robin reframed Matthew 18:17 as a secular trial which it is not. Labeling the meeting secret gives the conference call an evil connotation. **Frank Thornton was the only one who had no opinion because Frank abrogated his responsibility as an elder to read Rob's e-mail briefing Frank of the issues.** That Frank had a vision of snakes still does not release him from his responsibilities **because a dream or vision is not a witness of sin or misconduct.** *Rob properly informed David about the Matthew 18:17 the day before at the*

Thursday men's meeting!

*We understand that Rory was there .. but David was not even asked to come? Many of us in the local fellowship had no idea at all that anything strange was going on in the first place. David was given only the morning and afternoon of one day to prepare, because he was only told by them the night before that they were going to charge him according to Mat.18:17. But we were being interrogated for a couple of weeks. **We object to this kind of conspiracy and ambush**, which our own revelations had predicted, **so how could they be wrong?***

The reason many in the local assembly did not know about Matthew 18:17 is because the witnesses had up until this point, been dealing with David in accordance with Matthew 18:15-16 privately.

The assembly had no need to know.

The very purpose of Matthew 18:17 is for the assembly to judge. ***There is no time limit*** for presenting witnesses to the assembly in accordance with Matthew 18:17.

The assembly is instructed to hear the witnesses and then decide on the evidence.

When Robin claimed they were being “*interrogated*”, I can only assume she is referring to Chad asking the witnesses to substantiate accusations of sin against Reuel, Christina and I.

Chad addressed the “*witnesses*” named by David. All the witnesses were unsubstantiated and David failed in his attempt to force a Matthew 18:17 against Reuel and Christina.

That was an entirely different issue. And Robin used inflammatory terms as ***conspiracy and ambush*** dramatizing and giving a surrealistic impression to what is a very practical and straight forward procedure.

“*Ambush and conspiracy*” stirs carnal imagination.

David said at the Friday Assembly he could not prepare for this for he had other duties too, but he pointed out that witnesses of him sharing the warnings we ourselves had and even agreeing with those warnings is not a witness of sin anywhere in the Word.

A warning doesn't have to come to pass if there is repentance. Therefore, it is not a conviction of sin. Therefore it is important for the warning to get out. We sin if we don't share it. (Eze.3:18) When I say unto the wicked, Thou shalt surely die; and thou givest him not warning, nor speakest to warn the wicked from his wicked way, to save his life; the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at thy hand.

Also dreams come from God as Acts 2 says but men ignore them as Job 33 says. An accusation from men needs two witnesses to convict of sin. But warnings came all through the bible against elders and only the wicked ignored them or tried to hide them as Rob and Debra did.

Confusing?

This is interesting how Robin and David confused the issue using dreams as a witness of sin using word games or semantics.

Only now do they use the word ***“warning”*** to cover David’s application of dreams as a false accusation of sin.

I believe David is using *“warning”* as defined, to admonish or exhort.

Admonish: *to reprove or scold.*

Exhort: *to admonish urgently.*

Whether one is being warned of sin or accused of sin, the results are the same. The one(s) accused or “warned” have apparently done something to be warned of.

Robin said, ***“A warning doesn’t have to come to past if there is repentance.”***

This is a very important point.

I asked the question, ***“Am I suppose to repent of a sin I might commit or am I repenting of a sin I have already committed?”***

Is this a pre-crime or pre-sin repentance?

How can I repent of something I have yet to commit? -Plain and simple.

For a warning or accusation of sin, to repent, I must have done something to repent of.

But it always goes back to, ***“Where are your witnesses?”***

If your only witness are dreams, it doesn’t count or qualify for accusation of sin. It is the same if you choose to call it a warning.

I have asked the question till I am blue in the face: “What have I done to be warned of and where are your witnesses, dreams notwithstanding?”

Look at what happens when dreams are used as a warning. (BTW, if warning dreams are to be followed as true witnesses, what do you do when so many have failed?

See the addendum of failed UBM predictions, dreams, dates and disasters.

If I am accused of allowing *tormentors* into UBM when I was not in agreement with David concerning his spiritual assessment of Reuel, then the sin was not agreeing with David.

But it could not be sin because no one has ever brought witness to substantiate the charge I was *allowing tormentors into UBM*.

The dreams against me were a warning alright, it was a warning from David to agree with me or I will destroy your character and integrity before the assembly.
 “Cognitive Dissonance!!!”

David never accused Rob of sin or even used that word. God said in Genesis 41:32 **that dreams that agree** with one another are ordained of God and come to pass, which means they are TRUE. He pointed out in Ezekiel 8 that elders were reported to the congregation through visions. He also pointed out that Matthew 18:17 would demand that those who agree with the indictment would have to separate from him and those who didn't would stay with him, thus dividing the body. He also later pointed out to **Rob that he had accused him of two long lists of accusations** before others **and without witnesses and no trial had been prepared for him**. What hypocrisy this is?

David *did* accuse Rob of sin, and did so behind his back to the other elders. David wrote the other elders in a “private” email, July 2, Rob and Debra Stanley were in the living room. They were secretly practicing witchcraft. (*Rebellion is as the sin of witchcraft*)

Why? -because like me, Rob didn't agree with David's assessment of Reuel, Christina and I. Matthew 18:17 was to bring David to repentance, not to separate the assembly.

But again, Robin used secular legal terminology to confuse the issue.

The word indictment is not appropriate. David refused to repent and receive correction so there was a separation anyway.

David knew there was going to be a separation either way, so David chose the easy way out and not admit to his sin before the assembly and witnesses.

We objected to **Rob being Judge and Prosecutor** in this **unjust trial**, which was a

clear conflict of interest, **with one voice**. We, the overwhelming majority of local UBM, also objected to what some were shown in previous **revelations**(dreams) was an ambush of David by lifting up our voices. **More than one person actually used the word "lynching"** to describe what was going on. Bill shared his **grievances** with **obvious unforgiveness, bitterness**, and, yes, hurt. We witness that this showed us there was **clearly faction in his heart**. Later Rob shared two elders' e-mails where **they seemed to join in this faction** by separating from us with resignations. We spoke out that they were not here and did not know what we were seeing. (Rex later told David that he was not going to judge this because he was not there. Rory should have done the same.) In this email from Rory we who had **these scriptural collaborating warnings** (the dreams) were **all called idolaters** and we objected with one voice to that as well. Most folks in the room had been unaware that all this behind-the-scenes scheming had been going on for weeks and we were not blind at all to the fact that **the accused** had had no time to prepare for **this so-called trial**. **David never tried to stop the trial**. He only asked for two weeks to prepare also instead of a few hours. We were the ones who stopped **this immoral ambush**.

Robin used secular court terminology to define the Matthew 18:17 instructions.

"We objected to Rob being Judge and Prosecutor in this unjust trial, which was a clear conflict of interest, with one voice."

This is not only a misuse of terms, **it is a lie**.

I was there and I spoke. And there were other witnesses who wanted to speak but not given the opportunity. And neither Rob nor any of the witnesses were there to "Judge" or to "Prosecute."

We were there to present our honest witness and the assembly was to judge the evidence. Robin, as a court reporter, is confusing the purpose of Matthew 18:17. Robin calls this event *an ambush* and now *a lynching*.

The reason why those inflammatory terms were hurled like rotten tomatoes was because *David had already poisoned their minds with those terms!*

Robin makes light of Rory's and Rex's resignations because they were not here.

The reason why it is significant Rory and Rex resigned is the reasons they resigned.

As forthrightly stated in their resignation letters, the reasons were directly connected to David's abuse of his position and abuse of his delegated authority.

*And they did know exactly what was going on because **they were both thrust into this situation by David!***

David turned to Rory and Rex in an effort to garner support as he lost Rob's and mine. David gave Rory and Rex enough information about the circumstances surrounding the local affairs to realize they needed both sides of the story.

David wanted Rory to sign off on David's side of the story without Rory hearing Rob, Reuel, or my testimony. "Cognitive Dissonance." Or, "*Don't believe your lying eyes.*"

Robin said, "*Many of us in the local fellowship had no idea at all that anything strange was going on in the first place.*"

Robin admits the local assembly was not aware of the growing dispute between Rob and David.

The local assembly had no need to know about the dispute among the elders until we decided to bring the matter before the assembly according to Matthew 18:17.

Robin is essentially stating Rob, Rex, Rory and I were in fact, doing our due diligence in keeping this matter private until the appropriate time.

This is also proof that faction was not, is not, and never will be an issue with those who were accused of such by David. By David making that false accusation, David is reporting on himself that he is the one who is in fact factious.

The elders did not go among the brethren maligning or slandering David, as he did with the other elders. David so did in violation of the scripture.

Then Robin said, "*We spoke out that they were not here and did not know what we were seeing.*"

But at this point, elders *Rory and Rex knew exactly what was transpiring* between Rob and David. That was precisely why they resigned.

Like Rex, none of the witnesses were there to judge but only to give witness.

Rex's resignation letter was his witness, the result of Rex discovering David was manipulating the brethren to suite his own needs and desires.

Robin states, "*David never tried to stop the trial. He only asked for two weeks to prepare also instead of a few hours. We were the ones who stopped this immoral ambush.*"

Essentially David **is** who stopped the trial as he manipulated the assembly with "Cognitive Dissonance." **He spoke for about 90 minutes in a defense or rebuttal before the witnesses had chance to present testimony!**

-And David knew two weeks was plenty of time for things to calm down.

He knew that four witnesses against him, Rob, Rory, Rex, and I had already

resigned and other witnesses had already decided to depart UBM.

Again, Robin is equating what four elders attempted to do in accordance with Matthew 18:17 as *immoral*. She is essentially accusing Rob, Rory, Rex and I of immorality in attempting to hold David accountable in accordance with Matthew 18:17 for his sin, abuse of office and abuse of his delegated authority.

*David saw that we were getting louder and stood **to redirect our attention** to the spiritual forces of wickedness at work. He called for immediate prayer and we all certainly agreed to that. He rebuked the spirits and commanded them to leave.*

***Moments later Rob gave his resignation and Chad said he would leave. David was the first to rush over and hug them** showing no unforgiveness as we all followed suit. **Those factious spirits left then and we all discerned that. We realized a great victory had been won over evil. Afterwards there were smiles and laughter** and joy in the Holy Spirit for the rest of the evening. A remarkable difference in the spiritual atmosphere was evident. **Evil had been defeated.** It was amazing and very beautiful to see how long folks, even the prosecuting group, remained in the sanctuary **after all the rancor and hostility** had taken place.*

People really seemed so genuinely relieved and the love of everyone for everyone else was very evident.

This paragraph is tantamount to Robin attributing David as the cavalry coming to the rescue saving the wagon train from a wild band of ambushing Indians.

It was David's own supporters who were out control.

They became angry and even aggressive. **Some** (*spirits?*) **were shouting** at Rob.

David seized the opportunity, a brilliant move, to completely redirect attention off him, and directly on the evil demons. –thus implying as all along, those spirits are to blame for the discord in UBM and not David.

I agree, evil spirits are at the root of most problems but this was David deflecting all blame from him and placing the blame on the evil spirits.

When David seized the moment, I knew exactly his ulterior motives.

David claiming leadership of a ministry, *allowed* the assembly to develop into a circus performance so he could step in to save the day.

We were supposed to kiss and make up **without ever addressing the issues**, letting David “off the hook.”

I submit, evil was not defeated but enabled. I noticed those who were the most disruptive displayed the most relief and did most of the hugging. -Probably as a

result of conviction of their own behavior.

The most disruptive were those supporting David, refusing to hear the witnesses.

David has served UBM with all of his heart, time and money for many years. He has taken many assaults on his character for some years to defend UBM from factious attackers and now this is being called evil by them. Woe unto them that call evil good and good evil. David does not deserve this kind of treatment from Rob, Chad, and a few others or you elders.

Again and again, whenever David is righteously challenged, he paints himself as the innocent sinless victim.

I can say I have served UBM with all my heart, time and money for many years also. I have even risked my life many times over for this ministry. As David, I have too endured many attacks and I am sure will continue to do so as my testimony makes its way back to UBM followers and supporters.

David is now carrying most of the pastoral load of UBM. We already saw him work long hours with little sleep and no time off and often spending his own money to see to our needs. He does not deserve this nor do we. We remind you that it was the "hirelings" and "worthless shepherds" who deserted the sheep in scriptures. There is not much time left and we have all moved here from various places in this country to put our hands and whole worlds into this great work the Lord has enjoined us to.

With respect for you elders previous service to us, we nevertheless believe that something must be done to call you to account and we therefore ask that you cease and desist from any further nefarious and Treasonous behaviors in this faction against us: to wit, backbiting, backstabbing, gossiping, division and since you have resigned as elders anyway, that you refrain from contacting any people, via internet postings or otherwise, whom you came to know through this ministry UBM so as to further spread faction and dissention.

This would, in essence, be roping them into your torts against us and David. It would certainly not be wise nor accurate to imagine that David has no witnesses, as you can see above, and some of us are prepared to present you our testimonies and revelations with scripture directly from the Lord confirming our dreams, visions and revelations, which scripture confirmations we requested in prayer to learn who is at fault and what is going on.

If I am indeed a hireling and a worthless shepherd who deserted the flock, then let my fruit bear witness and not dreams.

If what I have done is “*nefarious and Treasonous behavior,*” to include, “*backbiting, backstabbing and gossiping*” in an effort to create faction and division, then let my fruit bear witness and not dreams.

I make a last point, I resigned from UBM, I did not resign from my responsibility as an elder.

The factious have left so this is from the overwhelming majority of local UBM here in TN. **End Robin's e-mail:**

Chapter 31 Peace?

I recently received this e-mail from David Eells:

From: David Eells

To: Rob & Debra Stanley Rory & Linda Moore Chad Rial Rex & Priscilla !Veron; Kevin & Holly Rea Kevin & Holly Rea William Rowe Willard Poe

Sent: Monday, December 19, 2011 5:31 PM

Subject: The Lord asks us for peace

Dear Brethren,

First I want to tell you that though I disagree with you I bear absolutely no ill will towards you. I whole heartedly would like to see you have the benefits that come from forgiveness.

*The Lord asks us to make peace. He said, **Blessed are the peacemakers: For they shall be called sons of God.** He also said, {Mat.5:23} **If therefore thou art offering thy gift at the altar, and there rememberest that thy brother hath aught against thee, {24} leave there thy gift before the altar, and go thy way, first be reconciled to thy brother, and then come and offer thy gift.***

*I would like to be obedient to this and please the Lord with this e-mail today. **I suggest we make peace. We do not have to agree with one another to do this.***

There are those with far bigger differences of doctrine with you than I.

Romans 14 is plain about this commandment from the Lord to not judge over these things. It is the Christ like thing to do. I suggest we get about our fathers business of sharing the Gospel and warring with the principalities and powers. The Lord forbids us to go before the unbelievers with our differences – 1 Cor.6. Christians

should not be doing this before them.

*If you agree **we could ask Rex to be mediator and enforcer**. We could agree not to speak against one another as we go our own way. We could pull down whatever he feels has been pointed at the other since this disagreement started. This would include any proxies. We could also make peace with the other brethren that have chosen either side.*

My hope for you is good. I have been praying for you. I pray there can be peace between us. Love, David

This is a quintessential example how David operates. It is interesting considering I received it when I did.

The reason why I/we resigned is not merely *a difference of doctrine*. If it were, I would have walked away from this when I resigned June 8th.

It has nothing to do with forgiveness as I have already said.

I have stated many, many times I have forgiven David.

I submit, Matthew 18:17 *can only proceed in love* as it is David's repentance we seek, not retribution.

As usual, David frames the picture he wants to paint in his e-mail. I know that he knows, he is talking to me. He is still trying to convince me I have not forgiven him because I have not accepted *his terms* of forgiveness.

I have stated one must corner David into defining the terms he uses.

I asked David, *"What would be the fruit of my forgiveness?"*

David replied, *"If you come back to UBM."*

David is capable of altering the interpretation of his dreams to suit his needs, likewise, he is capable of changing the definition of the term *forgiveness*.

David insinuates he has forgiven me but I have not forgiven him.

David talks about peace as if we are instigating the disharmony in UBM. I submit, there is no disharmony among the local brethren who have come out of UBM.

No matter how I handle that e-mail, it will be used against me.

I will not answer unless there is public repentance of the sins he has continued perpetrating as detailed in this testimony.

But David will claim that is evidence of my un-forgiveness.

If I agree to his e-mail, then I will be entering into an agreement with a man whom I already know I cannot trust. Sooner or later I will either knowingly or unknowingly break this agreement.

He said, "*I would like to be obedient to this and please the Lord with this e-mail today. I suggest we make peace.*"

If I do not agree to David's terms of peace, then he can say that I am not being obedient and I am displeasing the Lord.

David states, "*Romans 14 is plain about this commandment from the Lord to not judge over these things. It is the Christ like thing to do..*"

David insinuates I am judging him *over an issue of doctrine* by my attempt to hold him accountable in accordance with Matthew 18:17. In so doing, I am not Christ like.

David states, "*The Lord forbids us to go before the unbelievers with our differences – 1 Cor.6. Christians should not be doing this before them.*"

I don't have a clue what David is talking about here. One would need to know David's definition of the term "*unbelievers*" before attempting what he is getting at. The only people I have talked to about my resignation from UBM are believers.

David states, "*If you agree we could ask Rex to be mediator and enforcer.*"

David has misrepresented Rex as "*Rex is resting from counseling for the moment*". -When in fact, Rex is done with UBM.

Rex reaffirmed this on 1-31-12: ***I have point blank told Dave I will not be coming back and I told Robin I was not coming back also.***

All I ask before Rex enters into any agreement with David is that he read my testimony. Then he can decide if David is a man with whom to make agreements. The term "*enforcer*" has very negative connotations, but is consistent with David's drift into legalism and evidenced in his own words.

Again, one would need to know his definition of the word "*enforcer.*"

My mediator is the Holy Spirit, not David Eells or Rex.

David states, "*We could agree not to speak against one another as we go our own way.*" A point made in my testimony is we must first follow after the Holy Spirit and not man. Here again David wants to place me in position where I submit to his

will, and not my desire to follow after the Holy Spirit.

I would not be writing my testimony if not led of the Holy Spirit.

I guarantee what I have said here, David would rather I not, as he said in an email June 2. David's quote: *"be quiet and submit to elders."* My answer to that e-mail was short and sweet, *"Hold thy tongue is a good thing."* Later that evening I received my *"rejection letter"* via e-mail.

I repeat, *It is dangerous to enter into a relationship with someone who places a higher value on protecting his reputation than protecting the character of those over whom he claims to have authority.*

Reputation is the image held by the general public. Character is the quality of one's honesty. *David has demonstrated he will destroy the character of others to protect his reputation* which is axiomatic David is a man of questionable character.

David said, *"We could also make peace with the other brethren that have chosen either side."* David insinuates I am not at peace with the brethren who have chosen the other side.

I submit I am at peace with all my brethren inside or outside of UBM.

If they are not at peace with me, it is because David jaded their hearts with his persistent propaganda maligning those who left or resigned as factious etc...

David states, *"My hope for you is good. I have been praying for you."*

Again, he insinuates my hope for David and those who chose to stay is not good when in fact the only reason for holding him accountable is for the good of David and all who are part of UBM. Yes, we have also been praying for David.

Chapter 32 *"No Agreement With Idols"*

To make perfectly clear to David Eells there is no agreement now, nor has there been between David and I, between David and Rob, or between David and Rory, *the following e-mail was sent to David individually by **all three** of us:*

From: William Rowe **To:** David Eells UBM Administrator Robin

Sent: Sunday, August 7, 2011 2:17 PM

Subject: AGREEMENT

David, This statement is to iterate there existed no agreement between you and the

elders.-Bill

from Bill:

David, the subject of agreements is addressed by each of us below. The only response we are interested in, and the only response we will receive is your repentance.

Without repentance and its fruit, all other communications will be refused as instructed in scripture.

eze. 3:18 When I say unto the wicked, Thou shalt surely die; and thou givest him not warning, nor speakest to warn the wicked from his wicked way, to save his life; the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at thy hand

: 19 Yet if thou warn the wicked, and he turn not from his wickedness, nor from his wicked way, he shall die in his iniquity; but thou hast delivered thy soul

33:8 When I say unto the wicked, O wicked man, thou shalt surely die, and thou dost not speak to warn the wicked from his way; that wicked man shall die in his iniquity, but his blood will I require at thy hand.

: 9 Nevertheless, if thou warn the wicked of his way to turn from it, and he turn not from his way; he shall die in his iniquity, but thou hast delivered thy soul.

Note: if there is any altering or twisting of our words, which includes (but not limited to) taking "sound bites" or parts of a statement out of context, we may post the entire statement so people can read an unedited version.

From Rory

to David and Robin,

I forgive David and Robin for the discord and the faction sown in the lives of those that never asked about these matters.

We have no agreement since you refused to be subject to the elders when we all agreed not to follow your misuse of Matt. 18 against brethren.

We have no agreement since the new doctrine of using dreams to malign and defame God's children: most recently The Stewarts, Bill, Rob and Debra, Chad Rial.

We have no agreement because you have gone on radio and phone calls speaking words, accusations, and curses.

We have no agreement because you have twisted words and accused brethren publicly to confuse and hurt a multitude.

Titus 3:10 *A schismatic man after a first and second admonition refuse*

Do not call or email. I am refusing you in Jesus' name.

We pray you are delivered from the need to defend yourself and retaliate from evil spirits of rejection, fear, suspicion, legalism, strong delusion, pride and paranoia.

When David and Robin bring forth works fit for repentance and when there is witness of the Holy Spirit we will communicate again, and welcome you back into God's fellowship.

I forgive you as one who does not live what he has written and taught in "*Sovereign God*", "*How Shall We Die*" and "*Weakness, the Way to God's Power*".

May you find God again.

From Rob (with Bill's comments included)

David,

I confess to having raised my hand when you said something to the effect of: "Can we all agree to keep this within local UBM?" I raised my hand in agreement with the understanding you would repent of your sin according to Mt.18:17.

When you did not repent, any agreements became null and void.

I repent for raising my hand in ignorance. Based on the command of God to not have agreement with unrighteousness, and under the direction of the Holy Spirit, I have been instructed to sever all agreements and break all ties with you and UBM.

I was released from every agreement and tie I ever made with you when you refused to be accountable to the Word of God and refused to truly repent.

When this issue of agreements arose, Bill told me: "I never made any agreement with David other than my original resignation.

My resignation to David states, "I will not contact anyone concerning my departure. If anyone contacts me, I will refer them to you for explanation."

This agreement was made before David's attack on you Rob.

This agreement was made before David's Ezekiel Doctrine.

This agreement was made with the understanding that David would not lie when brethren contacted him concerning my resignation and departure from UBM. From the very beginning, David slandered me, libeled me, and maligned me.

It was David that broke this agreement, not me.

Chad contacted me and asked me if I could at least tell him if what David said about why I left UBM was true.

What David told Chad was in fact not true. I resigned because David chose to place more trust in dreams than me, our friendship, and my dedicated service of over ten years to UBM. I submit, I have no agreement with David to hold my tongue concerning why I resigned from UBM."

Due to your unrighteousness, the Lord will not permit me to be bound to any agreement restricting me from fulfilling my obligation to the Lord to properly minister to the brethren.

Please understand, this is final notice that we have no agreements between us, nor will there be any agreements made between us in the future until there is true and complete repentance, and a confession to the body on the broadcast of your sin.

The confession must include a disclosure of the slander and libel of each individual by name (every person you have named in e-mail, posted on the site, and mentioned on the broadcast, either directly or indirectly). The confession must include repentance for attacking, maligning and defaming these individuals in an attempt to restore their character and integrity.

When your repentance produces evidence of good fruit, then as brothers, we may once again establish agreements. David, I forgive you, I love you and I pray God gives you His grace to repent. May God bless you, -Rob

Chapter 33 *There Is No UBM To Return To*

David posted the below dream/vision on his site.

It was sent to me via e-mail as I have not visited David's site since resigning.

This dream/vision requires comment on my part.

As plainly stated, *I am not going back to UBM.* Even if David Eells confesses his sin and repents, the relationship David and I had, can never be.

In the Navy, when a Captain runs his ship aground, the Captain is relieved of duty.

David Spiritually ran UBM aground. David must step down.

If David stepping down means that UBM will disband, so be it.

David quoted on many occasions, *Luke 12:48 but he that knew not, and did things worthy of stripes, shall be beaten with few stripes. **And to whomsoever much is given, of him shall much be required: and to whom they commit much, of him will they ask the more.***

David has said, *when the one to whom much has been given, sins, that person does not begin where he left off.*

Personally, I am not sure where David left off. If I may guess, it was back around the time I first met David and he was serving the brethren by opening his house Tuesday and Saturday evenings for Bible study.

David must go back to a point in his walk where it began, *ministering to his family.*

David's dream/vision:

Getting Dressed as a Bride for the Marriage Feast

David Eells - 1/01/12

The Lord awakened me at 2:00 AM on 12/30/11 and I then fell into a trance. He touched my neck and I felt a strong anointing come into me. (Something like this happened once before when I was strengthened to go on the conference tours.) He spoke to me to share with you of getting dressed up as a bride by being obedient to His commands, for the Marriage Feast, which begins at the beginning of the last seven days/years before going to the Groom's home in heaven. Time is almost up to be ready and some are not redeeming it. Some have already been rejected; others must make some steps to keep from being rejected and be in line for the prize of the high calling of God. The Lord spoke of simple steps to take to get ready but that some would not be willing to take them because of pride or rebellion. He spoke of bringing all darkness or hidden sins into the light to be destroyed by confessing our sins one to another so we may be healed and cleansed -- James 5:16; John 3:19-21; 1 John 1:7-10.

At this time, I was given a vision of some people in the faction returning to us to receive the Word. They were like a wayward wife returning to her husband. Later that morning, I received confirmations. Brad was returning from being out of town to local UBM and shared a dream he just had. He saw some people returning to UBM with joy who they were set free from the factious spirits. He didn't know we had been praying for that.

Frank also had been awakened at 2:00 AM and was told that what was coming on

mankind would be devastating but that the obedient should not be afraid. I met him for breakfast the next morning and he received a discernment that I had received a word from the Lord to give the people. Robin read this above and received Psalm 21, which speaks of the anointing of the Davids and God's defeat of their enemies.

Please pray and fight the spiritual battle for the souls of the fallen around the world. We at local UBM are praying diligently for our brethren whom we love and want to see in the Bride

End David's dream.

This false imagination is a great deception.

After all the evidence, all the witnesses, all the failed dates and dreams, it is still hard to understand how the David Eells I thought I knew and the David Eells that presents himself as holy and above reproach, is capable of behavior that is juxtaposed to his reputation.

Even now, as I write my testimony, I must remind myself that there are two David Eells.

One David Eells is the man who established an apostolic reputation.

The other David Eells is the man who will do anything necessary to protect his apostolic reputation and who will stoop so low as to utterly destroy the character and integrity of those who love him and laid down their lives for him.

And now in the aftermath of the departure of many of David's most trusted and loyal servants, David is still placing the entire blame on us.

David said, "*At this time, I was given a vision of some people in the faction returning to us to receive the Word. They were like a wayward wife returning to her husband.*"

*This dream/vision is control and manipulation. It is **not** targeted toward those who left UBM, it **is intended for and addressed to those still in UBM.***

It implies that UBM is the only place to receive the Word.

This dream/vision reinforces David's argument that those who left were factious. This dream/vision takes the onus entirely off David and places all blame on those who departed UBM.

This gives those still with UBM hope by reinforcing their decision to stay and side with David.

But it is a false hope as David attempts to maintain an aura of infallibility using dreams and visions in place or equal to scripture.

David inculcates false hope, false prophecy, and false doctrine in the minds of his followers using their dreams to substantiate false hope, prophecy and doctrine as the word of God. This is the "*leaven*" in the Unleavened Bread Ministry.

This dream/vision is more evidence that David Eells remains steadfast to continue to maintain an atmosphere of illusion by "*a working of error*".

David's wall of deception, constructed with dreams and visions, continues to separate the brethren from their first love, our "*petra*", Jesus Christ.

There is no UBM to return to.

Postscript Summary 3-26-2012

"Over the years, I heard David Eells quote the following scripture:

Romans 8:14 ***For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, these are sons of God.***

I now have better understanding of this verse as a result of this trial. I see this verse to mean that we are not to seek a direction ***but we are to have faith to be directed.***

For it was the Holy Spirit that led me out of UBM notwithstanding by my best efforts to stay.

David Eells forsook the basic commandment to love thy brother when he choose to pit one brother against another. I submit, if not for David Eells, the past and present brethren of UBM would have continued to love one another in peace.

It is not David Eells' heart or his intentions with which I am concerned, but the consequences and result of the abuse of his position of authority and the fruit produced.

I Corinthians 10:23 *All things are lawful; but not all things are expedient. All things are lawful; but not all things edify.* 24 ***Let no man seek his own, but each his***

neighbor's good.

Reuel Steward told me that in a dream, it was revealed to him that we are to be judged on the scripture, and scripture alone, which is affirmed by scripture in John 12:48 ... ***the word that I spake, the same shall judge him in the last day..***

We are not judged on what someone else has led us to believe as we are to work out our own salvation. Philippians 2:11 **and that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father. 12 So then, my beloved, even as ye have always obeyed, not as in my presence only, but now much more in my absence, work out your own salvation with fear and trembling; 13 for it is God who worketh in you both to will and to work, for his good pleasure.**

We are to stand for truth against all odds notwithstanding the consequences.

I did not plan to go up against David nor was it my desire to do so. David being a formidable opponent, I would not be able to win any debate in his arena.

But, being thrust into the ring, like David against Goliath, I relied on the basics and the gifts with which I have been blessed. I also learned a valuable lesson. God will direct our steps notwithstanding our best intentions if God knows in our hearts our desire is to be led of His Spirit and not the Spirit of man.

To use an analogy, as a sophomore I wrestled my toughest match of the four years of my high school. I wrestled a senior who out classed me.

Of the six minute match, I spent about five of those minutes on my back fighting against the pin. I should have been pinned for my opponent executed every move with extraordinary precision. All I was able to do was bridge up on my head to keep my shoulders off the mat.

With great frustration, my opponent's coach could not understand why his most valuable wrestler was unable to pin a neophyte wrestler such as I. With great determination, as I stuck to the basics, I fought against the pin, notwithstanding the apparent hopeless situation and the apparent obvious outcome of the match.

What was I to gain by continuing the struggle of preventing my opponent the satisfaction of yet another pin. After that match, I felt humiliated. I felt as though I should give up wrestling as I had embarrassed not only myself, but my coach, my team, and my school.

The next day before wrestling practice, as was customary, the coach reviewed our matches before the entire team. The coach would usually begin with the lightweight class and move up to the heavy weight class. But this time the coach began with my match.

I thought, "Have I not been humiliated enough, now the coach is going to emphasize my terrible performance in front of the entire team." But I was pleasantly surprised as the coach began by saying, "Never in my wrestling career have I ever witnessed such determination in a wrestler to prevent a pin against such formidable odds. By all rights, Bill Rowe should have been pinned. Even I am unable to figure out how Bill Rowe defied gravity against the holds his opponent executed on him. Bill Rowe displayed the best example of giving his all, against all odds. As a picture is worth a thousand words. what Bill Rowe did by fighting the pin and forcing the decision, sums up the quintessential team player. Because Bill Rowe was not pinned, we won the match by three points." By my apparent loss, the team won.

When I resigned from UBM, I believed I had failed as an elder to reconcile an apparent minor conflict. But as with my wrestling match, once I was forced to engage with David Eells, I soon realized I was in over my head.

But, sticking to the basics, **1 Peter 4:7 But the end of all things is at hand: be ye therefore of sound mind, and be sober unto prayer: 8 above all things being fervent in your love among yourselves; for love covereth a multitude of sins: 9 using hospitality one to another without murmuring:**

Romans 10:14 For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, these are sons of God; the truth bore out by my apparent failure.

Deception is a process.

The bible says, you cannot have two masters. **Matthew 6:24 No man can serve two masters; for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon.**

The process takes one who seeks to serve God walking in faith, to serving man, walking in fear. For one cannot walk in faith and fear, for fear is the absence of faith.

Deception occurs when confidence and trust is misplaced. One is deceived into putting their faith into man. David Eells was able to establish an Apostolic authority over me by impressing me with his Spiritual walk and his knowledge of the bible.

This symbiotic relationship of authority figure over disciple sooner or later reaches a cross over point. For me to submit to David Eells's authority, he had to establish credibility.

David established his credibility by his display of his knowledge of bible scripture, and apparently practicing what he preached.

The Crossover point

At the cross over point, the authority figure is vulnerable as he attempts to make or break his disciple.

At the cross over point, the authority figure has to contradict or take the chance of comprising his credibility and position of authority to further his agenda as he forces the disciple to fully submit by an assortment of control and manipulation techniques.

For David, the authority figure, to get me the disciple, to fully acquiesce to his authority, David had to begin to expose his own false doctrine.

He also demonstrated this by his unethical, un-scriptural, and un-Christian behavior.

Because of his hubris, ego, and self confidence in his ability to manipulate me, he overreached his limits and exposed himself as the charlatan he is.

Misjudging the cross over point, he must have assumed he had already reached the cross over point with me and that my loyalty was dedicated to him and his ministry at all costs.

When David overreached his ability to control and manipulate me, we came to the cross over point when he accused me of sin using dreams.

I had three choices to make at the cross over point:

1. leave, 2. continue in deception and under David's control and authority, or 3. stay and defile my conscience.

The cross over point comes with every relationship where one must control and manipulate another. Truth must be given to draw you in but the manipulator always risks losing his subject when misjudging how many lies can be mixed with truth.

David also misjudged or lost sight of the fact that other brethren are able to hear from the Holy Spirit and truly be led of the Holy Spirit as defined by Romans 8:14.

The Cult of UBM

I am not sure that UBM fits the definition of a cult, i.e., any group which employs mind control and deceptive recruiting techniques.

David Eells did not overtly recruit people to "join" UBM. But it is a result of using subtle recruiting techniques that many have and are yet moving to Tennessee for refuge.

David Eells has employed mind control techniques. Consequently, he covertly recruits members through mind control techniques, therefore David Eells has become a cult leader.

If UBM is a cult, it is not because of what David Eells teaches, but his employment of mind control - *"if you leave us then you will lose all of your friends and family", "if you don't conform then you will go to Hell."*

Critical thinking and disagreeing with David Eells was forbidden. I was expected to suspend my ability to think critically or even slightly disagree with him. The disciple was never to question the Apostle. I was also expected to protect David Eells because **my identity was programed away from Jesus Christ to David Eells and UBM.** "

I discovered he used mind control techniques to hide his true intentions. In doing so, he misrepresented the truth, the intentions of others, twisted scripture to inculcate the minds of the brethren with his false doctrine, instilled fear of reprisal and retribution, and would flatter one brother in effort to condemn another.

David Eells often confused the brethren with mixed messages regarding legalism. He taught not putting people under law, yet his vocabulary is rife with words like "legal", "illegal", "obey", all used to bolster his authority. (**example: "OBEY AMY'S DREAM!)**

On the surface, he presented a picture of himself that was not only unrealistic but false.

This picture perfect meek and humble faith induced idolatry in those that follow David Eells. Those who idolized David Eells also seek to emulate David Eells. Since David himself could not and has not reached the (alleged) level of manifesting Jesus Christ as "moon glory", neither has anyone else seeking to emulate David.

This failure leads to condemnation. This condemnation is exacerbated by fantastic testimonies of faith causing indirect legalism. The brethren loose sight of that true reflection of Jesus Christ in the mirror as it is being fogged by David Eells.

Hypocrisy

David Eells preaches walking by faith and preparing a Spiritual refuge, yet, David was planning a physical refuge using physical means to provide and protect.

This mixed message of walking by faith yet preparing physically instills fear in the brethren inducing physical dependence on David Eells and physical interdependence among the brethren."

If David Eells was a legitimate Apostle teaching the truth, then he would not have waited to expose his dream doctrine. I tend to believe he has for some time subscribed to this false doctrine of using dreams as a witness of sin.

Since this doctrine was so contrary to not only scripture but David's own teaching and preaching, David had to desensitize the brethren prior to approaching the "cross over point" between truth and false doctrine.

Because of circumstances beyond David's control, David was forced to expose his demonic

dream doctrine before its time. To quote David Eells, "*I was laying the ground work.*" was the answer I received when I questioned David Eells on his apparent change of direction from his previous teachings."

Addendum 1

The elders resignation statement

1. The elders resignation statement:
Date: July 25, 2011
2. To: The Brothers and Sisters of Unleavened Bread Ministries
3. Subject: Statement Of Our Resignation
4. As elders of the Lord, we are held accountable to the Word of God. Before the Lord, we must give an answer to any seeking clarity regarding doctrine and other issues.
5. Over the past several weeks, an issue came to light concerning dreams/visions and their use as a means of correction, warnings, and exposure of possible sin.
6. Especially the charges of sin against an elder.
7. Spiritual gifts are divided among the brethren and no one gift was to have dominion above the rest,
especially in the New Testament Church.
8. After the Holy Ghost came, the other gifts were also given to the body, and never was one man given as the sole determiner of how gifts were administered and shared.
All the gifts are given to profit according to 1 Cor. 12:11 - but **all these worketh the one and the same Spirit, dividing to each one severally even as he will.**
9. As all gifts we receive from our Father, their use and the fruit they produce must be reconciled with the scripture.
The gifts are born of the Word and Spirit.
10. Therefore, it must be understood for Spiritual benefit to be derived from the gift of dreams and/or visions,
the dream/vision cannot be witness to the sin or misconduct because the scriptures clearly call for human witnesses to speak.
In addition, nowhere in scripture were dreams or visions used by God to convict any individual of

- sin, especially in the New Testament, and especially when a body of elders were involved.
11. Recently, some dreams were elevated to have as much or more weight than real witnesses.
Two elders were actually accused of sin or misconduct before the local assembly using dreams and/or visions as evidence and no witnesses presented for the elders to establish their credibility, according to Deut. 19:18 and Matt. 18:16.
 12. A grievance was brought before the leadership at the local assembly concerning the use of a dream/vision as a witness against an elder.
 13. The grievance procedure was in accordance with Matthew 18:15-17.
The party against whom the grievance was made refused correction.
Not only was correction refused, but a "new" doctrine was presented to justify the use of a dream/vision as a witness against another elder.
 14. When correction was not received from four elders in accordance with Matthew 18:17, we had no other choice than to resign from Unleavened Bread Ministries in peace.
 15. Sincerely,
Rory Moore
William Rowe
Robert Stanley

Addendum 2

elder Rory Moore's resignation letter

Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 11:45 AM
Subject: Resignation Letter

To the brethren in Sweetwater, greetings in the Name of Jesus Christ our Lord.

With sorrow, we tender our resignation from UBM as a ministering elder.
Tonight you will hear from other witnesses, and you are to hear them and bring accountability without partiality.

Our reasons for leaving can be summarized around the events involving the Stewarts, Bill Rowe, and the Stanley's.

I write this after my own careful inquiry, after hearing the testimony from the mouths of witnesses', according to the Word of God, (Deut. 19:18

*... and the judges shall make **diligent inquisition**: and, behold, if the witness be a false witness, and have testified falsely against his brother; 19 then shall ye do to him, as he had thought to do to his brother: so shall thou put away the evil from the midst of thee.*

-which is the same passage that Jesus and Paul drew from in requiring 2-3 witnesses in accusing an

elder.

God told us "*Against an elder receive not an accusation, except at the mouth of two or three witnesses.*"

However I was receiving both calls and email from brother David accusing elder Bill, elder Rob, and the Stewarts contrary to scriptures in 1Tim. 5:19, and Matthew 18.

Brother David never brought a witness in on a phone call or a written statement from a witness against those he was charging.

On page 105 of Sovereign God, it says

"a prophet, a DREAM, a VISION, a teaching, or anything that comes to us that is not according to the commandments is a trial from God, to see if we are going to be counted worthy of the kingdom"

We have no command to bring sin accusations, or conviction against brethren based upon dreams, and the manipulation of Ezekiel to do so is "*a working of error*".

The fruits of these and other dreams have caused division, faction, confusion, and hurt to many.

Bill Rowe testified "Because of a dream, David did not pay attention to wise counsel of me and three other brothers who knew that Pastor Bob was not a good steward of money and went with the dream."

---- and pastor Bob embezzled a great sum of money given to UBM to spread the gospel, which we never heard about..

---- UBM has a history of failed dates given through dreams.

---- dreams portraying David in a negative light were never seen, and they exist, even from within your assembly.

Ezekiel holds a warning:

14:1 were ..*Certain of the elders of Israel.*..In vs. 3, he told us what the problem of "certain" was:

vs (3) ... ***these men have taken their idols into their heart,***

Taking dreams "to heart" that speak contrary to the Word, *i.e., in bringing accusation against elders, and even false dates of events*, is idolatry, and God said:

"I the Lord, WILL ANSWER HIM according to the MULTITUDE of his idols".

Can dreams become a form of idolatry?

Of course, we know that because God said so in Deut. 13, and this in Zechariah 10:2

"For the IDOLS have spoken vanity, and the diviners have seen a lie;

---> and ***they have told false dreams***, they comfort in vain: therefore they go their way like sheep, they are afflicted, because there is no shepherd." (*we have been afflicted*

because the Shepherd was NOT speaking through the idolatrous dreams)

Jeremiah 29:8, brethren, tells you what has really happened as UBM has fallen into "dream-seeking" idolatry:

Let not your prophets that are in the midst of you, and your diviners, deceive you;

*neither hearken ye to your dreams ---> which **YOU cause** to be dreamed.*

Former UBM elder, Bill Rowe said, "*David conjures up dreams in the brethren.*"

If there is any passage of scripture that should warn us regarding the "*conspiracy revealed by father*" page David is prepared to publish, unless there is repentance, Jeremiah 29:8 absolutely tells us what has happened, and **I must warn you all that God never instructed anyone to use dreams to accuse brethren in the administration of the New Testament.**

yes, -even when a lie sent through a multitude of people with dreams, and even, of all things, a Hollywood movie about Robin Hood.

On page 107, of Sovereign God it says

"A TRUE prophet who has idols or a false prophet can be deceived by a false word from God... as "God sendeth them a working of error that they should believe a lie".

In prayer, I asked the Lord "*how can all these dreams be true yet there are no witnesses speaking what David has said about these people?*"

The Lord answered "*the dreams are from those that hold David in idolatry.*"

There are many that have "*the faith of our Lord Jesus Christ with respect of persons*", and the person is brother David.

-he has become an idol in the heart of many that look to him.

In turn, they speak to him in a multitude of dreams "*you cause to be dreamed, exactly as Jeremiah wrote*.

as on page 106 of Sovereign God:

"The Lord's answer may come through an apostate prophet, a religion, a thought, A DREAM, a word, or a doctrine,

---> BUT IT WILL COME TO DECEIVE"

There is a symbiotic relationship of mutual idolatry when people desire the attention and the approval of their spiritual "head", who regularly seeks to follow dreams.

David and brethren, will you help each other to repent of holding David Eells up as an idol?

I leave without malice intent or offense, I leave sad for this ministry must end in this manner.

And I am very sorrowed that you all must suffer this experience, may the Lord use it to cleanse His body..

God bless you all...

brother rory moore

Part 2

No one preached or taught from dreams in the New Testament.

No one used dreams to convict or correct elders or brethren in the New Testament.

After the day of Pentecost there was no doctrine derived from dreams.

After the Holy Spirit came there was never a continual referral to dreams for guidance in the churches.

In the lists of spiritual gifts in 1Cor. 14, dreams are not specifically referenced, and the gifts are for the "edification" of the church, not as a witness against people.

In Mat. 18:15-17 witnesses are called for as also from the law as given in Deut. 19:15-18. .

Dreams

it has been my honor to serve the Lord and UBM brethren in helping with many, many dreams..

---> We do not "*forbid dreams*".

I thank God for the times the Lord has spoken to us through a dream, a revelation, and the other gifts of the Spirit. -Word of Wisdom, Word of Knowledge, Discernment, etc.

Remember, "*The whole counsel of God*", as "*the SUM of thy Word is truth*".

If I may set in order what I see regarding dreams in New Testament scripture:

1. warnings. Matthew has 2 of them. . Mat. 2:12/ 2;13/ 2:22
2. confirmation Mat. 1:20/ 2:19
3. revelation-foretelling Mat. 1:20/ 2:13. -before the Holy Ghost was given.

After the Holy Ghost came, the other gifts were ALSO given to the body, and one man was never given as the sole determiner of how gifts were administered and shared.

That phrase is very important, and dreams can never be elevated above scripture itself, as the other gifts for they are all given to profit according to 1Cor 12:11

but *all these worketh the one and the same Spirit, dividing to each one severally even as he will.*

- Thus, the body is protected as plurality of spiritual brethren and the elders-overseers discern, not one man which is the Nicolaitane error.
The dreams and the Hollywood movie were all subject to only David's interpretation and editing, and I can tell you that there was a lot of manipulation to favor himself.
- Debra Stanley's "Skunk" dream was one example.
Heavily edited to show David good and others bad, the full dream was very different.
- Other dreams revealing more insight regarding problems with UBM have been dismissed and-or rejected.

Let's look at some of the order God set in the church.

1Corinthians 12:28

And God hath set some in the church, first apostles, secondly prophets, thirdly teachers, then miracles, then gifts of healings, helps, governments, divers kinds of tongues.

Notice he goes right into the administration of the gifts in a better way, the way of love, in ch. 13,

(5) doth not behave itself unseemly, seeketh not its own, is not provoked, taketh not account of evil;

*(6) rejoiceth not in unrighteousness, but rejoiceth with the truth;. and in 14:12
So also ye, since ye are zealous of spiritual gifts, seek that ye may abound unto the edifying of the church.*

Love administered through the gifts edified and not used among the disciples to cause hurt and faction, division, or accusation..

Dreams in the New Testament were not equal to scripture, nor ever given as witness against the saints or elders, never over-ruled the overseers, and never used as accusations in an action by church government.

Nor were they subjected to the authority of one man to interpret, edit, and publish.

Witnesses were always required as Jesus taught in Mat. 18 and Paul affirmed in 1Tim. 5:19, which is also what the law of God testified in Deut. 19:15 and Leviticus 19:15 when it came to testifying in a matter between brethren.

ALL of these verses required physical witnesses with eyes, ears, and a mouth and these are the very passages Paul and Jesus drew upon when they dealt with matters in the church.

Look very closely: Dt 19:15

One witness shall not rise up against a man for any iniquity, or for any sin, in any sin that he sinneth: **at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the mouth of three witnesses**, shall a matter be established.

16 If an unrighteous witness rise up against any man to testify against him of wrong-doing,

17 then both the men, (not their dreams) between whom the controversy is, shall stand before Jehovah, before the priests and the judges that shall be in those days;

18 and the judges shall make diligent inquiry (*of the men, not their dreams*) : and, behold, if the witness be a false witness, and have testified falsely against his brother...

Was any controversy between brethren, settled by dreams as the witnesses, especially in the New Testament after the Holy Ghost was given to lead and guide into truth?

Were dreams ever used in bring accusation against Demas, Diotrephes, Simon the sorcerer, Alexander the Coppersmith, Hymaneus?

-None of them were convicted on the basis of dreams.

We are not "*forbidding*" dreams, but now we see especially, especially in the New Testament how dreams have their place among spiritual brethren and how they are never used to accuse or as a witness against brethren or even "them that are without" the body.

Addendum 3

The following are word-for-word excerpts from previous teachings given by

David Eells. In these older transcripts, he clearly shows how far he has departed from scripture and his own teaching when compared to the present .

Which David Eells teaching is correct? Present or past?

Five fold ministry Authority of Jesus 01

Time: 13:30-16:51

“One of the problems we have today is, instead of the five-fold minister, we have the one fold minister. The pastor. We should have never gotten away from this, it was our error, it was the Nicolaitan error that God said he hated in Rev chapter 2:6.....

It would be so nice if people would drop all the labels, and just go by the gift of God that you see flowing through people. That would be so nice! Because you could recognize people for what they were, not by the label that somebody put on them. Not their so respectful of the label that people put on people, but really you should be respectful of the gift you see in people, not the label that religion puts on people. You should be respectful no matter WHAT IT IS, **one man is never ever gifted to be everything the church needs to be. There are Apostles, there are prophets, there are evangelists, pastors, teachers for the perfecting of the saints and I tell you they need all of them. there is nobody that is going to bring the saints to perfection WITHOUT THE FIVE FOLD MINISTRY.**

God planned it that way. He planned it that way so that no ONE MAN WOULD BE LIFTED UP, which is a stumbling block both to the man and to the flock.

IF you lift a man up, by giving him the position of being the Lord over the flock, which the Bible says in 1st Pet 5- “not Lording it over the charge allotted”. Because the ministers aren’t Lords over the flock.”

Time: 17:31-19:32

“This Nicolaitan error is causing a real stumbling both to the ministry and to the people. Because when you lift a man up, and you accept what he says, you are not going to have this system of checks and balances that the early church had.....Something else the Lord showed me about

Authority: A persons authority is only good when they speak for the Lord. You know you don’t just have a position of authority. Authority comes when the gift of God is flowing through you, and lets just say that one man is gifted to teach and another is gifted to prophecy. **Neither one of those people can take authority over the other mans gift, because it is not a gift that he has. You don’t take authority over a gift that you don’t have, THAT’S LIKE TRYING TO TAKE AUTHORITY OVER GOD.**

You know, because the gift is Gods. Authority comes when a person is flowing in their gift, that gift is the authority of God. The gift is, **NOT THE POSITION OF THE MAN**, because when the man speaks outside of his gift or acts outside of his gift, **HE HAS NO AUTHORITY**. Our authority is as ambassadors for Christ. An ambassador only represents the one who sent them.”

Time: 20:55-22:28

“If someone in this body prophecies, and their prophesying in the Lord, The Bible tells you in 1st Cor. 14 let the other prophets that sit by, judge the prophecy, to see if it of the Lord. But if it is of the Lord, There is nobody sitting their that has the authority to tell that other prophet to sit down and shut up. NOBODY. Not the Pastor or nobody, because that’s God. And when he flows through someone that he chooses with his gift, it has total authority. And the thing is, he has authority, a man has authority when the gift of God is flowing through him, but when he steps out of his gift HE HAS NO AUTHORITY. ITS VERY DANDEROUS TO GET OUTSIDE OF YOUR GIFT. YOU KNOW **WHAT YOU DO WHEN YOU TAKE AUTHORITY OVER ANOTHER MANS GIFT? YOU TAKE AUTHORITY OVER GOD!**

Because that gift is Gods. NOBODY CAN TAKE AUTHORITY OVER THAT GIFT.

.....Nobody, including the Apostles, took authority over the gift of God, they didn’t do that!

They recognized that the mind of Christ was going to be manifest in the body through many members. They recognized that. The apostles. The ones who Jesus started this whole thing with--They didn’t take authority.....they didn’t even take authority. When decisions were made is was not the apostles that made them. We will look at that in the scriptures.”

Time: 25:00-29:35

“Korah was a levite, he was a minister according to the old testament, but the problem was: He wanted Aarons job, he wanted to offer incense unto the Lord which was the High Priest’s job. You know, **EVEN A PERSON WHO IS CALLED AND ORDAINED OF GOD, IF HE GETS INTO THE POSITION OF TAKING OVER JESUS’ JOB, IT’S VERY DANDEROUS.**

You know it was very dangerous for him (Korah), he lost his life. **We can do that by MAKING DISCIPLES OF OURSELVES INSTEAD OF DISCIPLES OF JESUS.** Everybody here, everyone here needs to know this that WE ARE NOT MAKING DISCIPLES OF OURSELVES WE ARE MAKING DISCIPLES OF JESUS.

The only way you can do that is to bring someone to the word. **If you’re trying to get people to follow you, if you’re trying to get people dependant on you for laying hands on the sick, or for direction, or wisdom in your personal life all the time.....**

.One way the lord showed this to me was that: the Holy of holies is like the spirit of the man. Then the holy place is the soul of the man, and the outer court, was even dressed up of animal skins, is the body. Well in the Holy of holies only the holy spirit could go. I mean only the High priest could enter in to the Holy of holies, and not be struck dead frankly. But in the outer court, or in the Holy place, even Evil men in the OT when in to the Holy place, they didn’t go into the Holy of holies, but they went into the outer court. Today if a minister, or a levite, goes in to the Holy of Holies he is dead, because no one can go their but the High Priest. But for a minister to take authority over your life and tell you how to lead your life, which is the place only of the high priest, the place only of he Holy spirit. I mean we can show people the wisdom of the scriptures, we can direct them to the direction of the wisdom of the scriptures, we can direct them to the direction of their High Priest. But when we stand in the place of scriptures and when we give our own direction to people, and we exercise our authority in their life, instead of leading them to Jesus to be lead of Jesus. Then that is standing in the

place of the high priest. And that is punishable by death, and that is what happened to Korah.....When the Lord showed me that I thought, look how many ministers have been swallowed alive. Do you know what swallowed alive is by the Earth? Think about it, this flesh has been made from the earth. This is the natural creation, it is made from the earth. Adams body was made from the earth you know. One place in Timothy it says, the woman who giveth herself to pleasure is dead while she liveth.....

...Either because they are not Levites to begin with, **or because they are Levites who are putting themselves IN THE POSITION OF GOD. Because if I teach you my own truth, instead of what thus saith the Lord, Then I'm putting myself in the position of God.** That's a dangerous thing to do. Say God is wrong, and I'm right. God didn't take that likely, He judges that, ultimately everybody that does that is going to be judged. And so authority is a dangerous place to be, you know be not many of you teachers the Bible says. ”

Time 30:10-35:50

“So how did we end up with this system? It is so comfortable for the flesh, and it is so exalting to man, and it is so dangerous for the man and for the flock. Because the Bible says, in the abundance of counselors there is safety, so God ordained it that way, he ordained to have the five-fold ministry The five fold ministry makes up the mind. One of them does not make up the mind of Christ. You may say “well let's see, how about an apostle, because he's kind of a jack of all trades, he probably makes up the mind of Christ. No. He does not. If any body would come close to it, it would be him, but he does not. And he does not stand on his own, even in the scriptures they didn't stand on their own.....**For the body They didn't make decisions on their own. Notice how the decisions were made in Acts chapter 15: ”**

Act 15:1 And certain men came down from Judaea and taught the brethren, saying, Except ye be circumcised after the custom of Moses, ye cannot be saved.

Act 15:2 And when Paul and Barnabas had no small dissension and questioning with them, the brethren appointed that Paul and Barnabas, and certain other of them, should go up to Jerusalem unto the apostles and elders about this question.

Act 15:3 They therefore, being brought on their way by the church, passed through both Phoenicia and Samaria, declaring the conversion of the Gentiles: and they caused great joy unto all the brethren.

Act 15:4 And when they were come to Jerusalem, they were received of the church and the apostles and the elders, and they rehearsed all things that God had done with them.

Act 15:5 But there rose up certain of the sect of the Pharisees who believed, saying, It is needful to circumcise them, and to charge them to keep the law of Moses.

Act 15:6 And the apostles and the elders were gathered together to consider of this matter.

“Notice it did not say Pastors did it? In fact it did not say just apostles did it? IT said: the apostles and the elders were gathered together to consider of this matter. And then Peter gives his opinion, and Paul gives his opinion, and then James rises up and gives his opinion. But you know what? What it finally came down to was:

Act 15:22 Then it seemed good to the apostles and the elders, with the whole church, to choose men out of their company, and send them to Antioch with Paul and Barnabas; namely, Judas called

Barsabbas, and Silas, chief men among the brethren:

Act 15:23 and they wrote thus by them, The apostles and the elders, brethren, unto the brethren who are of the Gentiles in Antioch and Syria and Cilicia, greeting:

Act 15:24 Forasmuch as we have heard that certain who went out from us have troubled you with words, subverting your souls; to whom we gave no commandment;

Act 15:25 it seemed good unto us, having come to one accord, to choose out men and send them unto you with our beloved Barnabas and Paul,

Act 15:26 men that have hazarded their lives for the name of our Lord Jesus Christ.

Act 15:27 We have sent therefore Judas and Silas, who themselves also shall tell you the same things by word of mouth.

Act 15:28 For it seemed good to the Holy Spirit, and to us, to lay upon you no greater burden than these necessary things:

“Notice it was the apostles and the elders who came to one accord and made this decision, and sent this authority out to the church. Apostles and elders. Also in 16:4 it says: Act 16:4 And as they went on their way through the cities, they delivered them the decrees to keep which had been ordained of the apostles and elders that were at Jerusalem. I interject here: When the apostles and elders properly handled this doctrinal dispute, look at the fruit that followed it: Act 16:5 So the churches were strengthened in the faith, and increased in number daily. The next is David Eells continuance:

“ So this authority, to make this doctrine wasn’t just on the apostles, **it was on the apostles and elders. And by the way it was just on the elders, you know why? Because the apostles were elders.**”

Time 37:30-38:00

“In other words this five-fold ministry was over seers to the church, not one of them, all of them....All the decisions in the book of acts, and in the early church, were made by this five-fold ministry called the elders.”

Time 41:48-end

“Do you hear about preachers burning themselves out? It is because they are doing something that is not a gift. **IF they get burned out, they are not moving in the gift.** They are doing something that is not a gift. Because you can’t possibly get burned out...

..... *The recording cuts out here.*

David Eells has been exposed as sole captain of the UBM ship. A ship which is sinking. A ship that is not what it presents itself to be.

By disregarding the agreed counsel of the elders that he himself approved, he became the very man he taught and warned others to avoid.

Remember, he said to the Texas brethren, ***“This speaks of the Nicolaitane, one man type ministry, which is what I said you would end up with without a 5 fold ministry.”***

-But David confessed to me July 9th, 2011, ***“UBM is not a “5 fold” ministry”!***

He proved this by his own actions when he not only refused the agreed correction of 4 elders, and proclaimed ***“it is now legal for me to use dreams as a witness against an elder”***

“He planned it that way so that no one man would be lifted up, which is a stumbling block both to the man and to the flock.”